# OONTENTS.

PREFEACE to the Second Edition		
Profitor to t	the First Edition	ᅦ
▲ DIALOG	HUE ON THE PLUBALITY OF WORLDS	1
OF THE	PLURALITY OF WORLDS AM BENAY	<b>!</b> •
Chap. I.	Astronomical Discoveries	79
II.	Astronomical Objection to Religion	96,
III.	The Answer from the Microscope . 1	101
IV.	Further statement of the Difficulty	119
٧.	Goology	187
VI.	The Argument from Goology	105
YII.	The Nebule	208
VIII.	The Fixed Stars	207
1X.	The Planets	208
X.	Theory of the Solar System	298
XI.	The Argument from Design	016
		860
\$111		78

### PREFACE

#### TO THE SECOND EDITION.

TIM question of the existence of living crea-L tures, and especially of rational and responsible creatures, in or about the luminaries which we discern in the skies, has naturally excited a good deal of curiosity in minds prone to speculation. The progress of general opinion on this question has been influenced, not only by man's knowledge of the physical properties of those luminaries, (which knowledge, even now, is almost nothing,) but far more, by the provalent habits of philosophical thought, and by the received theological dectrines. In the times of antiquity, when physical tenets were mixed with mythological fables, the question, whether the Moon is inhabited, and the like, were spoken of; but those who asserted that she was, held their opinion rather as a pleasant fancy, than as a real conviction. When men had been taught by Revealed Religion that the condition of man, as a responsible creature, required a special provision, to save him from otornal ruin, and received this sid by means of a Great Event, which occurred at a certain period of human history; it then became a graver matter, for religious men, to assume the existence of responsible creatures, in parts of the universe which are out off from all communication with human history. On this account, the doctring of Antipodes, that is, of the existence of human beings on the opposite side of the terrestrial globe, as yet unvisited by Christians, was, for a time, held to be a dangerous and herotical opinion. The truth of the opinion was, however, soon esta. blished boyond question. It may be added also that the main ground of the condemnation which religious men had bestowed upon this opinion, mandy the want of access of such human beings to the mems of spiritual welfare, was removed at the same time. But there remained on men's minds the impression that the conformation of philosophical ophions, pronounced on religious grounds, may possibly be orrencous, and is generally rash. And honce, when the theories of Copernicus, and the telescope of Unlileo, had proved, to the satisfaction of thoughtful men, that the planets were, in several respects, like the earth; and had suggested the guess, that the fixed stars were perhaps so many mass it was impossible to repress the conjecture that the planets might have their inhabitants, and the stars their inhabited planets.

This was at first a more conjecture. Her the subscriptor progress of discovery and reasoning tended to make it probable or improbable?

The discovery that the planets revolve on their axes; that some of them have satellites; that some of them have appearances which suggest the notice.

of some kind of atmosphere; operated in favour of the belief of their being inhabited. The immense distance at which the fixed stars were proved to be, offered a reason why we may shill to see their planets, even if they have planets.

The immense number of stars, which were shewn to exist by the improvements in telescopes; and the telescopic resolution of nebulæ, in part at least, into stars, made the notion that all stars are the centers of inhabited systems, assume a vaster form; but this vastness of the number of worlds which the universe was supposed to contain, though it disturbed the minds of some, had a charm for others; and by the occasion it presented for lofty phrases and large thoughts, made the opinion of the phrality of worlds popular, in spite of the religious difficulties which attend it.

But in more recent times, many of the astronomical discoveries which have been made, tend to render the conjecture of the plurality of inhabited worlds improbable on physical grounds. The discovery of the small planetoids, between Mars and Jupiter, disclosed to astronomers a number of bodies, (now nearly six times as large as the number of the old planets,) to which bodies, in consequence of their smallness and other circumstances, probably most persons, even of those who believed Venus, Mars and Jupiter to be inhabited, would hesitate to ascribe inhabitants.

Again: it was found that many of the Fixed

Stars are physically double, and therefore cannot la the centers of simple systems of planets, as the Sun is. And that such double stars have planets royalv. ing or moving near them at all, is a supposition arbitrary, quite unsupported by any analogy, and never yet shewn to be physically possible. Again, there are variable stars, which are, evidently, in very important respects, unlike our Sun. Moreover them. cases of double stars, which were at first supposed to be rare exceptions to a general rule, are now, by recent examination, found to be very numerous; not less numerous than one-third of the whole number of sters. And the variable sters also are very numerous, So that it appears to be not improbable that a single permanent star like the Sun, oven leaving its planets out of consideration, is a rare exception, instead of being the rule. And with all these negative indications, there is found in the heavens no vestige of anything like a planet revolving round a fixed star.

Also the argument for the vastness of the scheme of the universe, which was suggested by the resolution of the nebulæ, is found to be untenable. Nobular can no longer be supposed to derive their nobular aspect merely from being more distant than the definite stars. The best astronomers agree in supposing nebulæ to have distances of the same order as bright stars. Their filmy appearance is a true indication of a highly attenuated substance; so attenuated as to destroy all probability that they are inhabited worlds. The absence of all observed

motion among the parts of nebule agrees with this opinion of their tenuity: while the spiral arrangement of many of them proves that nevertheless they are in motion, and suggests modes of calculating their tenuity, and shewing how extreme it is.

Also the density of Jupiter and Saturn is found to be so small as to be remarkable on that account: and we are thus led to assimilate these planets rather with the clouds, composed of water and vapour, which sail about the earth, than with the solid earth itself.

The only stars of which astronomers can measure the mass, are certain double stars; and these which they have hitherto measured, have been found to be considerably less in mass than the sun: so that we find as yet nothing which points distinctly to the existence of many bodies co-ordinate with the sun.

The only fact in modern astronomical research which does point that way, is the amount of absolute light ascribed to some of the fixed stars. It has been calculated that the light sent to us by the sun is 20,000 million times as great as that sent by Alpha Centauri. Now the parallax of Alpha Centauri has been determined † to be nearly one second; which makes its distance 200 thousand times as great as the sun; and since the illuminating power is inversely as the square of the distance, the illuminating power of the sun at that

<sup>\*</sup> Hernobel, Outlines of Astron. 817. 4 Horsolid, 807.

distance, compared with that of the star, would be as the former number divided by the aquare of the latter number; that is, as one half nearly. The calculation is of a very insecure character; but Sir John Herschel thinks that, after all allowances are made on this ground, it is certain that . Ilpha Cen tauri emits more light than the sun. He adds that the light of Sirius is four times as great as that of Alpha Centauri, and the parallax of Sirius much less; so that that star would have, by such a calculation, an intrinsic splendour 68 times that of the sun. But such calculations are, as I linvo said, yery insecure. There are estimates, on high authority very different from these. According to Wollnston, the light of Sirius is one 20,000 millionth of the sun's, instead of being four times as great as this, which the above calculation supposes. According to Steinheil, the light of the sun is 10 million million times as great as that of Arctures; and therefore if Sirius be 10 times as bright as Arcturus, he is still only one millionth of a millionth as bright as the sun; only one 200th of the former estimate. This would turn the force of the argument the other WAY.

A speculation which has attracted a good deal of attention in modern times, and which is far more closely connected with the doctrine of the Plurality of Worlds than with its opposite, is what has been called the Nabular Hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, Nebulso are planetary systems in the

course of formation: Nobalse are composed of luminous incandescent vaporous matter; this matter cooling and contracting, forms suns, with planets and satellites revolving round them; and these, by some operation of natural laws, become seats of vegetable and animal life. And thus, Nobalse, Stars with their Planets, and Inhabited Worlds, are all different stages of a universal progression; and every star and every planet is at the present time at some stage of this progression. This hypothesis appears to me in the highest degree arbitrary and extravagant, on physical, as well as other grounds: but as I have said, the Plurality of Worlds falls in with it: which the dectrine of the Earth, as the only inhabited world, does not.

The Nobular Hypothesis supposes that there are at present going on, in remote regions of the universe, a series of changes in stellar bodies; of which changes we have no proof whatever. But that a series of changes, multiplied, long continued, and proviously unguessed, have taken place in the condition of that terrestrial body which we inhabit, the recent researches of Geology give us indubitable proof. All the changes which have taken place in the Earth, appear to have been a progress towards the Human Period, and a convergence to it through all time. This view suggests the conjecture that the condition of the Universe through all space may also have the Human World for its center, in the plan of Providence.

This being the present state of our knowledge, as bearing upon the doctrine of the Plurality of Worlds, the time appeared to be not inopportune for a calm discussion of the question, which accordingly I have ventured upon in the following pages.

The Dialogue prefixed to this edition contains some notices of objections which have been made to the dectrines of the Easy, and some additional development of the arguments. I have very unwillingly been led so far into controversy.

In the Preface to the Pirst Edition of this Essay, I stated that I should regret if the discussion of the subject should trouble any current of pious contemplation belonging to the time. And I hegured those who felt such trouble, to call to mind the trains of pious contemplation which belong to the opposite side. It may be an awful thought for man to find himself, so far as science teaches, left in the universe alone with God; but it does not appear how any supposition of a population bolouging to other planets and other suns can make this thought less awful. Those on whose minds it strikes as a novel conviction, may be reminded that if Astronomy fails to find man companions and helpora, Religion teaches him that he is not alone, and not unaided;-not without fellow-servants in the world of spirits, and not without assistance in his highest ampirations,

June 28, 1854,

#### PREFACE

#### TO THE FIRST EDITION.

A LTHOUGH the opinions presented in the fol-A lowing Essay are put forwards without claiming for them any value beyond what they may derive from the arguments there offered, they are not published without some four of giving offence. It will be a curious, but not a very wonderful event, if it should now be deemed as blameable to doubt the existence of inhabitants of the l'lancts and Stars, as, three conturies ago, it was held herotical to toach that doctrine. Yet probably there are many who will be willing to see the question examinod by all the light which modern science can throw upon it; and such an examination can be undertaken to no purpose, except the view which has of late been generally rejected, have the arguments in its favour fairly stated and candidly coneidmad.

Though Revealed Religion contains no doctrine relative to the inhabitants of planets and stars; and though, till within the last three conturies, no Christian thinker deemed such a dectrine to be required, in order to complete our view of the attributes of the Creator; yet it is possible that at the

present day, when the assumption of such inhabitants is very generally made and assonted to, many persons have so mingled this assumption with their religious belief, that they regard it an un co-mulial part of Natural Religion. If any such persons lind their religious convictions interfered with, and their consolatory impressions disturbed, by what in said in this Essay, the Author will dooply reserve to leave had any share in troubling any control of pions thought belonging to the time. But, as morning excuse, it may be recollected, that if much contiderations had prevailed, this very dontrine, of the Plurelity of Worlds, would never have home pulllicly maintained. And if such considerations use to have weight, it must be recollected, on the other hand, that there are many persons to whom the assumption of an endless multitude of Worlds up pears difficult to reconcile with the helief of that which, as the Christian Revolution touchers use, line been done for this our World of Earth. In this conflict of religious difficulties, on a point which rather belongs to science than to religion, perlupphilosophical arguments may be putiontly listernal to, if urged as arguments merely: and in that hope. they are here stated, without reserve and without exaggeration.

All speculations on subjects in which Enjoyees and Religion beer upon each other, are liable to run of the two opposite charges;—that the speculator

sets Philosophy and Roligion at variance; or that he warps Philosophy into a conformity with Rollgion. It is confidently hoped that no candid reader will bring either of these charges against the present Essay. With regard to the latter, the arguments must speak for themselves. To the Author at least, they appear to be of no small philosophical force; though he is quite ready to weigh corofully and candidly any answers which may be offered to them. With regard to the amount of agreement between our Philosophy and Religion, it may porhaps be permitted to the Author to say, that while it appears to him that some of his philosophical conclusions fall in very remarkably with certain points of religious doctrine, he is well aware that Philosoply alone can do little in providing man with the consolations, hopes, supports, and convictions which Religion offers; and he acknowledges it, as a ground of doop gratitude to the Author of all good, that man is not left to Philosophy for those blossings; but has a fuller assurance of them, by a more direct . communication from Him.

Perhaps, too, the Author may be allowed to say, that he has tried to give to the book, not only a moral, but a scientific interest; by collecting his scientific facts from the best authorities, and the most recent discoveries. He would flatter himself, in particular, that the view of the Nebulce and of the Solar System, which he has here given, may be

## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

astronomers and observers, as an occasion of future

xvi not unworthy of some attention on the part of

researches in the skies.

### A DIALOGUE

ON

### THE PLURALITY OF WORLDS;

DEING

### A SUPPLEMENT

TO THE ESSAY ON THAT SUBJECT.

The arguments here assigned to the various appealer .

have been urged in conversation, in writing, or in pant,",

since the Essay was first printed.

The remarks assigned to "AB," and the replies to

them, have been added in the present edition,

#### A DIALOGUE

ON

### THE PLURALITY OF WORLDS.

A. You have published a book which you entitle Of the Plandity of Worlds; but the main tendency of what you say (although your assertions do not go quite so far,) is to dispress the plurality of inhabited worlds, and to leave the impression that this earth on which we live is the only world; at any rate, the only world of intellectual and moral creatures. You ought therefore rather to have entitled your book (If the Unity of the World.

Z. I do not think such a title would have conveyed my meaning, so well as the one which I have employed. When the Unity of the World has once been put forward distinctly as the antithesis to the Plurality of Worlds, (as I have ventured to put it in the course of the Essay,) the former phrase may be understood as suggesting this autithesis; but without such a preparation, the term unity would have been understood as implying a connexton of all the parts, rather than an exclusion of a plurality of similar parts. The Plurality of Worlds is a current and colobrated question; and whichever side I take,

I may adopt for my title the phrase by which the question has commonly been described.

- B. Your Essay goes to prove, not the Plurality of Worlds, but the 'singularity of the World.' You might have taken that title.
- Z. Certainly, if I wished to attract attention by the 'singularity' of my title-page. But you know as well as I, that the term singular is not opposed to plural in common language, though it is in our grammars: so far from it, indeed, that to express singular in that sense, we use unique, or some similar term.
- A. Well; we will allow you your title; but you will find it difficult to prove to the world in general the singularity, or uniqueness, or whatever you call it, of the world in which we live. The plurality of worlds is a notion which has taken possession of the popular mind, and will be difficult to eject.
- Z. Of that I am quite aware. I only proposed to show that this notion has been taken up on insufficient grounds; and that the most recent astronomical discoveries point the opposite way.
- A. But you are aware that many of your readers, for instance, my friends C, D, &c. urgo what they consider very strong arguments, against the opinions of the Essay. Do you think that you can answer all these objections to the satisfaction of impartial judges, in a plain and simple manner!

- Z. I am quite ready to make the attempt: and the more so, inasmuch as I think I can add something to some of the arguments which I have used. But I must make one stipulation.
  - A. What is that?
- Z. If any objections are urged which have been fully discussed in the Essay, I must be allowed to refer the objectors to the discussion as there given. There would be no use in going over the same ground again, when nothing new is alleged. And now you may begin your objections.
- O. How can you think that all the stars which we see in the vault of heaven on a fine winter's night are either made for nothing, or made to give a scanty gleam of light to the earth?
- Z. I do not pretend to know for what purpose the stars were made, any more than the flowers, or the crystalline gems, or other innumerable beautiful objects; as I have said Chapter x1. of the Essay, Articles 1—11. But I do not at all doubt that the stars are intended and fitted to draw our thoughts to God, as I have said Chap. x1. Article 24, and Chap. x11. Art. 9: and in other places. And this they never failed to do, during the long centuries when, as yet, men never dreamt of their having inhabitants. A pious man, looking at the flowers of the field, said, 'These are the smiles of the Creator.' A person of like habits of mind would see, in the stars, still brighter flashes of the Divine

Eyo; though he might no more think of peopling them, than of peopling the flowers with inhabitants.

- D. But why should the planets and stars not be inhabited? How can you protend to limit the power of the Creator? Might he not make creatures fitted to live in the stars?
- Z. This I have answered Chap. xr. Art. 15, &c. No doubt the Creator might make creatures fitted to live in the stars, or in the small planetoids, or in the clouds, or on meteoric stones; but we can not believe that he has done this, without further evidence.
- D. But we have the evidence of analogy. The planets are like the earth. The stars are like the sun.
- Z. In Chap. viii. Art. 9, &c., I have considered this likeness, as regards the stars; and as to the evidence of analogy, I have remarked, Art. 29, that the question is whether there is an analogy. It appears to me probable that there is not. The likeness of the planets to the earth, I have considered at length in Chapters ix. and x. We are still among the arguments, which, as I conceive, I have answered.
- E. I find the strongest argument of all against your destrine in the extraordinary improbability that one subordinate world, in the totality of creation as we see it around us, should be thus specialized.
- %. I have replied to this argument Ch. viii.
  Art. 27, 28. Of improbabilities, in such a case as

this, we cannot know anything. It is improbable that we shall have unlike results in like cases; but we do not know that the cases are like: or rather, we know that the cases are in many respects unlike. As to the inhabited world being a 'subordinate' body; that fact is so far from making it more improbable that it should be the inhabited body, that most persons, even who hold the other subordinate bodies, the planets, to be inhabited, do not believe that the primary body, the sun, is inhabited.

- F. You may reason as you will, but you shall never persuade me that the planets and the stars are not inhabited.
- %. That, I confoss, is an argument which I have not answered; nor can,
- G. But let us come more to points of detail. You, Mr Essayist, say, (Chap. vii. Art. 12) that the resolution of the Nebuke into stars, by Lord Resse's and other powerful telescopes, is merely distinguishing the nebulous mass into lumps, and that we have no right to call these lumps, stars. But surely this is bold phrascology. We have the same right to call these bright objects stars, as we have to call any others so. You might just as well call Arctures or Sirius lumps.
- Z. Not exactly. I call Arctures and Sirius stars, because they are stars. The name has always been used to describe such objects. I avoid calling

the dots into which Nebulæ are resolved, stars, because I do not at all know that they are objects of the same kind as Arcturus and Sirius.

- A. Why not? they differ only in being smaller, and requiring a powerful telescope to see them: and that arises only from their being much more distant.
- Z. They differ also in being elements of Nobules, which is not the case with Arcturus or Sirius: they differ, very likely, as a cloud of dust differs from a rock. The dust may be resolvable into microscopic masses of stone; but it may also consist of vegetable and animal fragments: and it is possible that its small pertions may not be of stony consistence. I would not call a cloud of dust a host of rocks, morely because a small speek of stone may possibly uppear, in the microscope, as a rock.

And then as to the Nebuleo being much more distant than the Pixed Stars:—are you sure of that! How do you know it!

- F. Why, are not all intelligent persons agreed that it is so !
- Not all astronomors, certainly. I have commonced my spaculations on this subject, not with an opinion only, but with a proof, supplied by Sir John Herschel, that the fact is not so:—that the Nebuke, as a class of objects, are set more distant than the Fixed Stars. The proof is drawn from the Magellanic clouds;—see the Issay, vii. 10; and is, I think, a very solid starting point for such speculations.

I have also proved, I think, (vir. 14) that the dim parts of nebulæ cannot be much farther distant than the bright parts; and that therefore nebular dimness does not prove a greater distance than stellar brightness.

But I can also add Lord Rosse's authority for the opinion that the nebules are not more distant than many of the fixed stars. In his address to the Royal Society, which he delivered as President last November, he says, 'There are double stars known to be physically double from their motions, which are probably as distant as some of the nebulee. In cortain nebulae, stars are so peculiarly situated that we can searcely doubt their connexion with the nobular system in which we see them, and some of those stars are as bright as some of the stars known to be physically double: as bright even as some of the stars which the letest Pulkova observations have shewn to have sonsible parallax, and whose distance therefore is approximately known. Hero, you see, anthority the highest, observations the bost and most recent, countenance me in placing the nebule at distances of the same order as fixed stars:-oven as the fixed stars which have parallax, and therefore are the necrest to us.

- F. Would you then make the irregular nebule to be mere floating clouds of luminous matter, at a little distance from us!
- Z. Not at a little distance; for they are at any rate as far off as the nearest fixed stars; which is,

you know, 200,000 times the distance of the sum. But as for their being luminous clouds, I do not think their consistency is unreasonably disparaged by calling them so. A great astronomer once told me that he doubted whether one of these nebular contained luminous matter enough to light a good sixed room. I do not say this. But I think if we were in the middle of one of them without a sun, it is protty certain we should not be able to read small print by the light.

- G. In your zoal for reducing the Nebula to a state of thin luminous vapour, you overlook the close agreement between the Nebula and the Galaxy. Both contain nebular light of the same aspect; and we cannot reasonably assume that they are substances of different kind.
- %. And what informed do you draw from this similarity?
- C. This. The nebulous portions of the Galaxy are resolvable, by telescopic aid, into stars. These stars, we have reason to believe, are of the same nature as bright Fixed Stars; for there appears to be a gradation from the bright stars lying in the Galaxy, to the smallest stars of the Galaxy; and from these smallest obvious stars, to the telescopic stars into which the nebular parts of the Galaxy are resolved. And therefore the telescopic stars into which the other Nebulous are resolved, are of the same nature as the bright Fixed Stars. You

see I have four Terms compared, and I assert that those Terms are of the same kind: Bright stars; small stars in the Galaxy; resolved stars in the Galaxy; resolved stars in Nebulm. And I say that in the transition from any one of these Terms to any other, there is nothing which authorizes us to suppose that we are passing from an object of one kind to an object of another kind.

- Z. An excellent argument; and one which it is very important for me to examine well. If all the four links of your chain hold, you will go near to pull down the destrine of the Essay about Nebulm.
- G. So I think. And yot, which of the links has any flaw in it!
- Z. You speak of them much more strongly than the case will justify, when you talk of them as links without flaw. The arguments are all of the pourquoi non form; which, as I have said, generally admits of being retorted. Three or four pourquoi none do not make a very strong chain, even if none of the questions be answered.

But let us take the special case. You say, Why should not the smallest stars of the Galaxy be of the same nature as the larger! And why should not the nebular parts of the Galaxy be of the same nature as the star-powder? And why should not the other Nebulae be of the same nature as the nebular parts of the Galaxy!

To this I might reply, by reverding the series.

The Nobuke are not of the same nature as the Fixed Stars, as Herschel proves from the Magellanic clouds; as Lord Rosse holds on the ground of his own observations; and as appears by the straggling nebulæ. Therefore either the other nebulæ are unlike the galactic nebulæs matter, or the galactic nobulæ are different from the galactic stars; or the galactic stars are different from the fixed stars.

And in truth, is it not plain that in this, as in other instances, it is not identity, but gradation of cases, which will explain the phenomena? The largest definite fixed stars are different, in consistency at least, from the filaments and tails of straggling nebulæ. But they may be of the same substance; and in that case, there may be intermediate kinds of bedies, smaller stars, smaller than the largest stars, more definite than the nebulæ. And while the parts of the universe nearest to us are gathered into stellar masses, of which our sun is a large and definite portion (why not the largest?), the farther portions of the galactic stratum may consist of smaller stars; and the farthest portion, of nebular matter not gathered into stars at all.

- G. But this is a more arbitrary conjecture.
- Z. But the equality or approximate equality of all stars, obvious and tolescopic, merely because they are stars, is no less a more arbitrary conjecture. My conjecture has the advantage of explaining the difference of stars and nebulo; which

is so strongly supported by the best astronomers, as I have shown you.

- G. But your scheme makes the solar system the center of the Universe.
- Z. Not quite that. But if it did, are you prepared to add, which is absurd?
- G. It is at least contrary to the general current of modern speculation; which, over since the discovery of the telescope, has been running strongly against the ascription of any such poculiar character to the solar system.
- Z. But it is a very common, almost universal occurrence, that such strong currents of speculation overrun the point of equilibrium where truth resides.
- II. You would have us believe, then, that the stars of the Milky Way are smaller and smaller, as they are farther from the sun.
- Z. If you reject such a supposition, what is the alternative hypothesis?
- II. That the stars in the Milky Way are, in a general way, of the same size as our Sun. And way not?
- Z. Perhaps we may soon see a possible reason why not. But as I now understand you, this collection of stars, of the order of our Sun, forms a stratum in which we are placed, and see its mass edgeways, and thus we see the Milky Way. And this stratum seen afar off is a nebula. Is not this your doctrine!

- II. Sir William Herschel's, as well as mine.
- Z. But this stratum of stars, is it finite or infinite in extent?
- II. Finite, I suppose; for otherwise our Nebula would differ from all others; to say nothing of other difficulties in the way of an infinite stratum of stars.
  - Z. Then being finite, it has a boundary, hasit not?
  - II. Of course.
- Z. And the stars next this boundary are as large as those near the middle of the stratum?
- II. In a general way; but probably with great irregularities. And still, Why not?
- Z. Have we any examples in the distribution of bodies in the Universe, of a great number of co-ordinate masses, of about the same size, with no subordination to a principal body, or gradation from great to small! You will understand the meaning of my question, if you consider that we have many examples of the opposite arrangement; -- a principal body with many inferior once; a large body with many small once. Thus we have the vast Sun, and the comparatively small masses of the planets: the great mass of Jupiter attended by his minute satellites; the bulk of Saturn accompanied by his satellites: of Uranus, by his. But we have no where, so far as I know, a collection of satellites without a primary planet; or of planets without a sun. Why, then, a collection of suns without any body of superior dignity to keep them in order!

- II. But that analogy would lead us to suppose that there is a body of superior dignity;—a primary sun about which our sun revolves as a accordary.
- Z. To suppose such a sun, when we do not see it, would be very wild. The analogy is not strong enough to bear the weight of such an inference, directly in the teeth of all facts. If such a primary sun were big enough for our system to revolve round, it must be big enough for us to see. Or if you suppose the solar system to revolve round an invisible center, you invent a mechanism as arbitrary as the crystalline spheres of the ancients, without anything like the same amount of excuse. But if you are bent upon having a central body, why should you not make our Sun the principal body in the universe, as it is the greatest which we know of?
  - II. But the stars do not revolve round our Sun.
  - Z. I believe it will be as easy to explain the minute apparent proper motions of the stars by supposing them to revolve round our Sun, in due directions, and at due distances, as in any other way. But even if the Sun were the largest body in the universe, it by no means follows that all the other bodies must revolve about him. They might all have independent motions, slightly modified by the natural attraction of all:—slightly, I say, on account of the immense distances of all, and the probable extreme tenuity of substance of many. My own opinion is, too, that these motions are far too

minute and uncertain to draw from them, as yet, any conclusion, as to cosmical arrangements; therefore I avoid this obscure part of astronomy.

- I. You argue too much from the spiral forms of some of the Nebulee. It belongs to very few of them, and is not a general feature in the aspect of the Nebulee.
- Z. I argue from such features in the Nebulas as I can find noticed by the best observers. The features from which any inference can be drawn are very few, and we must make the most of these which we have. But with regard to the spiral form of the Nebulae, I reason as Lord Rosso reasons: in the Presidential Address which I have already quoted: 'It is probable that in the Nebular systems motion exists. If we see a system with a distinct spiral arrangement, all analogy leads us to conclude that there has been motion, and that if there have been motion, it still continues.' I add to this, that if the motion be very slow, the mass must be very much attenuated. I pray you, attend for a memorial to this part of my reasoning.

The radius of the Earth's orbit is about 11415 times the Sun's diameter, or 200 times the Sun's radius. If the sun's mass were diffused in patels of pretty uniform density through the sphere which the earth's orbit girdles, the density of the subar matter would be 8,000,000 times less than its present density, which is that of water. But in this

case, each patch would tend to make a complete revolution round the center in one year; and if by the resistance of the other parts it were made to describe a spiral, it would still move at nearly the same rate. But we have the strongest reason to believe that the motion of the patches of the spiral nebulæ, and of the nebulæ of all forms, is very much slower than this;—slower by hundreds of times, for no such motion has yet been detected. And therefore the density of the nebulæ must be very much smaller than that extremely small density which I have already mentioned. It must be millions of times, probably, less than the density of air.

And then, as to there being few spiral nebules, listen again to Lord Rosso, who says that probably there are only a few normal forms of these objects. and that their great apparent variety probably arises from the different positions in which we look at He would have them carefully measured and sketched, in the hope that out of the apparent confusion we may succeed in extracting their normal forms. We cannot doubt that one, at least, of the principal normal forms is a spiral, or group of spirals. And if we take any other telerably definite form of Nobula, we may reason upon it in the same It must be kept in its definite form by a motion of revolution; which will be alow, in proportion to the tenuity of the mass. But all these motions of revolution are hitherto imperceptibly

slow: therefore the mass of cach nobula is inval-

culably attenuated.

If I wished for new evidence of the tennity of nebular matter from its general aspect, I might again quote this Address of Lord Rosse. He says that among the Nebulse, 'there are vast numbers much too faint to be sketched or measured with any prospect of advantage; the most powerful instruments we possess showing in them nothing of an organized structure, but merely a confused mass of nebulosity of varying brightness.' Do you still hold that this confused mass of nebulosity consists of innumerable sans, each the center of a planetary system!

 But why should the substances in this our world be so much more dense than those in distant

parts of the universe!

Z. If I prove to you that they are so, I shall hardly need to give you reasons why they should be so. But yet I might say that they should be so, in order that this our world might be a world. We are, and live upon, the solid and substantial part of the universe; the nebulæ are tracts in which no creatures could live, except such as could make the zodiscal light their dwelling-place.

And so it appears, I think, that I am supported by the observations and opinions of the last astronomers, when I say that I have cleared away the inhabitants of the Nebulse.

- K. But you will find the clearing away the inhabitants of the Fixed Stars, or their planetary systems, a harder task. Why should you not allow us to believe that there are such inhabitants? You cannot dony that our Sun, seen from one of the fixed stars, would look as Sirius looks to us, and that all the Planets would be imperceptible. Is not this good reason for supposing that there is a Sirian system as there is a Solar system!
- Z. Let me ask in reply, whether it is not a strange way of finding good reason for supposing the existence of a system, to go so far off that you cannot see whether it is there or not? You may go so far from the Sun that he will look like Sirius, as you may go so far from a house that it will look like a rock; but this does not prove, nor make it probable, that a class of objects which look like rocks are really houses.
- K. This proves that such objects may be houses: and so, the stars may be inhabited.
- Z. May be, so far as we can judge from looking at them in this way. But perhaps we can obtain also other means of judging.
- K. You; but here again you take the exceptional cases to reason from:—Double Stars, Changeable Stars, and the like. And yet, how few stars of this kind are there, compared with the whole collection of stars!
- Z. I reason from such cases, because such cases give me something to reason from. But here

again, as with the nebulee, I think you will find that the most recent views of the best safronomers do not represent as so very rare, the cases from which I reason. In the great work which M. Struce has lately published, containing the record of his labours on Double Stars at Dorpat, he gives, as the result of his caroful examination and comparison of the whole body of facts in stellar awinnomy, some conclusions which may perhaps startle you and others who regard Double and Multiple Stars as cases so completely exceptional. He examines especially the brighter stars,-those comprized between the first and fourth magnitudes, . and arrives at the conclusion that every fourth stur of such stars in the heavens is physically double. He even ventures to assort that whom we have acquired a more complete knowledge of double stars, it will be found that every third bright stur is physically double. Applying these considerations to the stars of inferior orders of magnitude, has finally arrives at the following conclusion, which has admits to be of an unexpected character: - that the number of insulated stars is indeed greater than the number of compound systems; but only three times, perhaps only twice as great. Now if we recollect that the double and multiple stars cannot be supposed to have systems of planets except by the making most arbitrary hypotheses, in defiance of all analogy; and that, of the single stars, a large proportion may be irregular masses, or limited nebular patches, very unlike the sun, as we know that some are; it would seem to be a very bold and baseless assumption, that they all, or the great majority of them, have attendant planets, as our Sun has.

K. But surely it would be very bold to maintain that of all the innumerable stars which spangle the sky, and which astronomers have hitherto held to be bodies of the same nature as our sun, not one is really like the sun, in having planets revolving round him.

Z. It would be very bold to maintain that all liavo not; and no loss bold, it sooms to me, to amaintain that many have, or even that one line, swithout further evidence. Of the nature of the stars, we know scarcely anything, except that they are securingly self-shining, very nearly fixed, (as to Our sonse,) and exceedingly distant from us. In this state of ignorance, to assert that they have, and that they have not, attendant planets, would be alike rash. To assert that if they have attendant Planets, these planets have inhabitants, would be rmore rash; and still much more, if it appear probable, as I think it will, that the other planets of our system have not inhabitants. If we fix our thoughts on any 'bright particular star,' we many easily imagine it to have inhabitants; as we may casily imagine a central cavity in the earth tonantuil by inhabitants. But the only way of passing judgmient on such imaginations with regard to the

Fixed Stars, is to look at the Fixed Stars as a class of objects. Now as a class of objects which we may imagine to be inhabited, the Fixed Stars stand between the Planets and the Nebulæ; more remote than the Planets, but limited in form like thom; distant and luminous like the Nebulæ. I think I have proved that the Nebulæ are not habitations; I think I make it probable that the Planets in general are not. This being so, it seems contrary to the scheme of gradation on which nature generally proceeds, to say that the intermediate class are habitations:—or rather, centers of systems of inhabited masses, of which masses the very existence is quite unproved.

- K. But their being the centers of such systems is proved by analogy from their resemblance to the Sun.
- Z. But the evidence of this recombiance was always very loose; and becomes the feebler, the more it is examined, as I have been telling you on Struve's authority. It appears, by his researches, that one third of the Fixed Stars differ from our Sun, in the broad fact of not being single masses, but systems of two or more luminous masses lying near each other, or revolving about one another; which entirely puts an end to any probability of a planetary system on the ground of analogy. To these cases of differences, which exist between the stars and the sun, you must add the other known kinds of difference, as the cases of variable stars;

and you will hardly doubt, that when there exist several known kinds of difference, in a class of objects of which we know so little, there must be other kinds of difference, which are waknown. So that the assumption of stellar planetary systems, on the ground of the close resemblance between the stars and the sun, appears to dwindle away to nothing, when closely scrutinized.

- L. I allow that if you disprove the existence of inhabitants in the Planets of our system, I shall not feel much real interest in the possible inhabitants of the Sirian system. Neighbourhood has its influence upon our feelings of regard, even neighbourhood on a scale of millions of miles. And this being so, I think you are very hard upon our neighbours in Jupiter, when you will not allow them to be anything better than 'bonoless, watery, pulpy creatures'. (Chap. 1x. Art. 19).
- Z. I had no disposition to be hard upon them, when I entered upon these speculations. I draw what seemed to me probable conclusions from all the facts of the case. If the laws of attraction, of light, of heat, and the like, be the same there as they are here, (which we believe to be certain,) the laws of life must also be the same; and if so, I can draw no conclusions other than these which I have stated.
- L. One might extract many pleasant imaginations out of this destrine of the fluidity of Jupiter,

Who can conceive the configuration of the creatures that dwell there! They may exist as immense alga-like or medusa-like creatures, 'floating numy a rood,' and feeling, observing, thinking, and operating at each of their infinitely multiplied extromities. Then what crystal palaces they may build on the Tabasheer nucleus of that huge aquatic globe! What water-organs in the nature of Sirones they may construct! To what a perfection may they have brought the science of Hydropathy! There is no limit to the ejaculatory questions of this kind which might be proposed.

- Z. Absolutely none. And I should hope that these imaginations may supply the void made by dispeopling Jupiter of the imaginary creatures which have hitherto occupied him. You must suppose Micromagus to be a huge leviathan; or if you choose, to be Hobbes's Leviathan, which was a kind of polyp, as appears by his frontispieco.
- M. But why do you make Voius so different from the Earth? She seems to resemble it much in physical condition.
- Z. I have given you in the Essay my reasons for what I have done. It is not I, but Horschel, who tells you that she is not marked with permanent spots, such as denote land and water. But when he tells us this, I cannot think that her physical condition is like that of the earth. And as to the amount of heat which must prevail there

I which makes it difficult to suppose inhabitants her, according to the known laws of life;—that a matter of calculation, if the difference of heat different planets depends on the sun. And that rething, apparently the nearness of the sun, kes her surface different from that of the exterior nots, I lerselial also tells us. If, notwithstanding s, you are resolved to believe that Venus is abited, I have only to say that I see no grounds such a conclusion.

- N. But if Vonus and other planets have atspheres, is not that a good ground for believing m to be inhabited? You argue that the Moon not inhabited, because she has no atmosphere, posing that I assent to this. If it be good as a gative argument, it is also good as a positive one, we find other planets where water and air, poration and clouds, do appear to exist, we re a contrary argument provided (as seems to ) of at least equal force as regards the general ration.
- Z. You will hardly say so, I think, on deliate consideration. For the existence of life, oral conditions must concur; and any of those ing, life, so far as we know anything of it, is possible. Not air only, and moisture, but a corn temperature, neither too het nor too cold; and ertain consistence, on which the living frame can t. Without the other conditions, an atmosphere

alone does not make life possible; still less, prove its existence. A globe of red hot metal, or of solid ice, however well provided with an atmosphere, could not be inhabited, so far as we can conceive. The old maxim of the logicians is true; that it requires all the conditions to establish the affirmative, but that the negation of any one proves the negative.

- O. In assuming Jupiter to be a mass of water on account of his low density, you seem to leave out of account the fact that Saturn is much less dense atill. If you argue that because Jupiter is of the density of water, he is water, you should argue also that because Saturn is of the density of cork, he is cork.
- Z. Yes, if I held that cork is one of the universally diffused elements of the universe. You must recollect that I try to explain how the water got there.
- O. But in the case of Saturn, it seems most natural and consistent to assume a porous or envernous structure. The only alternative is to suppose that he consists of a fluid lighter than water: and such a fluid is nowhere found, except in minute quantities; and then, I believe, always derived from organic bodies on the earth's surface. Do you think it likely that Saturn is composed of alcohol or other!
- Certainly not. What I have suggested is
   combination of the same well-known elements.

water, (including aqueous vapour), and air. His outer parts are probably air and vapour, his inner parts liquid water. Your percus or cavernous structure appears to me a most arbitrary assumption;—indeed, another form of the cork hypothesis.

- P. You appear to have involved yourself in a difficulty in your theory of Jupiter: after presenting it in the text you add a defence in a note. In a temperature such as Jupiter must have, the water of which he is composed must become ice; and then what becomes of your polyps and marine measures?
- Z. Even let them go. I have no special leve for them. I spoke of them mainly for the purpose of gratifying (as far as I could) these who wish to have the planets inhabited. I said that, in my opinion, the choice lay between such a population and none; I have no wish to chifold my theory, as you call it, of Jupiter's inhabitants.
- Q. But you must allow that most of your objections do not apply to Mars. Why should not Mars be inhabited!
- Z. Pontonello's favourito figure Pourquey son! But, as I have said, the figure is two-edged, and cuts one way as well as the other. Why should not Mars be estimablied! Or, to put it otherwise, why should not Cores, why should not June be inhabited!

- Q. O, they are so very small! Vesta is only 250 miles in diameter; Cercs, only 163; Juno, only 79. The whole surface of June is not so large as Newfoundland, and who knows how much of it is solid!
- Z. There is then, it seems, a degree of smallness which makes you reject the supposition of inhabitants. But where does that degree of similness begin! The surface of Mars is only one fourth that of the Earth. Moreover if you allow all the small planets between Mars and Jupiter to be uninhabited, the planetary bodies which you acknowledge to be probably uninhabited, for outnumber those with regard to which even the most resolute pluralists of worlds hold to be inhabited. The majority swells every year. Since the publication of the Essay three have been added. The Planetoids are new twenty-nine. The fact of a planet being inhabited, then, is, at any rate, rather the exception than the rule; and therefore must be proved in each case by special evidence. And of such cyidence, I know not a single trace.
  - B. By the way, are you not rash when you suggest that these small planets—planetoids, you call them—have been produced by a collapse of sidereal matter which has failed to make one large planet? (Chap. IX. Art. 28).
  - Z. It seems to me, that however rash I may be in such a procedure, I am much less adventu-

rous than the astronomers who discovered those planets, and who held that they were fragments of a larger planet which had been blown to pieces by some unknown cause. This, as you know, was the hypothesis of Olbers; and in the Connaissance des Temps for 1814, Lagrange investigated the explosive force which was necessary to produce the result: and found that the velocity which the explosion produced need only be 20 times the velocity of a cannon-ball. Is it a more rash speculation, to form a planet by condensation of sidereal matter, than to shatter one to pieces by an unknown explosive force?

S. In your speculations, you adopt the Nebular hypothesis: and adopt it with the most thoroughgoing adhesion, (Chap. x. Art. 6). You not only accept it as applied by the older Herschel and Laplace, to the starry world; but as it is applied by Laplace to the evolution of our solar system out of a revolving cloud of solar matter, gradually shrinking by cooling, and throwing off in the process rings or shreds of matter, which ultimately became planets with their revolving train of satellites; and which finally, in the last age of the world, condensed into the substantial san, which serencely governs the progeny born of his own body. This strange cosmogony—the wildest imagining

<sup>\*</sup> Grant, Illst. Ast. p. 241.

which ever emerged from the brain of a mathematician—appears to me an unaccountable delusion. How can a grave man like you give currency to it?

Z. My excellent friend, I am sorry that my dealing with this hypothesis as a kypothesis disturbed you. But pray consider for a moment: what cosmogony is there, or can thore be, which is not strange, which is not wild! It may be the wiscat and best course, not to have, or to seek, any cosmogony at all:-not to ask how, by what stops and through what changes, the world came to lx: what it is. It may be the most reverential habit, to take the natural world as it is, and to make no inquiries about its probable history. But the whole tendency of modern science is entirely op-posed to this habit. When we occupy ourselves with geology, for instance, our express and principal aim is to learn the history of the earth; to know what changes it has undergone; to trace the stages of its past existence backwards, through all the variations of organic life, till we come to a condition in which there was as yot no organic life. Are we bound, by some paramount obligation, to stop there! Is it wrong to carry our speculations further I to ask how the mineral mass of the cart la. came to be what it is t through what changes that also may have passed! But if we may make sucla inquiries at all, what is simpler than to ask whethers these mineral masses have passed through a fluid, or a gaseous condition, before they became solid? We know that most materials, probably all, are capable of these three conditions. May we not make a hypothesis as to the mode in which the three conditions have succeeded each other, and see what comes of the hypothesis! I grant you that our hypothetical speculations of this kind are likely to be very insecure; our conclusions very precarious. We have here very few facts which point to any conclusions. The case is very different from what it is in goology, where we have a great multitude of facts, pointing to conclusions in a great degree definite. But we can speculate, bearing in mind this insocurity. We can take care that our confidence in our conclusions does not go beyond our ovidence. To forbid us to speculate, even in this spirit; -- to arrest our inquiries at a cortain point, and beyond that, not to allow us even to guess;—is a very arbitrary proceeding; nor is it at all likely that men's minds, in these our times, will submit to it. But at any rate, men cannot submit to such probibitions, and at the same time talk about the Plurality of Inhabited Worlds. That doctrino, so far as scientific grounds are concerned, is as strango as any commogony;—is as much a conjecture as the primitive nebulosity of worlds;--is a hypothesis supported by fewer facts and analogics than the nobular hypothesis.

Indeed the dectrine of the Habitation of many Worlds is, as I conceive, an essential part of the

Nebular Hypothesis. The rule that worlds shall be inhabited, is the last part of the rule, according to which, on that hypothesis, worlds are formed. Nebulæ condense to suns, and revolving suns throw off planets, and planets become scats of life, it is hold, by certain universal laws of nature. I dis. pute, I deny these laws of nature. I think it quite unproved that nebulæ condense to suns; tlint suns throw off planets; that planets in general, (if there are any systems of planets bosides Olling,) become seats of life. I know that the planot ()11 which we live is a seet of life. I believe it lines become so by sots out of the common order of this laws of nature; therefore I do not think it x'C'n.~ sonable to assume, without any evidence, that Otlice planets are also seats of life. I think it probable that the solid materials of our globe were formerly fluid; possible, that they were formerly gasociass and nebular. But I do not therefore held that all nebular objects will produce suns and planetes lileo Even when I employ, hypothotically, hypothesis of the gradual condensation of noluniar into solid matter, I never give it the general application which belongs to Sir William Herselich's nebular hypothesis. Even if I suppose for a mouncant the solar system to have been formed from. r. nebula, I never suppose that all the nebular are in the course of forming planetary systems. the contrary, that is precisely the opinion which I reject.

- S. But this doctrine of the formation of our solar system from a nebula has been combined with irreverent opinions, which you yourself would shrink from, by popular but superficial authors. I am sorry therefore that you should give the doctrine additional currency among us.
- Z. If you mean, as I think you do, that this doctrino of the natural derivation of planets from nobula has been combined with the natural derivation of man from the most elementary animals. I am aware that such a combination has been made. But I must say, I think the destrine of the natural derivation of species one from another, has too little roal connexion with any conjectures about nebulic, to make those dangerous on that account. If you are moved by such dangers, geology is the part of scionco which is really dangerous, as supplying some plausible evidence of the derivation of higher species from lower. For my part, I have not only rejected, but, as seems to me, given good reasons for rejecting, any natural derivation of organic life from bruto matter, and any derivation of human from animal existence. On the latter point I have laboured (in Chapter vi.), to an extent which I feared might be deemed prolix and superfluous; but which was unturally occasioned by the importance of that point in my reasoning.
  - S. I grant that, in you, the nebular hypothesis, if it he an extravagance, is a more physical extravagance; and is associated with solid and reveren-

tial views of the Divine Providence, from which it has generally been, in a marked degree, dissociated.

- T. In your chapter on the 'Unity of the World' (Chap. xII. Art. 8), you quote a passage from Professor Owen's 'Nature of Limbs,' which you suppose to favour your views; but I have rarely met with an instance of more excessive carelossness than you here betray.
- Z. Pray show me this. If it be so, I will not only correct the error, but thank you very sincerely for enabling me to do it.
- T. Look here. You quote this passage as from Mr Owen:
- "If the world were made by any antecedent mind or understanding, that is, by a Deity, there must needs be an Idea and Exemplar of the whole world before it was made, and consequently actual knowledge, both in the order of time and nature, before things. But conceiving of knowledge as it was got by their own finite minds, and ignorant of any evidence of an ideal archetype for the world or any part of it, they [the Democritic philosophers who denied a Divine creative mind] affirmed that there was none, and concluded that there could be no knowledge before the world was as its cause."

Now this passage which you thus quote, stands in Mr Owen thus:

"The learned Oudsoorth tells us that—The Demecritic Atheists reason thus, If the world," &c. So that you absolutely attribute to Professor Owen the words of Cudworth, and the opinion of the Democritic Atheists, and finally garble the Hunterian Professor's commentary to make the whole fit.

- Z. A grave charge indeed! But surely upon the face of it you go too far. Do I not introduce these opinions of the Domocritic Atheists for the very purpose of showing that Prof. Owen refutes them? Would it not have made the matter clearer if you had gone on to my next sentence, still a quotation from Mr Owen: 'Now however the recognition of an ideal exemplar for the vertebrated animals proves that the knowledge of such a being as man must have existed before man appeared;' and therefore that the Domocritic argument is worthless? Do you not think the 'excessive carelessness' here, is on your side?
- T. But you cannot dony quoting Oudworth's words as Owon's.
- Z. Let us see them. How much of the passage which you have read is Cudworth's?
  - The whole, of course.
- %. Indeed! You have looked at Mr Owen's book, and therefore you ought to have seen that the double commas "" which mark the quotations, include only the first sentence; and that at any rate, the second sentence is Mr Owen's own. And as the first sentence morely serves to introduce the second, it did not seem too great a liberty to omit the

reference to Oudworth, which is quite unessential.

- T. But if Mr Owen thought the reference necessary in quoting Cudworth's words, you ought to have thought it necessary too.
- Z. Excuse me. It was proper for Mr Owen to give his authority for his assertion of a fact in philosophical history, but not at all necessary for me, who had only to do with his argument.

But you must allow me to say, that though you have referred to Mr Owen's book, you do not appear to have referred to Cudworth's. The fact is, that Mr Owen has not quoted Cudworth's words, even in that single sentence. He has altered them so much, as to make that sentence also his own. The passage referred to occurs in the 'Intellectual System of the Universe,' Book 1. Chap. 5, page 847; and stands thus:

"But the Democritick and Epicuroan Atheists, will make yet a further assault, from the Naturo of Knowledge, Understanding, after this manner; If the world were made by a God, or an Antoccdont Mind and Understanding, having in itself an Exemplar or Platform thereof before it was made, then must there be Actual Knowledge, both in order of Nature and Time, before Things; whereas Things," &co.

Now Mr Owen, in employing this passage, has not only emitted the superfluous phrases, but altered some of the terms; putting 'Idea and Exemplar,' for 'Exemplar or Platform,' and leaving out the development of the argument. Mr Owen acted very judiciously in doing this; but by doing it, he made the passage his own:—very properly; since it was to form part of his argument; or rather, contained the reasoning which he undertook to refute; and which he has so strikingly refuted.

And now, where is the garbling?

- AB. In addition to your explanation, I am now able to say, as a matter of fact, that Mr Owen himself is of opinion that you have quoted and represented him fairly.
- 7. But what does all this prove against the Plurality of Worlds?
- Z. I do not protend to disprove a Phirality of Workls. But I ask in vain for any argument which makes the doctrine probable. And as I conceive the Unity of the Workl to be the result of its being the work of one Divine Mind, exercising creative power according to its own Ideas; so it seems to me not unreasonable to suppose, that man, the being which can apprehend in some degree those Ideas, is a creature unique in the creation.
- T. But Mr Owen is in favour of the Plurelity of Worlds; he says, only two pages before that which you quote from:

'The naturalist and anatomist, in digesting the knowledge which the astronomer has been able to furnish, regarding the planets and the mechanism of the satellites for illuminating the night season of the distant orbs that revolve round one common sun, can hardly avoid speculating on the organic mechanism that may exist, to profit by such sources of light, and which must exist if the only conscivable purpose of these beneficent arrangements is to be fulfilled.

Mark the last clause.

- Z. I mark it; and mark you too the sequel. Mr Owen goes on to argue, very ingeniously, that the moons of Jupiter exist to give light;—that light being governed, there, by the same laws as here,—the animals must have eyes organized on the same dioptric principles as on the earth; and that as the beny orbits in which eyes are placed, in this planet, are constructed of modified vertebrae, it will not appear so hazardous, to infer that the animals on other planets may also be vertebrate.
  - T. And what have you to say against this?
- Z. Nothing. If there be anywhere animals which are bony, the simplest supposition is, that they are also vertebrate. I do not know how any one can reason better, as to the structure of the animals which inhabit other planets, if there are such aximals.
- T. But you see, Mr Owen says that such animals must exist, if the only conceivable purpose of the beneficent planetary arrangement is to be fulfilled.
  - Z. I have learnt much from Mr Owen. I

have learnt from him, in many most striking cases, to admire purpose in organic arrangements, where purpose is apparent. But I have learnt from him also, that to infor facts from 'an only conceivable purposo,' is a very luzardous process. I have employed Mr Owen's views in order to illustrate the insecurity of this process, (Chap. x1. Art. 4), and have done so with the most entire conviction that I was following the truest and largest lessons of his noble philosophical progress. In this very case of vertobrated animals, we have, as I conceive, one of these lessons. Why, I have already asked, (Chap. xi. Art. 3) should so many animals have a akeleton of the same plan—this vertebrate plan hone for hone! In many instances, there are multitudes of bones in the skeleton of a species, which answer no known purpose: why then may there not be planets and satellites which answer no purpose! none, that is, which we have yet discovered? And does not every body allow that there are such! Do you hold that all the planetoids between Mars and Jupiter, now numbering twenty-nine, are inhabited! Some of these perhaps are not bigger than Mount Blane, and perhaps as irregular in form. Can you not concelve such a mass revolving round the Sun, separate from the Earth, void of inhahitanta i

- 7. Certainly I should not expect such planetoidal masses to be inhabited.
  - Z. No, of course you would not. And there-

fore you must give up, for them, 'the only couceivable purpose.' And what difference can it make in the conceivableness of the thing, if they are a myriad times as large?

- T. But in the case of the skeleton, the honest which have no known purpose are there in virtue of a general law, namely, the vertebrate plan.
- Z. And doubtless in the case of the planets, they, inhabited or not, are there in virtue of general laws, whether we know the laws or not. But moreover, I have tried to point out what these laws may be, in the Chapter on the Theory of the Solar System.
- U. You assume that every intelligent being must be human, and subject to the conditions of man's physical existence (Chap. rv. Arts. 6—10), because we cannot conceive intelligence unaccompanied by human attributes. But Mr Mill, in his System of Logic, has expleded the notion that what is inconceivable cannot be true.
- Z. Some writers, especially writers who have dealt with theological subjects, have asserted that not only what is inconceivable may be true, but that all the highest truths must be inconceivable. But it would surprise me very much if Mr Mill were to allow you to say that that of which you know nothing but that it is inconceivable, is probable.
  - U. But we do know more of the inhabitants

of other planets. We know that their existence is Expreenble to analogy.

- To the 'analogy' of one case out of thirty-to Dinuots, of which planets there are twenty-nine thick you dare not apply the analogy. But I have discussed this argument in the Fasay (Chap. VII. Art. 29), and shall not dwell further upon it.
- what we call intelligence can have any other corporting and and limitations than those of man, the
  arguments against the possibility of his existence
  in other planets are merely negative. Considering
  what we know of the energy negative.

  Splitting and the energy negative of the energy o
- "I'llut is, Mercury may be as cool as the cartin, and Jupiter as het. Certainly I cannot prove that it may not be so, except by supposing that propringulty to the sun is the main cause of heat. But I think 'a cautions mind' would hesitate still longer, before concluding that the planets, differing in amount of heat, so far as solar propringulty determines heat, from 7 times more than the earth, to DOO times less, and possibly, with atmospheric

conditions and physical configuration that increase their unfitness for habitation, are nevertheless all inhabited.

- U. But your arguments are merely negative. You only prove that we do not know the planets to be inhabited.
- Z. If, when I have proved that point, menwere to cease to talk as if they knew that the planets are inhabited, I should have produced a great effect.
- U. Your basis is too narrow for so yast superstrature, as that all the rest of the universe besides the Earth is uninhabited.
- Z. Perhaps: for my philosophical basis is only the earth, the only known habitation. But on this same narrow basis, the earth, you build up a structure that other bodies are inhabited. What I do is, to shew that each part of your structure is void of tenacity, and cannot stand.

It is probable that when we have reduced to their real value all the presumptions, drawn from physical reasoning, for the opinion of planets and stars being either inhabited or uninhabited, the force of these will be perceived to be so small, that the belief of all thoughtful persons on this subject will be determined by moral, metaphysical, and theological considerations. But on these I will not now venture further than I have done in the Essay.

V. Your readers perpetually come back to the

argument, that you suppose that an intelligent being cannot exist in other physical conditions than those of the earth; and that this is an arbitrary assumption. The Creater may adapt an intelligent creature to conditions the most varied, as we see by the adaptation of animals to different conditions on the earth.

- Z. If my readers perpetually come back to this argument, I may be allowed to come back to the answer which I have given to it (Chap. xr. Art. 15). But if you please, we will return to the argument, in another form. You say, then, that there may possibly by intelligent creatures, which are not subject to the same physical conditions as we are. How far do you go in this liberal line of speculation! Do you believe in Ariel, and the other Sylphs, the spirits which have their place in the air, and have frames and qualities adapted to their sphere of action! Do you believe in the Naiada, or Nixies, or Water-sprites, or Kelpies, or whatever their due appollation is, which inhabit the water? Do you bolicyo in the Gnomes, the inhabitants of the dark masses of earth, and the Salamanders which are at home in the fire?
- V. These are Resistant inventions, or poetical imaginations. You have no real intention of comparing them to scientific conclusions.
- Z. To such scientific conclusions as the dwellers in Moreury or Saturn, it seems to me they may very fairly be compared. These who hold them as

realities, have only to use your argument, that were must not limit the possible conditions of intelligent existence, by the empirical conditions of municipal existence.

- V. There is no evidence of their real exist-
- ". The Resicrucian philosophers would contest this with you; and would use, in defence of the limbitability of air, water, earth and fire, many of the arguments which you employ to upheld the habitability of the planets.

But if you want a class of intelligent beings for which you have more abundant evidence, what think you of Ghosts that walk, and Departed Spirits that return? No doubt these are not bound by the lawest of our living bedily existence; they are seen, but cannot be felt. They mack the grasping hand, and glide through the belted door. But what of that? Why should they not? Grasps and belts have hald only on a gross bedily frame like that of man. With the testimony which is current of such things, and with your ready rejection of ordinary physical laws, surely you ought to believe in these, much rather than in the inhabitants of Mercury or Saturn, of whom you have no testimony at all.

- F. But I do not suppose the inhabitants of other planets to be exempt from the ordinary has of matter, as ghosts are supposed to be.
- 2. But then your limitation of the possibles deviation from the ordinary physical laws of manife

being is quite arbitrary; and I do not see what right you have to draw the line in one place rather than another. Let us see where you are disposed to draw it. Do you think it probable that the Sun is inhabited?

V. I know that Sir William Herschel hold that it might be so;—that the inhabitants who live below the cloudy canopy of light and heat which forms the surface, neight be akreened from its glare and fire. And Arage, his commentator and biographer, evidently leans to the same opinion, which he considers to be almost generally adopted. But I rather hold to the public opinion, which prevailed in 1787, when a certain 1)r Elliot being charged at the Old Bailey with the murder of a young lady, his advocate urged, as a proof of his insanity, that he believed the sun to be inhabited.

But this notion very strongly tends to show the instinctive belief of man in the diffusion, if not of his species, at least of beings analogous to it.

2. But the belief of the advecate, and the public opinion to which he appealed,—what does that prove the instinctive belief that such a notion is mad, I should think. And the operation of this latter instinct is very old. Lactantius laughs at some of the philosophers of the Stole sect, who having ascribed inhabitants to the meen, doubted whether they should also allow them in the sun. 'Why not I says he, 'What would they cost you, when you have gone so far! But I suppose you were

afraid they would be burnt to einders, and the mischief laid at your door.'

- I'. But you see, in this very passage, how general a belief of this kind has always been. The proponderance of belief in all ages has been in favour of the Phrality of Worlds. This involuntary propossession of mankind at large may almost be considered in the light of an argument of some weight. You have done wisely in not going into the history of opinions on this subject.
- 2. I hope I have done wisely in not entering upon that history; for I was much tempted to go into it; and refrained, only because I was afraid of confusing the argument, and introducing questions of eradition.

But you astonish me much by saying that there has always been a proponderance of the belief in the plurality of worlds; meaning by that, of course, the belief that the planets, meen, and sun, are inhabited.

- V. But is it not so !
- 2. As far as my reading goes, quite the contrary. This belief has always been regarded as extravagant, and has been maintained only by a few men, who have become by-words on that account. Moreover it has generally been combined with other opinions, as fantastical as the Residualian plurality of worlds, which you so unhesitatingly reject.
  - What records of such opinions do you find?

Z. Xenophanes hold that there were inhabitants in the moon; but then, they were somehow on an earth which was inside the moon's orb. So Lactantius tells us. Plutarch, in his curious Dialogue 'On the Face which appears in the Moon's Orb,' gives the arguments for and against the doctrino of the moon being inhabited. But the doctrine that it is, is combined with divors mythological fancios, and was evidently regarded as having only the same kind of reality which they had: (in the time of the Emperor Hadrian, recollect.) Kenler translated this Dialogue; and he himself indulged in speculations on the same subject, in which he called the moon Lovana, and spoke of her inhabitants; but as sooms to mo, with much the same amount of conviction as Plutarch. The parrative is put in the mouth of a Lapland witch. In the same way, Lucian makes the moon an island floating aloft and carrying inhabitants, much as Swift represonts Lamita. And even when you come to the modern assertors of the Copernican system, who, as I allow, wore naturally led to guess that there might be inhabitants in the planets, their guesses are so vague and fantastical, that I do not see why we should ascribe to them more weight than to the Resicrucian doctrine of Sylphs and Unomes. Thus Nicolas of Cus, who asserted the possibility of the Heliocentric system before Copernious, in his book 'Do Docth Ignorantia,' says, suitably to the design of the book, which is to show the imperfection of human knowledge; -- that we cannot know whether the inhabitants of other spheres are of a higher nature than man;—although, as he adds, it does not appear how any nature can be more noble, or more perfect, than the intellectual nature of man. He suspects however that in the region of the Sun, the intellectual inhabitants are more clear and illuminated, and more spiritual, than they are in the Moon, where they are 'magis lunatici;' as in the Earth they are 'magis materiales of grossi.'

Giordano Bruno was one of the early assertors of the Copornican system in England. He published a book (in prose and very bad Latin verse), entitled Do Immenso et Innumerabilibus,' in which undoubtedly he asserts the Phrality of Worlds; but he goes much further than the moderns would follow him. He declares that the earth is inhabited in its interior, as well as at its surface: and that animals in the shape of spheres of fire fly through the air, one of which he himself saw.

I do not know of any writers whose speculations on this subject can be treated more seriously, except perhaps Fluyghens, in his Cosmotisores, a post-humous work; which however Humboldt, with great reason, as it seems to me, thinks unworthy of its author:—and by far the most thoughtful and carnest of such speculators, Wilkins, afterwards Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. He laboured at considerable length to prove, not only that the moon is inhabited, but that we need not despair of being able to make visits to the inhabitants.

V. You treat the subject with levity; but un-

doubtedly it is a subject on which many persons bestow very serious thoughts and feelings.

- Z. I am aware of that. I too have my serious thoughts and feelings on the subject; as I hope appears in what I have written. Nor would I treat with levity any serious feelings on this subject. But if the serious thoughts and feelings which flow from a helief in the Plurality of Planetary Worlds have really been produced by a guess, lightly made at first, quite unsupported by subsequent discoveries, and discountenanced by the most recent observations, though too remote from knowledge to be either proved or disproved:—what is there to prevent my speaking of such thoughts and feelings as more results of imagination; and comparing the views on which they rest, with those which I think to be more probable, and more consistent with real seriousness?
- IV. But is there not semething very elevating and consoling in the thought there may be in the stars innumerable worlds, far happier and better than ours? For my part, I can scarcely hear to think that it is not so. If the stars he scale of happy conscious beings, I can reconcile myself to the misery and guilt of earth: but if it he not so, the aspect of the universe is dark and devolate. In such a universe, I do not know how it can be said that 'God is leve.'
- 2. But surely the disciple who so repeatedly tells us that God is loss, never dresmi that the

stars were inhabited; nor did any of those who derived joy and hope from the words for fifteen hundred years afterwards, over find such a belief necessary to their comfort. The need of this view is quite a modern feeling.

- W. But to imagine worlds of intelligent creatures, purer and happier than man, is a consolation and relief to a mind oppressed with the prospect of the guilt and misery which are so prevalent on the earth.
- Z. Be it so. But why place those worlds in the planets, or in the stars, with which we have no reason whatever to connect them? If the ground for believing, or wishing to believe, such better worlds, be the badness of this human world, the better worlds should surely be in some way connected with the state of man; so that their goodness in some way relieves or compensates his vice and misery. If other worlds, quite removed from this terrestrial world, are free from the terrestrial measure of vice and misery, that difference surely makes our terrestrial condition more sad, and not more consolatory. If we might have been so much better and happier, we may well lament the let which has made us what we are.
- W. But perhaps it is our own fault which has made us what we are.
- Z. And if we amend our faults, or are in any way freed from them, we may be transferred to these better planetary abodes. Is that the doctrine!
  - 17. Why not?

- Z. I know no reason why not, except that to place in planets and stars these abodes of our purified and elevated nature, appears to me an assumption without either ground or object. Moreover, in that case, the planets exist for the sake of man, as his future abode. And therefore that supposition makes man the center of the providontial scheme of the universe; which is precisely what is objected to the opinion that the earth alone is inhabited. I have no objection to this supposition. I can readily believe that God has done that for man, which he has not done for any other creature, and that man is the center of the providential scheme according to which the world has been conducted from the beginning. But I thought that you could not feel entiafied without other fields of morel agency.
- IF. Surely you will not dony that there is in this world so much vice and misery, that it is oppressive to have to think of it as the best world in existence.
- Z. To think of man, being what he is, as the ultimate work of Providence, would indeed be oppressive. But if man, as he is, he the germ of something better, which he is to be, there is, in that thought, more consolation, I should think, than in millions of inhabited planets which have no connection with man.
- . IV. But how difficult is it to discover how man is to be made better, and to make him so !

- Z. Difficult indeed! And it is, I believe, the difficulty of this task, which makes men so willing to take refuge from the painful effort in the easy imagination of planetary olyalums. But yet this task is our real business; however many worlds there may be in the planets, or however few, our business is to make this world of earth better than it is. If we turn aside from that undertaking in indifference or despair, what shall we be the better for the mild light of the host of moons which shine on Jupiter's inhabitants, or the splendour of the skies where planets revolve round a double star, of which the two components wear the colours of the ruby and the emerald!
- X. When you say that our business is to improve the world in which we live, you must recollect that it is our business quite as much to improve ourselves. This is every body's business: to improve the world may be the office of few only.
- Z. No doubt: we ought to try to mend both; the Microcoem, as well as the Macrocoem: the little world within us, as well as the great Counce without.
- A. Yes: but have you a right to call the universe a Cosmos? Do you not, by divesting the stars of their use, make Cosmos into Chaos?
- Z. Nay, surely a world characterized by unity is as orderly as a world of plurality. That man is the center of creation, and that all the rest of the universe exists on his account, is, whether true or

not, at any rate a simple and intelligible scheme. The accumulation of innumerable worlds of moral and intellectual creatures, without connection or subordination, may rather be termed a chaos; 'A mighty maze, and all without a plan,' so far as we can see, or even guess. When the term Cosmos was invented and applied, those who used it never dreamt of other inhabited worlds besides the earth, and other rational beings besides man.

Y. But in your inclination to make man the center of creation, and the object of all the rest of the universe, are you not forgetting the admonitions of those who warn us against this tendency to self-glarification. You will recollect how much of this warning there is in the Essay on Man:

Ask for what end the heavenly hodies shine— Earth for whose use? Pride answers, 'tis for mine:

And the rest of the passage. To imagine curselves of so much consequence in the eyes of the Greater, is natural to us, self-ecupied as we are, till philosophy rebukes such conceit.

Z. It is quite right to attend to such warnings. But warnings may also be useful on the other side: warnings against self-disparagement; against the belief that man is set an important object in the eyes of the Creator. I do not know what philosophy represents man as insignificant in the eyes of the Deity. Kant, you will recollect, said that

two things impressed him with awe; the Starry Heaven without him, and the Moral Principle within.

And still less does Religious Philosophy favour the belief of man's insignificance in the eyes of God. What great things, according to the views which Religion teaches, has He done for mankind, and for each man!

- Y. Yes, you build the philosophy of your Essay on a religious basis. You take for granted the truths of Revealed Religion, and reason from them. But that is not the way to arrive at true views of the physical universe.
- Z. You must excuse me for saying that I do not reason in the way which you ascribe to me. I obtain my views of the physical universe from the acknowledged genuine sources; observation and calculation. The destrine of inhabited planets and stars rosts in a very small degree on physical grounds; as far as I can see any grounds of physical reasoning on that subject, I reason physically. But the doctrine is defended upon theological grounds also. I do not attempt to disprove the Plurality of Worlds by taking for granted the truths of Revenled Religion; but I say that the teaching of Religion, may, to a candid inquirer, suggest the wisdom of not taking for granted the Plurality of Worlds. Religion seems, at first sight at least, to represent Man's history and position as unique. Astronomy, some think, suggests the contrary. I examine the

force of this latter suggestion, and it seems to me to amount to little or nothing.

As illustrating the general bearing of such speculations, I may perhaps be allowed to say that the views contained in the Essay have in several instances, as I have learnt, been received as very consolatory and satisfactory, by persons who are in the habit of deriving their opinions from religious principles.

- Y. And yet many such persons had found great satisfaction and pleasure in the speculations of Chalmers, which proceed so far in the opposite direction.
- Z. That may be. But I may say, on the other hand, that I have found religious persons, who, returning to the perusal of Chalmers's Astronomical Discourses, now that the first burst of their popularity arising from their great elequence, is past, have found his suppositions and pictures painfully bold; and his views very far from consolatory. They see little comfort in the suggestion that the inhabitants of earth are more wicked and more miserable than those of any other part of the creation.
- Y. But surely the contemplation of the possible existence of creatures purer and more elevated than ourselves, has a tendency to see the and raise our minds. And such a belief is strongly encouraged by Religion.
- Z. A belief in Ministering Angels, and Blessed Spirits, you mean. I grant you: but once more,

how do you connect this belief with the planets and the stars! According to the views of religious men, such Ministers have their offices, in a great measure, among men:

Myriads of spiritual creatures walk the carth, Unseen, both when we wake and when we sleep.

And if you would have your thoughts raised and solomnized by a view of a company of spirits, puror and higher than man in his mortal condition, I will quote you a passage from a modern Divine, of a soborer elequence than the great Preacherof Scotland, and I think, of a sounder philosophy.

'How does the zealous Christian, alive to the honour of God, and troubled, like Elijah, to see his followers few, rejoice in every speculation by which he can persuade himself that the borders of his dominion are extending; that the cords of his tent are gaining length. How does he hall the Christian settlements amongst the horde of savages in the solitary islands of the Southern main! How does he anticipate in his glowing thoughts the day when the knowledge of the Lord is to cover the earth as the waters cover the sea! How does he even indulge in fanciful but innocent conjectures on the relation the Incornation and Atonemont of Christ may bear to the inhabitants of other planets besides our own! So vast does he naturally think must be the effect of the Sacrifice of Him who was with God, and who was God, and by whom all things were made. He can scarcely persuade hunself to

contemplate that great mystery morely in respect to this little earth. He is reluctant to circumscribe its virtues to the limited compass of a plot of ground like this. Behold then we show unto him a more excellent way! Lot him turn his moditations to this doctring of the Communion of Saints, and his heart becomes knowfully enlarged. In that direction he may give his thoughts loave to wander in the full assurance of faith. There he finds a vast population of souls, some in the body, some out of the body, wherever dwelling, whorever the Paradise of God may be; of which the earth however is but the ante-chamber, and death the door; and he beholds troops of spirits in uncoming succession in the act of emigrating to that ample colony, never to be everpeopled, till the day when God shall have made up the number of his clost !

This, and more in the same admirable strain, you will find in a Sermon preached at Cambridge by Professor Blunt, in November 1849. And we cannot end our discussion better than so.

- AA. No, my friend, you are not to be allowed to end the discussion so. Here are forty pages of objections to your Essay, from a Critic who writes in a very volument and angry strain.
- Z. If he writes in a vehement and angry strain, I will have nothing to say to him. I have presented, gravely and calmly, the views and arguments which occurred to my mind, on a question

which many persons think an interesting one; and if any one will introduce any other temper into the discussion of this question, with him I will hold no argument. But how does it appear that he is vehement and angry?

- AA. I will read to you a few of the expressions which he uses, and you shall judge. He declares that to conceive such a universe as he says that you conceive, 'indicates a mind dead to feeling and shorn of reason.' He maintains that 'minds of the highest caste' view the world as he views it; but that 'ordinary minds' have the difficulties which he ascribes to you; though he can hardly conceive that persons 'of the smallest mental capacity' have such difficulties. He says, of your assertion, that the earth and its human inhabitants are, as far as we yet know, in an especial manner the subject of God's care and government, 'that the sentiment is groundless and the reason futile.' He speaks of your 'very silly attempt at argument.' He says that you 'travel, con amore, to our moon, a fit study and a suitable residence for one who holds the opinions and invents the theories of our author.'
  - Z. Severe and original sarcasm!
- AA. He speaks of doctrines which he opposes, as 'an inconecivable absurdity which no sane mind can cherish, but one panting for notoriety:' and as 'a supposition too ridiculous even for a writer of romance.' Are you satisfied? He ascribes to you a bold attempt to 'concuss' the

reader into your views 'by repeated applications of the argumentum baculinum.'

- Z. The argumentum baculinum! That used to mean a sound beating with a staff. It is plain that he is very sore; but I am quite at a less to understand what I have done to hurt him. But, as you say, it is evident enough that he is very angry. I cannot conceive why he should be so. He believes, I suppose, that the planets and the stars are inhabited. I have no objection to his believing this. But does he give reasons for his believing this. But does he give reasons for his belief! Does he attempt to solve the difficulties, which I have noticed as attendant upon the belief! Does he answer the arguments which I have offered, to shew that the belief is ill-founded! If he does this, he may be worth notice, angry though he he.
- AA. He says that 'we deduce from this language of Scripture,' ('When I consider the heavens...what is man!') 'a positive argument for the plurality of worlds:' for that view makes the Hebrew poet's wonder intelligible.
- Z. That the Hebrew poet knew or thought about the plurality of worlds, is a fact hitherto unnoticed by the Historians of Astronomy. To their consideration I leave it.
- AA. He says that the similarity of the conditions of the different planets, when it was discovered, led men to believe that they were occupied by life; and that thus the belief that the Earth alone was inhabited became more and more presumptuous.

- Z. Then he holds that all planets are inhabited!
  - AA. So it seems, by his argument.
- Z. Does he hold this of the twenty-nine small planets between Mars and Jupitor!
- AA. He does not, I think, say so: but to be consistent, he must so hold it.
- Z. Does he believe that meteoric stones are of the same nature as the small planets?
- AA. Not only so; but he claims this 'theory of meteoric stones' as his own, published forty years ago.
- Z. Then I must ask him why he does not believe the meteoric stones to have been inhabited?
- AA. I suppose he will say, because they have not been found to be so, when brought within the reach of examination.
- Z. Then is it not likely that June, Irone, Lutetin, and the rest of the twenty-nine smaller planets, if brought within the reach of examination, would be found to be uninhabited also?
- AA. That may be; and yet the larger planets, ... Mars, Jupiter, and the like, may be inhabited.
- Z. But the argument that they are inhabited, because they are planets, fails him. Has he any other reasons?
- AA. Yes; he has other reasons. He says that, if not inhabited, the planets would be wasted.
- Z. This question of wasted means, I have fully considered Chap. xi, Art. 11, &c. and must leave what I have there said to be considered by the reader.

- AA. The Critic is shocked, he says, at your speaking of planets which have 'falled in the making.'
- Z. The expression is intended to remind the reader (as any intelligent reader will see) in how many instances natural objects seem to us to fail in the making. This appears in millions of undeveloped or uncompleted vegetable and animal embryos, which have marks of a fitness for ulterior uses far more clearly than the planets, and yet never are used. Is there any offence in saying that a seed which is killed, fails to produce the plant which it is fitted to produce? Doubtless such seeming failure is part of the Divine Plan. It is failure to our eyes only. But we are not to make a Divine Plan for ourselves, by excluding, as irreverent, the notion of such failures.

However, I have discussed this matter in Chapter xI, with reference to various provinces of creation.

- AA. Your Critic calls that a 'Chapter of omniscionee.'
- Z. That is an odd expression; for the Chapter contains only a few facts familiarly known to all persons who take a comprehensive view of nature. If the Critic thinks such knowledge either extraordinary or inapplicable, he is very unfit to speculate on such questions as he deals with.

But what does he say of the difficulties attendant upon the destrine of the plurality of worlds, such as I have stated in Chapter IV!

- AA. He says 'after a careful perusal of this Chapter, we must acknowledge our inability either fully to understand its meaning, or to see its bearing on the real question of a plurality of worlds. It is a more display of ingenuity, obliterating metaphysically the brightness of our perceptions, and coming over our minds like an Eastern fog on a spring morning, or like the tail of a comet over a cluster of stars.'
- Z. If he cannot see the difficulties which the question involves, he is not one of those for whom I wrote. I am sorry that he has allowed me to obliterate metaphysically the brightness of his perceptions.
- AA. He does, however, speak of the religious difficulty; and says, that 'Dr Chalmers has rather out than untied the knot.' His own solution of the question concerning the redemption of other worlds appears to be this: that the provision made for the redemption of man by what took place upon earth eighteen hundred years ago, may have extended its influence to other worlds.
- Z. In reply to which astronomico-theological hypothesis, three remarks offer themselves. In the first place, the hypothesis is entirely without warrant or countenance in the revolution from which all our knowledge of the scheme of redemption is derived. In the second place, the events which took place upon earth eighteen hundred years ago, were connected with a train of events in the history of man, which had begun at the crea-

tion of man, and extended through all the intervening agos: and the bearing of this whole series of events upon the condition of the inhabitants of other worlds must be so different from its bearing on the condition of man, that the hypothesis needs a dozen other auxiliary hypotheses to make it intelligible. And in the third place, this hypothesis, making the earth, insignificant as it seems to be in the astronomical scheme, the center of the theological scheme, ascribes to the earth a peculiar distinction, quite as much at variance with the analogies of the planets to one another, as the supposition that the earth alone is inhabited; to any nothing of the bearing of the Critic's hypothesis on the other systems that encircle other AUIDA.

- AA. Your Critic does not allow, as I have already told you, that man and his history are an especial care of God. He says 'the lily that neither tolls nor spins,—the ravens that neither sow nor reap,—the sparrows, though of less value than man,—the crushed insect,—the broken planet,—the twinkling star,—are all as special objects of God's care, as the wise elephant,—the illiterate biped,—the presumptuous philosopher, and the great globe itself, and all that it inherits.
  - Z. But surely a scheme for the redemption of man, bound up with the whole history of man and establishing a special relation between man and God, shows a care more special than the Divine operation which clothes the lily and feeds the

sparrow; and this was implied, whon it was said, 'Fear not; ye are of more value than many sparrows.'

Can you not find something more to the purpose in the criticism?

AA. Porhaps if we go into the detail of the physical argument we may. In opposition to your destrine, that Jupiter is a sphere of water, he says, that if it were so, 'The light reflected from his surface, when he is in his quadratures, must contain, what it does not, a visible portion of polarised light: and if his crust be composed of mountains of ice, some of whose faces may reflect the light at nearly the polarising angle, that fact would be distinctly indicated, which it is not, by a very large quantity of polarised light.'

Z. That is a very good remark; and would be of considerable importance, if the observations of which the result is asserted, have been made carefully; which I by no means deny. But I doubt whether the remark is applicable: for Jupiter's watery or icy mass must be clothed in a thick stratum of air, and aqueous vapour, and clouds.

AB. But even if the planet were free from clouds, the parts of the planet's surface from which polarized light would be reflected would only be as points compared with the whole surface; and the common light reflected from the whole surface would quite everwhelm and obliterate the polarized light.

Pray let me hear some more of his arguments.

- AA. He says that your argument from Goology (Chap. v.) is erroneous, because you make the age of the earth in its present organic condition to be brief compared with preceding periods; whereas it will be infinite.
- Z. I reason at present from the present state of things; as I have said, Chapter xII. Art. 20. But how does he know that the duration of the human race on the earth will be infinite!
- AA. He says that 'neither reason nor religion leads us to believe that the Solar System will ever be destroyed, or that living beings will ever cease to eccupy the earth.' He says that 'if this is not the case, we are involved in that inconceivable absurdity,' &c. &c., 'that the Almighty took millions of years to make a world which was to be occupied only for a few thousands.'
- Z. It seems to me that he must be a very bold man to speak so confidently on such subjects.
- AA. He is offended at your using that expression. You have said (Chap. vii. Art. 12) that he must be a very hold man, who says, of the specks into which Lord Resse's telescope resolves the Nebulm, 'Those lumps, O man, are suns,' &c. The Critic says that you might in the same way call a man a very bold man, who says of geological strata, 'Those are the fragments of strata, O man, which though seen only in patches, surround the whole globe,' &c.
- Z. The illustration would have some propriety, if all that we knew of the patches of strats

was, that they were patches of seemingly earthy matter, without any stratified structure or organic contents to identify them. In that case he sould be a very bold man.

- AA. He says that your destrine of Nebulic revolving in spirals is extravagant; and exclaims Risum tenestis Astronomi!
- Z. As I have already said, such astronomers as Lord Rosse have no occasion for his warning, but consider this spiral motion very gravely. And so will any astronomer who looks at the representations of Nebulæ, which I have borrowed from Lord Rosse, and made my frontispiece.
- AA. He denies that Enoko's Comet revolves in a spiral.
  - Z. Very good. Let Encke decide between us.
- AA. He says that Biela's Comet was never separated into two; that the luminous portions are connected by a transparent portion.
- Z. You recollect, probably, that when Gailleo discovered the inequalities of the Moon's surface, one of his opponents, who held that the heavenly bedies must be exactly spherical, said that the apparent cavities were filled with transparent crystal. Whereupon Galileo threatened to place upon the surface transparent crystal mountains, ten times as high as the cavities were deep. I must reduce the Critic to reason, if necessary, by proceeding to extremities in the same way. I may held it probable that Biela's Comet is divided into several invisible masses, besides the two visible ones.

- AA. He has an illustration to show how little is proved by the changing of colour, or extinction, of a few among the Fixed Stars. He supposes that London is lighted by a single electric light on the top of St Paul's; and that an aeronaut, knowing this, flying over France, sees many lights. He will suppose that they illumine cities and villages, though a few go out, or burn red or blue.
- Z. Yes, if he knows that he is moving over France, an inhabited country: but in our case, that is precisely the thing unknown. That being the fact, each of these lights may be an active volcanic fire, or a patch of phosphoroscent matter.
- Ad. He says that your modification of Fontonello's illustration (Chap. viii. Art. 26) is unjust, because the stars are more like each other than islands in the same see are.
- Z. The opposite opinion, that neighbouring islands, in the same sea, are much more like each other than the stars are, appears to me much more probable; and I have given reasons for thinking so.

Does he follow Fontanelle in his conjectures as to the character and condition of the inhabitants of different planets?

AA. Oh, he is much bolder than the old Cartesian, in his conjectures as to the ways in which the planetary races may differ from man. He says: 'The being of enother mould [world !] may have his home in subterranean cities, warmed by central fires,—or in crystal caves, cooled by ocean tides,—or he may float with the Norcids upon

the deep,—or mount upon wings as eagles, or have the pinions of the dove that he may flee away and be at rest.'

- Z. Very pretty: and withal very much to the purpose; for such writing is a fair specimen of the mode in which the notion of creatures, governed by physical laws different from those which regulate the existence of man, may be embedied and realized. But then I return to my question (Chap. xr. Art. 17): Why should these creatures be confined to inhabit other planets! Why should they not dwell on, and move in and about, the earth! They are precisely the Gnomes, Naiads, and Sylphs of the Regionucians, about which I was talking a little while ago.
- AA. There are some other objections made by the Critic in a worse spirit. He tries repeatedly to connect your speculations with these of the Vestiges of the Oreation.
- Z. If he were to try to connect me with an answer to that book, which went through two editions, under the title of Indications of the Creator, he would be nearer the mark. At least I adopt the sentiments of this latter book; and they agree with those of the Essay, as you may satisfy yourself by looking. In both works, the placing of man on the earth is regarded as an event out of the ordinary course of nature; directly the opposite of the doctrine of the Vestiges. The Resay is mainly employed, first, in proving this doctrine, and then in reasoning from it.

AA. Yos; the person who, after reading the Essay, so misrepresents it, using (to adopt his own language) 'the boldness of an anonymous writer,' is deserving of 'sovere consure' and 'grave represent.'

There is another passage which will give you some pain. The Critic says that he looks to a future existence with hopes which the study of the heavens has enlarged; and that 'in the infinity of space, and amid infinity of life, we descry the home and the companions of the future. That home and these companions have been denied us by the author of the work before us.'

Z. I am certainly pained at hearing such a remark. If any man have connected his hopes and images of an existence beyond this life with his astronomical opinions, I should be much grieved at being the instrument of disturbing such a form of faith. But at the same time, I cannot think that the partial existence of such notions,—unauthorized fancies, as I believe them to be,—is to be deemed so sacred a thing, that we are not to be allowed to speak of our hope and belief in the Future in any other form.

The author of the Vestiges had speken, complainingly, of 'the awakened and craving mind asking what science can do for us in explaining the great ends of the Author of Nature, and our relations to him, to good and evil, to life and to oternity.' On this the author of the Indications of the Creator remarked, that we shall be able to bear our ignorance the more patiently, if we

have not looked to science for that which science cannot give. In the truth and importance of this reflexion on such occasions, I entirely agree.

- AB. Since this Dialogue was first printed, the Critic has published his arguments on the Plurality of Worlds, with his name to the book. But his book is still mainly a series of vituperative expressions about your Essay. He offers you warnings as to the tendency of your speculations. He says, 'However sincero may be his piety, which we do not question, we tell him with confidence that his theories are replete with danger;' and so on.
- Z. No doubt he means well. But is this us the language employed in the book with the Author's name to it?
- AB. Yes: I suppose he has become so accustomed to the tone of the anonymous reviewer that he uses it unconsciously. The 'boldness of the anonymous writer,' which he speaks of, becomes the 'confidence' of the singular 'we.' But what do you say to his advice!
- Z. I should wish to give him a few words of warning in return, which I would offer with a very sincere desire that he should not do mischief without intending it.

I would warn him that the doctrine that the planets are all inhabited like the earth, and that the stars are centers of inhabited systems like the Sun, is a part of that Nebular Hypothesis which he holds in horror.

I would warn him that the author of the Vestiges of Creation, who would reject my opinions, would readily accept his.

I would warn him that the habit of accusing men of irroverence because they do not assent to his explanation of the uses of every part of evention, is very unlikely to promote a reverential feeling in his readers.

I would warn him that the doctrine that there are innumerable worlds besides that human world in which God has revealed himself to man, however familiar it may have become to those who take their opinious from modern books of popular astronomy, is not congenial to those who derive their views from revelation.

And having said this, I hope I have done with the Critic and his criticism.

- BB. But here is a Critic of another mood, who speaks with great praise and admiration of your Essay. And you will not value his praise the loss, that he is a Critic who habitually takes a religious view of literary works.
- Z. I cortainly hoped for sympathy from such persons, and I have found it.
- BB. He quotes with strong approval the passage in which (Chap. xi. Art. 34) you speak of the 'lumps which have flown from the potter's wheel of the Great Worker,' &c.
- AA. That is curious, for your other Critic 'consigns it to a note' and exclaims at it.

- Z. But does my favourable Reviewer find no faults?
- BB. He taxes you with misquoting Professor Owen: but that you have already answered in talking to T. He says also that he himself, as early as 1844, had written to the effect that the Earth alone may be the inhabited part of the universe.
- Z. I have no wish to lay any stress upon the originality of the views presented in the Essay. I now know that soveral years ago, (in 1849) Hugh Millor, in his First Impressions of Lingland, (Chap. xvii.) presented an argument from Goology, very much of the nature of that which I have employed; and that the Roy. Mr Birks, in a little Tract published in 1850, urged the very insecure character of the destrine that the planets and stars are inhabited. These coincidences with my views, I did not know till my Essay was not only written, but printed. As to myself, the views which I have at length committed to paper, have long been in my mind. The convictions which they involved grow gradually deeper, through the effect of various trains of speculation; and I may also say, that when I proceeded to write the Essay, the arguments appeared to me to assume, by being fully unfolded, greater strength than I had expected. But however that may be, be the arguments strong or work, there they are, delivered in all sincerity and simplicity. Liberavi animam mean.
  - AB. Here is another critic, who, also look-

ing at your speculations from a religious point of view, approves them in general, but is effended at what you say in your last chapter; of the possibility of a supernatural interposition, which might take place, to plant upon the earth the germ of a Divine Society, as at the Creation of man there was placed upon the earth the germ of human society; and which might introduce a period in which the earth should be tenanted by a more excellent creature than at present.

- Z. But what is there in this, at which a religious person can be offended?
- AB. He says that by this supposition, Christ's work is to all intents and purposes eliminated from this present world; and sake, Has not the Supernatural Interposition already taken place!
- Z. That a Supernatural Interposition in order to found a Divine Society upon earth has taken place already, Revolation informs us; and I hoped that I had prevented the recognition of this fact in the Essay from being everlooked. But the question, whether our scientific views can in any manner illustrate this Interposition, still remains, and is not to be lightly disposed of. Is it not necessary that we should touch with the utmost caution and forbearance, upon any physical analogies regarded as having such a bearing! The Interposition having occurred, there may be no physical analogy which at all illustrates it: but if it be analogous to the fact that, in the history of the earth, there has been already once placed upon the

earth a creature incomparably more excellent than its previous inhabitants, the question occurs, how are we, on this view, to interpret this greater excollence of the Creature, since the Interposition! What supposition must we make! Is man more excellent than before, because he is becoming better by a slow progression! Or is it because he is to be further exalted, by a Second Coming of the Divine Power! Or is man's clovation to be, not an exaltation of his terrestrial condition, but his transfor to a new state of existence! I have, in the Essay, put forth, however briefly, these three suppositions: and having dono this, I thought that religious persons would see, that whatever place each of them may hold in the contemplations of one who believes that such a Divine Interposition has taken place, he could neither feel himself justified in pressing upon his readers any one of these views, nor in rejecting them all. Analogies between the government of the natural and of the spiritual world are to be dwelt upon with great reserve and caution: and perhaps can never be safely urged, except as negative arguments, to show that what religion reveals is not contrary to natural probability. And therefore I did not venture further, than to intimate, that when we are tought, that as see have borns the image of the earthy too shall also bear the image of the heavenly, we may find, even in natural science, reasons for opening our minds to the reception of the cheering and clovating announcement.

AB. I think that what you have said in Article 19-21 of your last Chapter is sufficient to suggest this; and certainly, by attempting to enforce any of the alternative suppositions which you have mentioned, you would have given more offence to many readers, than you have done by leaving your religious readers to follow their own choice among them. And surely the way in which these suppositions are put is such as to show, to any attentive reader, that so far from doubting whother a Divine Interposition have taken place, the thing you doubt is, whether it can receive any illustration from the destrines of geology.

OF THE

AN ESSAY.

PLURALITY OF WORLDS.

# On nature's Alpa I stand,

And see a thousand firmaments beneath I A thousand systems, as a thousand graine !

So much a stranger, and so late arrived, How shall man's curious spirit not inquire

What are the natives of this world sublime. Of this so distant unterestrial uphere,

Where mortal, untranslated, never stray'd!

NIGHT THOUGHTS.

## OF THE

## PLURALITY OF WORLDS.

### CHAPTER L

## Astronomical Discoveries.

'Will's I consider the heavens, the work of thy fingers, the moon and the stars, which thou hast ordeined; What is man, that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visitest him?'

J These striking words of the Hebrew Paulmist have been made, by an elegnent and pious writer of our own time, the starting point of a remarkable train of speculation. Dr Chalmers, in his Astronomical Discourses, has treated the reflexion thus surgosted, in connexion with such an aspect of the heavens and the stars, the earth and the universe, as modern astronomy presents to us. Ryan from the point of view in which the ancient Hebrew looked at the stars; seeing only their number and molendour, their lefty position, and the vast space which they visibly occupy in the sky; compared with the earth, which lies dark, and mean, and porhaps small in extent, for beneath them, and on which man has his habitation; it appeared wonderful, and scarcely credible, that the maker of all that array of huminaries, the lord of that wide and magnificent domain, should occupy himself with the concerns of men: and yet, without a heller in III4 fatherly care and goodness to us, thoughtful and religious persons, accustomed to turn their minds constantly to a supreme Governor and constant Benefactor, are left in a desolute and bewildered state of feeling. The notion that while the hearvone are the work of God's flugors, the sun, moon. and stars ordained by him, Ho is not mindful of man, doos not regard him, does not visit him, was not tolorable to the thought of the Palmist. While we read, we are sure that he believed that, howover instruificant and mean man might be, in comparison with the other works of God - however difficult it might seem to conceive, that he should be found worthy the regards and the visits of the Croator of All, -yot that God was mindful of him. and did visit him. The question, What is man, that this is so? implies that there is an answer. whether man can discover it or not. man, that God is mindful of him?' indientes a bellof, unshaken, however much perplexed, that man is something, of such a kind that God in mindful of him.

2 But if there was room for this questioning, and cause for this perplexity, to a contemplative person, who looked at the skies, with that helief concerning the stars, which the ancient Hebrew possessed, the question recurs with far greater force, and the perplexity is immensurably increased, by the knowledge, concerning the stars, which is given to us by the discoveries of modern astronomy. The Jew probably believed the earth to be a region, upon the whole, level, however diversified with hills and valleys, and the skies to be a vault arched over this level;—a firmament in which the

moon and the stars were placed. What magnitude to assign to this vault, he had no mouns of knowing; and indeed, the very aspect of the necturnal hoavons, with the multitude of stars, of various brightness, which come into view, one set after another, as the light of day dies away, suggests rather the notion of their being scattered through a vast dopth of space, at various distances, than of their being so many lights fastened to a single vaulted surface. But however he might judge of this, he regarded them as placed in a space, of which the earth was the central region. The host of heavon all had reference to the cartle. The sun and the moon were there, in order to give light to it, by day and by night. And if the stars had not that for their principal office, as indeed the amount of light which they gave was not so great as to oncourage such a bolief,-and perhaps the percoption, that the stars must have been greated for some other object than to give light to man, was one of the principal circumstances which suggested the train of thought that we are now considering; yot still, the region of the stars had the earth for its center and base. Perhaps the Psalmist, at a subsequent period of his contemplations, when he was pundering the reflexions which he has expressed in this passage, might have been led to think that the stars were placed there in order to draw man's thoughts to the greatness of the Creator of all things; to give some light to his mental. rather than to his bodily eye; to show how far Illu mode of working transcends man's faculties; to suggest that there are things in heaven, very difforent from the things which are on earth. If he thought thus, he was only following a train of thought on which contemplative minds, in all neces

ASTRONOMICAL DISCOVERIES.

and countries, have often dwelt; and which we cannot, even now, pronounce to be either unfounded or exhunated; as we trust hereafter to show. whother or not this be so, we may be certain that the Psalmist regarded the stars, as things having a reference to the earth, and yet not resembling the earth: as works of God's fingers, very different from the earth with its tribes of inhabitants; as luminaries, not worlds. In the feeling of awe and normovity, which made him ask, 'What is man, that thou art mindful of him? there was no mixture of a persuasion that there were, in those luminaries. orentures, like man, the children and subjects of God; and therefore, like man, requiring his care and attention. In asking, What is man, that thou visitest him? there was no latent comparison, to make the question imply, that thou visitest kim, rather than those who dwell in those abodes?' It was the multitude and magnificence of God's works, which made it seem strange that he should care for a thing so small and mean as man; not the supposed multitude of God's intelligent erentures inhabiting those works, which made it seem strange that he should attend to every person upon this earth. It was not that the Psulmist thought that, among a multitude of earths, all peopled like this earth, man might seem to be in danger of being overlooked and neglected by his Maker; but that, there being only one earth, occupied by frall, fooble, sinful, shortlived greatures, it might be unworthy the regards of Him who dwelt in regions of oternal light and splendour, unsulfied by finilty, inaccossible to corruption.

3 This, we can have no doubt, or something resembling this, was the Panlmist's view, when he made the reflexion, which we have taken as the

basis of our romarks. And even in this view, (which, after all that science has done, is perhaps still the most natural and familiar,) the reflexion is exfromely striking; and the words cannot be uttered without finding an coho in the broast of every contomplative and religious person. But this view is, as most readers at this time are award very different from that presented to us by Modern Astronomy. The discoveries made by astronomers are supposed by most persons to have proved, or to have made it in the highest degree probable, that this view of the carth, as the sole habitation of intelligent subjects of God's government; and of the stars, as placed in a region of which the cartle is the center, and yet differing in their nature from this lower world; is altogether erroneous. According to astronomors, the earth is not a level annoc, but a globo. Some of the stars which we see in the vault of heaven, are globes, like it; some smaller than the earth, some larger. There are reasons, drawn from analogy, for believing that these globes, the other planets, are inhabited by living creatures, as the earth is. The earth is not at rost, with the colcutial luminaries circulating above it, as the ancients believed, but itself moves in a circle about the sun, in the course of every year; and the other planets also move round the min in like manner, in circles, some within and some without that which the earth describes. loction of planets, thus circulating about the sun. is the Solar System: of which the earth thus forms a very small part. Jupiter and Saturn are much larger than the earth. Mars and Venus are nearly as large. If these be inhabited, as the Barth is. which the analogy of their form, movements and conditions, seems to suggest, the population of the

earth is a very small portion of the population of the solar system. And if the mere number of the subjects of God's government could produce any difficulty in the application of his providence to them, a person to whom this view of the world which we inhabit had been disclosed, might well, and with far more reason than the Psalmist, exclaim, 'Lord, what is man, that thou art mindful of him? the inhabitant of this Earth, that thou regardest him?'

4 But this is only the first step in the asserted revelations of astronomy. Some of the stars, are, as we have said, planets of the kind just described. But these stars are a few only :-- five, or at most six, of those visible to the unassisted eve of man. All the rest, innumerable as they appear, and numerous as they really are, are, it is found, objects of another kind. They are not, as the planets are. openue globes deriving their light from a sup. about which they circulate. They shine by a light of their own. They are of the nature of the sun. not of the planets. That they appear mere speeks of light, arises from their being at a vast distance from us. At a vast distance they undoubtedly are; for even with our most powerful telescopes, thay still appear more speeks of light --- more luminous points. They do not, as the planets do, when soon through telescopes, exhibit to us a circular face or disk, capable of being magnified and distinguished into parts and foatures. But this impossibility of magnifying them by means of telescopes, does not at all make us doubt that they may be far larger than the planets. For we know, from other sources of information, that their distance is immensely greater than that of any of the planets. We can measure the bodies of the solar system; -the

earth, by absolutely going round a part of it, or in other ways; the other bodies of the system, by comparing their positions, as seen from different parts of the earth. In this manner we find that the earth is a globe 8000 miles in diameter. this way, again, we find that the circle which the earth describes round the sun has, in round nunbers, a radius about 24,000 times the earth's radius; that is, nearly a hundred millions of miles. The earth is, at one time, a hundred millions of miles on one side of the sun : and at another time. half a year afterwards, a hundred millions of miles on the other side. Of the bright stars which shine by their own light,—the fixed stars, as we call them, (to distinguish thom from the planets, the wantering stars,)—if any one were at any moderate distance from us, we should see it change its apparent place with regard to the others, in consequence of our thus changing our point of view two hundred millions of miles; just as a distant spire changes its apparent place with regard to the more distant mountain, when we move from one window of our house to the other. such change of place is discorpible in any of the fixed stars: or at least, if we believe the most recent asserted discoveries of astronomers, the change is so small as to imply a distance in the star, of more than two hundred thousand times the radius of the earth's orbit, which is, itself, as we have said, one hundred millions of miles!. This

Oh I not me not to such a vest extent,
Those distances belong to Thou;
The world's ten little for Thy tent,
A grave too big for not
(ROBER TERRIER.

<sup>\*</sup> It is quite to our purpose to revolted the impression which such discoveries naturally make upon a pions mind.

distance is so vastly great, that we can very well believe that the fixed stars, though to our best telescopes they appear only as points of light, are really as large as our sun, and would give as much light as he does, if we could approach as near to them. For since they are thus, the nearest of them, two hundred thousand times as far off ay he is, even if we could magnify them a thousand times, which we can hardly do, they would still be only one two-hundredth of the breadth of the sun; and thus, still a mere point,

5 But if each fixed star be of the union of the gun, and not smaller than the sun, does not analogy lead us to suppose that they have, some of them at least, planets circulating about them. as our sun has? If the Sun is the center of the Solar System, why should not Sirius, (one of the brightest of the fixed stars,) be the center of the Sirian System? And why should not that system have as many planets, with the same resemblances and differences of the figure, movements, and conditions of the different planets, as this? should not the Sirian System be as great and as varied as the solar system? And this being granted, why should not those planets he in. habited, as men have inferred the other planets of the solar system, as well as the carth, to he? And thus we have, added to the population of the universe of which we have already spoken, a numbor (so far as we have reason to believe) not inferior to the number of inhabitants of the solur system: this number being, according to all the analogies, very manyfold that of the nonuintlen of the whole earth?

And this is the conclusion, when we reason from one star only, from Sirius. But the argument

is the same, from each of the stars. For we have no reason to think that Sirius, though one of the brightest, is more like our sun than any of the others is. The others appear less bright in various degrees, probably because they are further removed from us in various degrees. They may not be all of the same size and brightness: It is very unlikely that they are. But they may as easily be larger than the sun, as smaller. The natural assumption for us to make, having no ground for any other opinion, is, that they are, upon the average, of the size of our sun. On that assumption, we have as many solar systems as we have fixed stars; and, it may be, six or ten, or twenty times as many inhabited globes; inhabited by creatures of whom we must suppose, by analogy, that God is mindful, if he is mindful of us. The question recurs with everwhelming force, if we still follow the same train of reflexion: What is man, that God is mindful of him?

() But we have not yet exhausted the views which thus add to the force of this reflexion. 'The fixed stars, which appear to the eye so numerous, so innumerable, in the clear sky on a mounless night, are not really so numerous as they seem, To the naked eye, there are not visible more than four or five thousand. The astronomers of Greece, and of other countries, even in ancient times, counted them, manned them, and gave them names and designations. But Astronomy, who thus began her career by diminishing, in some degree, the supposed numbers of the host of heaven, has ended by immeasurably increasing thom. The first application of the telescope to the akies discovered a vast number of fixed stars, proviously unseen: and every improvement in that instrument has

disclosed myriads of new stars, visibly smaller than those which had before been seen; and smaller and smaller, as the power of vision is more and more strengthened by new aids from art; as if the regions of space contained an inexhaustible supply of such objects; -as if infinite space were strown with stars in every part of it to which vision could The small patch of the sky which forms, at any moment, the field of view of one of the great telescopes of Herschel, discloses to him as many stars, and those, of as many different magnitudes, as the whole vault of the sky exhibits to the naked eve. But the magnifying newer of such an instrument only discloses, it does not make. There appears to be quite as much these stars. rousen to believe, that each of these telescopie stars is a sun, surrounded by its special family of planets, as to believe that Sirius or Arcturus is so. Here, then, we have again an extension, indefinite to our approbansion, of the universe, as occupied by material structures; and if so, why not by a living population, such as the material structures which are nearest to us support?

of successive views which astronomers have had opened to them, extending more and more their spectacle of the fulness and largeness of the universe. Not only does the telescope disclose myriads of stars, unseen to the naked eye, and now myriads with each increase of the powers of the instrument; but it discloses also patches of light, which, at first at least, do not appear to consist of stars: Netrales, as they are called; bright specks, it might seem, of stellar matter, thin, diffused, and irregular; not gathered into regular and definite forms, such as we may suppose the stars

to be. Every one who has noticed the starry skies. may understand what is the general aspect of such nobules, by looking at the milky way or galaxy, an irregular band of nobulous light, which runs quite round the sky; 'A circling zone, powdered with stars;' as Milton calls it. But the nebulae of which I more especially speak, are minute patches, discovered mainly by the telescope, and in a few instances only discernible by the naked eye. And what I have to remark especially concerning thom at present is, that though, to visual powers which barely suffice to discorn thom, they appear like more bright clouds, putches of diffused starry matter; yet that, when examined by visual nowers of a higher order, by more punetrating telescopes, those patches of continuous feeble light, are, in many instances at least, distinguishable into definite points: they are found, in fact, to be aggregations of stars; which before appeared as diffused light, only because our tolescopes, though strong enough to reveal to our sonses the aggregate mass of light of the cluster, were not strong enough to enable us to discorn any one of the stars of which the cluster consists. The galaxy, in this way, may, in almost every part, be resolved into somerate stars; and thus, the multitade of the stars in the region of the sky occupied by that winding stream of light, is, when examined by a powerful telescope, inconceivably nnniorone.

8 The small telescopic nebulic are of various forms; some of them may be in the shape of flat strata, or cakes, as it were, of stars, of small thickness, compared with the extent of the stratum. Now if our sun were one of the individuals of such a stratum, we, looking at the stars of the stratum

from his neighbourhood, should see them very numerous and close in the direction of the edge of the stratum, and comparatively few and rare in other parts of the sky. We should, in short, see a galaxy running round the sky, as we see in fact. And honge Sir William Herschel has inferred, that our sun, with its attendant planets, has its place in such a strutum; and that it thus belongs to a host of stars which are, in a cortain way, detached from the other nebula which we see. Perhaps, he udds, some of those other nebulm are beds and masses of stars not loss numerous than those which compose our galaxy, and which occupy a larger portion of the sky, only because we are immersed in the interior of the crowd. And thus, a minute speck of habulous light, discornible only by a good telescone, muy contain not only as many stars as occupy the sky to ordinary vision, but as many as is the number into which the most powerful telescope resolves the milky light of the galaxy. And of much resolvable nobules the number which are discovered in the sky is very great, their forms being of the most various kind; so that many of them may be, for aught we can tell, more amply stooked with store than the galaxy is. And if all the stars, or a large proportion of the stars, of the galaxy, be suns attended by planets, and these planets peopled with living creatures, what notion must we form of the population of the universe, when we have thus to recken as many galaxies as three are resolvable nobulas! the stock of discoverable nebules being as yet unexhausted by the powers of our telescopes; and the possibility of resolving them into stars being also an operation which has not yet been pursued to its limit.

D For, (and this is the last stop which I shall

mention in this long series of ascending steps of multitude apparently infinite,) it now begins to be suspected that not some nebulae only, but all, are resolvable into separate stors. When the nebulæ were first carefully studied, it was supposed that they consisted, as they appeared to consist, of some diffused and incoherent matter, not of definite and limited masses. It was conceived that they were not stars, but Stellar Matter in the course of formation into stars; and it was conceived, further, that by the gradual concentration of such matter, whirling round its conter while it concentrated, not only stars, that is, suns, might be formed, but also systems of planets, circling round those sums; and thus this Nebular Hypothesis, as it has been termed, gave a kind of theory of the origin and formation of systems, such as the solar system. But the great toloscope which Lord Rosse has constructed, and which is much more powerful than any optical instrument yet fabricated, has been directed to many of the nebule, whose appearance had given rise to this theory; and the result has been, in a great number of cases, that the nebulæ are proved to consist entirely of distinct stars; and that the diffused uchulous appearance is discovered to have been an illusion, resulting from the accumulated light of a vast number of small stars near to each other. In this manner, we are led to regard every nebula, not as an imperfectly formed star or systom, but as a vast multitude of stars, and, for aught we can tell, of systems: for the apparent annillness and nearness of these stars are, it is thought, more results of the vast distance at which they are placed from us. And thus, perhaps, all the nebulæ are, what some of them seem certainly to be, so many yest armies of stars, each of which stars, we have reason to believe, is of the nature of our sun; and may have, and according to analogy has, an accompaniment of living creatures, such as our sun has, certainly on the earth, probably, it is thought, in the other planets.

10 It is difficult to grasp, in one view, the effect of the successive steps from number to number, from distance to distance, which we have thus been measuring over. We may, however, state them again briefly, in the way of enumeration.

From our own place on the earth, we pass, in thought, as a first step, to the whole globe of the Rarth; from this, as a second stop, to the Planets, the other globes which compose the Bolar System. A third stop carries us to the Flack Stars, as visible to the naked eye; very numerous and immensely distant. The transition to the Telescopic . Stars makes a fourth stop ; and in this, the number and the space are increased, almost beyond the power of numbers to express how many there are. and at what distances. But a fifth stop :-- purhaps all this array of stars, obvious and tolescopic, only make up one Nebula; while the universe is occupled by other Nebules innumerable, so distant that, seen from them, our nebula, though including, it may be, stars of the 20th magnitude, which may be 20 times or 2000 times more remote than SIrius, would become a telescopic speek, as their nobulo is to us.

II Various images and modes of representation have been employed, in order to convey to the mind some notion of the dimensions of the scheme of the universe to which we are thus introduced. Thus, we may recken that a cannon-ball, moving with its usual original velocity unabated, would describe the interval between the sun and the earth in about one year. And this being so, the same missile would, from what has been said, econym more, we know not how much more, than 200,000 years in going to the nearest fixed star; and perhaps a thousand times as much, in going to other stars belonging to our group; and then again, 200,000 time so much, or some number of the like order, in going from one group to another. When we have advanced a step or two in this mode of statement, the velocity of the cannon-ball hardly perceptibly affects the magnitude of the number which we have to use.

And the same nearly is the ease if we have recourse to the swiftest motion with which we are acquainted: that of Light. Light travels, it is shown by indisputable scientific reasonings, in about eight minutes from the sun to the corth. Hongo we can easily calculate that it would occupy at least three years to travel as far as Sirius, and probably, three thousand years, or a much greater number, to reach to the smallest stars, or to come from them to us. And thus, as Sir W. Herschol remarked, since light is the only vehicle by which information concerning these distant bodies is convoyed to us, we do, by seeing them, receive information, not what they are at this moment, but what they were, as to visible condition, thousands if of years ago. Stars may have been created when man was greated, and yet their light may not have vot reached him\*. Stars may have been extin-

How distant some of three necturnal sums! He distant (says the sage) 'tween not absurd To doubt if beaus, set out at nature's light, Are yet serived at this so foreign world,

<sup>\*</sup> This thought is, however, akler. Young expresses it in his Wight Thoughts, Night IX., (mblished in 1744):

guished thousands of years ago, and yet may still be visible to our eyes, by means of the light which they confitted provious to their extinction, and which has not yet died away.

So yast then are the distances at which the different bodies of the universe are distributed; and yet so numerous are those bodies. In the variations of their distances, there is, indeed. nothing which need disturb our minds, or which. ulter a little reflexion, is likely to do so; for when we have said all that can be said, about the largemest of these distances, still there is no difficulty in finding room for them. We necessarily conceive Space as being infinite in its extent; however much named the henvenly bodies occupy, there is space hey and them; if they are not there, space is there nevertheless. That the stars and planets are so for from each other, is an arrangement which provents their disturbing each other with their mutual attractions, to any destructive extent; and is an arrangement which the spacious, the infinite universe, admits of, without any difficulty.

13 But we are more especially concerned with the Numbers of the heavenly bodies. So many planets about our sun; so many suns, each perhaps with its family of planets; and then, all these suns making but one group; and other groups coming into view, one after another, in seemingly endless succession; and all these planets being of the nature of our earth, as all these stars are of the nature of our sun;—all this, presents to us a spectacle of a world—of a countless host of worlds.

of which, when we regard thom as thus arranged in planetary systems, and as having, according to all probability, years and seasons, days and nights, as we have, we cannot but accept it as at least a

likely suggestion, that they have also inhabitants; —intelligent beings who can recken these days and years; who subsist on the fruits which the seasons bring forth, and have their daily and yearly occupations, according to their faculties. When we take, as our plan of the universe, such a scheme as this, we may well be overwhelmed with the number of provinces, besides that in which man dwells, which the empire of the Lord of all includes; and, recurring to the words of the Psalmist, we may say with a profundity of meaning immeasurably augmented—"Lord, what is man?"

It was this view, I conceive, which Dr Chalmers had in his thoughts, in pursuing the speculations which I have mentioned, in the outset of this lessay.

### CHAPTER II.

### The Astronomical Objection to Religion.

1 CUCH astronomical views, then, as those just stated, we may suppose to be those to which Chalmers had reference, in the argument of his Astronomical Discourses. These real or supposed discoveries of astronomers, or a considerable part of them, were the facts which were present to his mind, and of which he there discusses the bearings upon religious truths. This multiplicity of systems and worlds, which the telescopic scrutiny of the stars is assumed to have disclosed, or to have made probable, is the main feature in the constitution of the universe, as revealed by seignee, to which his reflexions are directed. Nor can we say that, in fixing upon this view, he has gone out of his way, to struggle with obscure and latent difficulties, such as the bulk of mankind know and care little about. For in reality, such views are generally diffused in our time and country, are common to all classes of readors, and as we may venture to express it, are the popular views of persons of any degree of intellectual culture, who have, directly or derivatively, accounted the doctrines of modern science. Among such persons, expressions which imply that the stars are globes of luminous matter, like the sun; that there are, among them. systems of revolving bodies, seats of life and of intelligence; are so frequent and familiar, that those who so speak, do not seem to be aware that, in using such expressions, they are making any

assumption at all; any more than they suppose themselves to be making assumptions, when they speak of the globular form of the carth, or of its motion round the sun, or of its revolution on its It was, therefore, a suitable and laudable purpose, for a writer like Chalmers, well instructed in science, of large and comprehensive views with regard both to religion and to philosophy, of deep and pervasive piety, and master of a dignified and persuulve elequence, to employ himself in correcting any errenceus opinions and impressions respecting the bearing which such scientific destrines have upon religious truth. It was his lot to labour among mon of great intellectual curlesity, nouteness, and boldness: it was his tendency to deal with new views of others on the most various subjeets, religious, philosophical, and social; and, on such subjects, to originate new views of his own. It fell especially within his province, therefore, to actisfy the minds of the public who listened to

him, with regard to the conflict, if a conflict there was, or seemed to be, between new scientific doc-

by his gulture and his powers, peculiarly fitted, and therefore popularly called, to mediate between the

trines, and permanent religious verities.

P. W.

scientific and the religious world of his time. 2 The scientific doctrine which he especially doals with, in the work to which I refer, is the multiplicity of worlds; -the existence of many seats of life, of enjoyment, of intelligence; and it may be, as he suggests also, of moral law, of transgression, of alienation from God, and of the need, and of the means, of reconciliation to Him; or of obedience to Him and sympathy with Him. if there he many worlds resembling our world in other respects, they may resemble it in some of these, is an obvious, and we may say, an irresistible conjecture, in any speculative mind to which the doctrine itself has been convoyed. Nor can it full to be very interesting, to see how such a writer as I have described deals with such a suggestion; how far he accepts or inclines to accept it; and if so, what aspect such a view leads him to give to truths, either belonging to Natural or to Rovesled Theology, which before the introduction of such a view, were regarded as bearing only upon the world of which man is the inhabitant.

3 The mode in which Chalmers treats this surgestion, is to regard it as the ground of an objection to Religion, either Natural or Reycaled. supposes an objector to take his stand upon the multiplicity of worlds, assumed or granted as true; and to argue that, since there are so many worlds beside this, all alike elsiming the care, the government, the goodness, the interposition, of the Crontor, it is in the highest degree extravagant and absurd, to suppose that he has done, for this world, that which Religion, both Natural and Revenled, represents him as having done, and as doing. When we are told that God has provided, and is constantly providing, for the life, the welfure, the comfort of all the living things which people this earth. we can, by an effort of thought and refluxion, bring ourselves to believe that it is so. When we are further told that He has given a moral law to man. the intelligent inhabitant of the earth, and governs him by moral government, we are able, or at least the great bulk of thoughtful men, on due consideration of all the bearings of the case, are able, to accept the conviction, that this also is so. When we are still further asked to believe that the imnerfoot sway of this moral law over man has required to be remedied by a special interposition of the Governor of the world, or by a series of special interpositions, to make the Law clear, and to remedy the effects of man's transcression of it: this doctrine also,-according to the old and unscientific view, which represents the human race as, in an especial manner, the summit and crown of God's material workmanship, the end of the rest of creation, and the selected theatre of God's dealings with transgression and with obodience,-we can conceive, and, as religious persons hold, we can find ample and satisfactory cyldence to believe. this world be merely one of innumerable worlds, all, like it, the workmanship of God; all, the seats of life, like it; others, like it, occupied by intelligent creatures, capable of will, of law, of obedience, of disobodionoc, as man is: to hold that this world has been the scene of God's care and kindness, and still more, of his special interpositions, cominunications, and personal dealings with its individual inhabitants, in the way which Religion teaches, is, the objector is conceived to maintain, extravagunt and incredible. It is to select one of the millions of globes which are scattered through the vast domain of space, and to suppose that one to be treated in a special and exceptional manner. without any reason for the assumption of such a peculiarity, except that this globe happens to be the habitation of us, who make this assumption, If Religion require us to assume, that one particular corner of the Universe has been thus singled out. and made an exception to the general rules by which all other parts of the Universe are governed; she makes, it may be said, a demand upon our oredulity, which cannot fail to be rejected by those who are in the habit of contemplating and admiring those general laws. Can the Earth be thus the center of the moral and religious universe, when it has been shown to have no claim to be the center of the physical universe? Is it not as absurd to maintain this, as it would be to hold, at the present day, the old Ptolomaic hypothesis, which places the Earth in the center of the heavenly motions, instead of the newer Copernican dectrine, which teaches that the Earth revolves round the Sun? Is not Religion disproved, by the necessity under which she lies, of making such an assumption as this?

Such is, in a general way, the objection to Religion with which Chalmers deals; and, as I have soid, his mode of treating it is highly interesting and instructive. Perhaps, however, we shall make our reasonings and speculations apply to a wider class of readers, if we consider the view new spoken of, not as an objection, urged by an opponent of religion, but rather, as a difficulty, felt by a friend of religion. It is, I conceive, certain that many of those who are not at all disposed to argue against religion, but who, on the contrary, feel that their whole internal comfort and repose are bound up indissolubly with their religious convictions, are still troubled and dismayed at the doctrines of the vastness of the universe, and the multitude of worlds, which they suppose to be taught and proved by astronomy. They have a profound reverence for the Idea of God; they are glad to acknowledge their constant and universal dependence upon lin preserving power and goodness; they are ready and desirous to recognize the working of His providence; they receive the moral law as His law. with reverence and submission; they regard their transcressions of this law as sins against Him; and

are caser to find the mode of reconciliation to Him, when thus estranged from Him: they willingly think of God, as near to thom. But while they listen to the evidence which science, as we have said, sois before them, of the long array of groups, and hosts, and myriads, of worlds, which are brought to our knowledge, they find themselves perturbed and distressed. They would willingly think of God as near to them; but during the progress of this commercian, He appears, at every stop, to be removed further and further from them, To discover that the Earth is so large, the number of its inhabitants so great, its form so different from what man at first imagines it, may perhaps have startled them; but in this view, there is nothing which a pious mind does not easily surmount, But if Vonus and Mary also have their inhabitants: if Saturn and Juniter, globes so much larger than the earth, have a proportional amount of nopulation; may not man be neglected or everlooked? Is he worthy to be regarded by the Creater of all? May not, must not the most pious mind recur to the exclamation of the Palmist; 'Lord, what is man, that then art mindful of him? And must not this exclamation, under the new aspect of things, be accompanied by an enfection and less confident belief that God is mindful of him? And then, this array of planets, which derive their light from the Sun, extends much further than even the astronumber at first suspected. The orbit of Saturn is ten times as wide as the orbit of the earth; but beyond Saturn, and almost twice as far from the sun. Herschel discovers Uranus, another great planet; and again, boyond Uranus, and again at nearly twice his distance, the subtle sagneity of the astronomers of our day, surmises, and then detects, another great planet. In such a system as this, the earth shrinks into insignificance. Can its concerns engage the attention of him who made the whole? But again, this whole Solar System itself, with all its orbits and planets, shrinks into a more point, when compared with the nearest fixed star. And again, the distance which lies between us and such stars, shrinks into incalculable smallness, when we journey in thought to other fixed stars. And again, and again, the field of our previous contemplation suffers an immeasurable contraction, as we pass on to other points of view.

- 5 And in all those successive moves, we are still within the dominions of the same Creator and Governor; and at every move, we are brought, we may suppose, to new bodies of his subjects. bearing, in the expansion of their number, some proportion to the expanse of space which they occupy. And if this be so, how shall the earth, and men, its inhabitants, thus reneatedly unnihilated, as it were, by the growing magnitude of the known Universe, continue to be anything in the regard of Illm who embraces all? Least of all. how shall mon continue to receive that special, preserving, providential, judicial, personal care, which religion implies; and without the belief of which, any man who has religious thoughts, must be disturbed and unhappy, desolute and forsaken?
- 6 Such are, I conceive, the thoughts of many persons, under the influence of the astronomical views which Chalmers refers to as being sometimes employed against religious belief. Of course, it is natural that the views which are used by unbelievers as arguments against religious belief, should create difficulties and troubles in the minus of believers; at least, till the argument is rebutted.

And of course also, the answers to the arguments. considered as infidel arguments, would operate to remove the difficulties which believers entertain on such grounds. Chalmers's reasonings against such arguments, therefore, will, so far as they are valid, avail to relieve the mental trouble of believers, who are perplexed and oppressed by the astronomical views of which I have snoken; as well as to confute and convince those who reject religion, on such astronomical grounds. It may, however, as I have said, be of use to deal with these difficulties rather as difficulties of religious men, than as objections of irreligious men; to examine rather how we can quiet the troubled and perplexed believer, than how we can triumph over the dogmatic and self-satisfied infidel. I, at least, should wish to have the former, rather than the latter of these tasks, regarded as that which I propose to myself.

I shall hereafter attempt to explain more fully the difficulties which the dectrine of the Plurality of Worlds appears to some persons to throw in the way of Revealed Religion: but before I do so, there is one part of Chalmers's answer, bearing especially upon Natural Religion, which it may be proper to attend to.

### CHAPTER III.

# The Answer from the Microscope.

I I'm is not my business, nor my intention, (c) criticise the remarkable work of Claulingra But I may to which I have so often referred. say, that the arguments there employed by him, so far as they go upon astronomical or philosophical grounds, are of great weight; and upon the whole, such as we may both assent to, as acientifically true, and accept as rationally peranasivo. I think, however, that there are other arguments, also drawn from actentitle discoveries, which boar, in a very important and striking manner, upon the opinions in question; and which Chalmers has not referred to; and I concelve that there are philosophical views of another kind, which, for those who desire, and who will venture, to regard the Universe and its Creator, in thes wider and deeper relations which appear to he open to human speculation, may be a source of satisfaction. When cortain positive propositions. maintained as true, while they are really highly doubtful, have given rise to difficulties in the minds of religious persons; other positive propositions, combating these, propounded and amported by argument, that they may be accepted necestiling to their evidence, may, at any rate, have forceenough to break down and dissipate such lossely founded difficulties. To present to the render's mind such spooulations as I have thus incliented, is the object of the following pages. They canof course, pretend to no charm, except for persons who are willing to have their minds occupied with such difficulties and such speculations as I have referred to. Those who are willing to be so employed, may, perhaps, find in what I have to say, something which may interest them. For of the arguments which I have to expound, some, though they appear to me both very obvious and very forcible, have never, so far as I am aware, been put forth, in that religious bearing which seems to belong to them; and others, though aspiring to point out in some degree the relation of the Universe and its Creator, are of a very simple kind; that is, for minds which are prepared to deal with such subjects at all.

2 As I have said, the arguments with which we are here concerned refer both to Natural Religion, and to Revealed Religion: and there is one of Chalmers's arguments, bearing especially upon the former branch of the subject, which I may begin by noticing. Among the thoughts, which, it was stated, might naturally arise in mon's minds, when the telescope revealed to them an innumerable multitude of worlds, besides the one which we inhabit, was this: that the Governor of the Universe, who has so many worlds under his muparement, cannot be conceived as bestowing upon this Kurth, and its various tribes of inhabitants, that care which, till then, Natural Religion had taught men that he does employ, to secure to man the possession and use of his faculties of mind and body; and to all animals, the regulates of animal existence and animal enjoyment. And upon this, Chalmers remarks, that just about the time when science gave rise to the suggestion of this diffioulty, she also guve occasion to a remarkable reply

to it. Just about the same time that the inventio 11 of the Telescope shewed that there were innumerable worlds, which might have inhabitants, requiritiff the Creator's care as much as the tribes of this earth do the invention of the Microscope showeel that there were in this world, innumerable tribers of animals, which had been, all along, enjoying (114) benefits of the Creator's care, as much as there kinds with which man had been familiar from the beginning. The telescope suggested that there might be dwellers in Junitar or in Saturn, of gintat size and unknown structure, who must share with us the preserving ours of God. The microscotte she wed that there had been, close to us, inhabiting minute crevices and cramics, peopling the leavest of plants, and the bodies of other animals, and malcules of a minuteness hitherto unguessed, and of a structure hitherto unknown, who had become slvvays sharers with us in God's proserving care. The telescope brought into view worlds as netmerous as the drops of water which make up (114 ocean; the microscope brought into view a world almost every drop of water. Infinity in O116 direction was balanced by infinity in the others. The doubts which men might feel, as to what (ical could do, were balanced by cortainties which thes discovered, as to what he had always been doingr His care and goodness could not be supposed Le be exhausted by the hitherto known population (): the earth, for it was proved that they had need hitherto been confined to that population, The discovery of new worlds at vast distances from LIM WAB accompanied by the discovery of new worldly close to us; even in the very substances will which we were best acquainted; and was there remidered ineffective to disturb the belief of these

ш.]

who had regarded the world as having God for its

governor.

3 This is a striking reflexion; and is nut by Chalmers in a very striking manner: and it is well fitted to remove the scruples to which it is caneolally addressed. If there be any persons to whom the astronomical discoveries which the telescope has brought to light, suggest doubts or difficulties with regard to such truths of Natural Roligion, as God's care for and government of the inhabitants of the earth; the discoveries of the many various forms of animaloular life which the microscope has brought to light are well fitted to remove such doubts, and to solve such difficulties. We may easily believe that the newer of God to sustain and provide for animal life, animal sustenance, animal enjoyment, can suffice for innumerable worlds besides this, without being withdrawn or distracted or wearled in this earth; for we find that it does suffice for innumerable more inhabitants of this earth than we were before aware of. If we had imagined before, that, in conceiving God as able and willing to provide for the life and pleasure of all the sentient beings which we knew to exist upon the earth, we had formed an adquate notion of his power and of his goodness, these migroscopical discoveries are well adapted to undeceive us. They show us that all the notions which our knowledge, hitherto, had enabled us to form of the powers and attributes of the Creator and Preserver of all living things, are vastly, are immonsurably, below the real truth of They show us that God, as revealed to the case. us in the animal creation, is the Author and Giver of life, of the organization which life implies, of the contrivances by which it is conducted and sus-

tained, of the enjoyment by which it is accompanied,-to an extent infinitely boyond what the unassisted vision of man could have suggested, The facts which are obvious to man; from which religious minds in all ages have drawn their notions and their evidence of the Divine power and goodness, care and wisdom, in providing for its creatures,-require, we find, to be indefinitely extended, in virtue of the new tribes of minute creatures. and still new tribes, and still more minute, which we find existing around us. The views of our Natural Theology must be indefinitely extended on one side; and therefore we need not be startled or disturbed, at having to extend them indelinitely on the other side; at having to believe that there are, in other worlds, creatures whom God has created, whom he sustains in life, for whom he provides the pleasures of life, as he does for the long unsuspected greatures of this world.

4 This is, I say, a reflexion which might quiet the mind of a person, whom astronomical discoveries had led to doubt of the ordinary dectrines of Natural Religion. But, I think, it may be questioned, whether, to produce such doubts, is a common or probable effect of an acquaintance with astronomical discoveries. Undoubtedly, by such discoveries, a person who believes in God, in his wisdom, power, and goodness, on the evidence of the natural world, is required to extend and exalt his conceptions of those Divino Attributes. Ho had believed God to be the Author of many forms of life;—he finds him to be the Anthor of still more forms of life. He had traced many contrivances in the structure of animals, for their sustentation and well being; his new discoveries dis-

close to him (for that is undoubtedly among the effects of microscopic researches,) still more mice contrivunces. He had soon reason to think that all sontient beings have their enjoyments; he finds new fields of enjoyment of the same kind. But in all this, there is little or nothing to disturb the views and convictions of the Natural Theologian. He must, even by the evidence of facts patent to ordinary observation, have been led to believe that the Divine Wisdom and Power are not only great, but great in a degree which we cannot fathern or comprehend; -that they are, to our apprehension, infinite; his new discoveries only confirm the impression of this infinite character of the Divine Attributes. He had before believed the existence of an intelligent and wise Creator, on the evidence of the marks of design and contrivance. which the creation exhibited; of such design and contrivance, he discovers now marks, now exam-He had believed that God is good, because he found those contrivances invariably had the good of the erenture for their object; he finds, still, that this is the general, the universal scheme of the creation, now when his view of it is extended. He has no difficulty in expanding his religious concontions, to correspond with his scientific discoveries, so far as the microscope is the instrument of discovery; there is no reason why he should have any more difficulty in doing the same, when the toloscope is his informant. It is true, that in this case the information is more imperfect. It does not tell him, even that there are living inhabitants in the regions which it reveals; and, consequently, it does not disclose any of those examples of design which belong to the structure of living things. But if we suppose, from analogy, that there are

living things in those regions, we have no difficulty in conceiving, from analogy also, that those living things are constructed with a care and wisdom such as appear in the inhabitants of earth. It. will not readily or commonly occur to a spoonlator on such subjects, that there is any source of perploxity or unbelief, in such an assumption of inhabitants of other worlds, even if we make the assumption. It is as easy, it may well and reasonably be thought, for God to create a population for the planets as to make the planets themselves: -as easy to supply Jupiter with tenants, as with satellites;—as easy to devise the organization of an inhabitant of Saturn, as the structure and coullibrium of Saturn's ring. It is no more difficult for the Universal Creator to extend to these bodies the powers which operate in organized matter. than the newers which operate in brute matter. It is as easy for Him to establish circulation and nutrition in material structures, as cohesion and orystallization, which we must suppose the planetary masses to possess; or attraction and inortia. which we know them to possess. No doubt to our conception, organization appears to be a step beyond cohesion; circulation of living fluids, a stop beyond crystallization of dead masses :- but then. it is in tracing such stops, that we discorn the parentiar character of the Creator's agency. He down not morely work with mechanical and chemionl powers, as man to a certain extent can do: but with organic and vital powers, which man cannot command. The Creator, therefore, can animate the dust of each planet, as easily as make the dust itself. And whon from organic life wo rise to sentient life, we have still only another step in the known order of Creative Power. To create animals, in any province of the Universe, cannot be conceived as much more incomprehensible or incredible, than to create vegetables. No doubt, the addition of the living and sentiont principle to the material, and even to the organic structure, is a mighty stop; and one which may, perhaps, be made the occasion of some speculative suggestions, in a subsequent part of this Resay: but still, it is not likely that any one, who had formed his conceptions of the Divine Mind from its manifestations in the production and sustantation of animal, as well as vegetable life, on this carth, would have his belief in the operation of such a Mind, shaken, by any necessity which might be impressed upon him, of granting the existence of animal life on other planets, as well as on the earth, or even on innumerable such planets, and on innumerable systems of planets and worlds, system above system.

5 The remark of Chalmers, therefore, to which I have referred, striking as it is, does not appear to bear directly upon a difficulty of any great force. If astronomy gives birth to saruples which interfere with religion, they must be found in some other quarter than in the possibility of more animal life existing in other parts of the Universe, as well as on our earth. That possibility may require us to enlarge our idea of the Delty, but it has little or no tendency to disturb our approhension of his attributes.

#### CHAPTER IV.

## Further Statement of the Difficulty.

WE have attempted to show that if the discoveries made by the telescope should excite in any one's mind, difficulties respecting those dectrines of Natural Religion,—the adequacy of the Creator to the support and guardianship of all the animal life which may exist in the universe,—the discoveries of the Microscope may remove such difficulties; but we have remarked also, that the train of thought which leads men to dwell upon such difficulties does not seem to be common.

But what will be the train of thought to which we shall be led, if we suppose that there are, on other planets, and in other systems, not animals only, living things, which, however different from the animals of this earth, are yet in some way analogous to them, according to the difference of circumstances: but also creatures analogous to man ;-intellectual orestures, living, we must suppose, under a moral law, responsible for transgression, the subjects of a Providential Government? If we suppose that, in the other planets of our solar system, and of other systems, there are creatures of such a kind, and under such conditions as these, how for will the religious opinions which we had previously entertained be disturbed or modi-Will any new difficulty be introduced into our views of the government of the world by such a gupposition?

- I have spoken of man as an Intellectual Creature; meaning thereby that he has a Mind;powers of thought, by which he can contemplate the relations and properties of things in a general and abstract form; and among other relations, moral relations, the distinction of right and towns in his actions. Those powers of thought lead him to think of a Creator and Ordainer of all things; and his perception of right and wrong leads him to regard this Creator as also the Governor and Judge of his creatures. The operation of his mind directs him to believe in a Supreme Mind: his moral nature directs him to believe that the course of human affairs, and the condition of men, both as individuals and as bodies, is determined by the providential government of God.
- 3 With regard to the bearing of a merely intellectual nature on such questions, it does not appear that any considerable difficulty would be at once occasioned in our religious views, by supposing such a nature to belong to other creatures, the inhabitants of other planets, as well as to man. The existence of our own minds directs us, as I have said, to a supreme Mind; and the nature of Mind is conceived to be, in all its manifestations, so much the same, that we can conceive minds to be multiplied indefinitely, without fear of confusion, interference, or exhaustion. There may be, in Jupiter, creatures endowed with an intellect which enables them to discover and demonstrate the relations of space; and if so, they cannot have discovered and demonstrated anything of that kind as true, which is not true for us also; their Geometry must coincide with ours, as far as each goes :- thus showing how abourdly, as Plato long ago observed, we give to the science which deals

with the relations of space, a name, (geometry,) borrowed from the art of measuring the earth. The earth with its properties is no more the special basis of geometry, than are Jupiter or Saturn, or so far as we can judge, Sirius or Arcturus and their systems, with their properties. Wherever pure intellect is, we are compelled to conceive that when employed upon the same objects, its results and conclusions are the same. If there be intelligent inhabitants of the Moon, they may, like us, have employed their intelligence in reasoning upon the proporties of lines and angles and triangles; and must, so far as they have gone, have arrived, in their thoughts, at the same properties of lines and angles and triangles, at which we have arrived. They must, like us, have had to distinguish between right angles and oblique angles, may have come to know, as some of the inhabitants of the earth came to know, four thousand years ago, that, in a right-angled triangle, the summe on the larger side is equal to the sum of the squares on the other two sides. We can conceive occurrences which would give us evidence that the Moon. as well as the Earth, contains geometers. If we were to see, on the face of the full moon, a figure gradually becoming visible, representing a right-angled triangle with a square constructed on each of its three sides as a base; we should regard it as the work of intelligent creatures there, who might be thus making a signal to the inhabitants of the carth, that they possessed such knowledge, and were desirous of making known to their nearest neighbours in the solar system, their existence and their speculations. In such an event, ourlous and striking as it would be, we should see nothing but what we could understand and accept, without

maettling our bollef in the Supreme and Divine Intelligence. On the contrary, we could hardly fail to receive such a manifestation as a fresh evidence that the Divine Mind had imparted to the inhabitants of the Moon, as he has to us, a power of apprehending, in a very general and abstract form, the relations of that space in which he performs his works. We should judge, that having been led so far in their speculations, they must, in all probability, have been led also to a conception of the Universe, as the field of action of a universal and Divine Mind; that having thus become geometers, they must have ascended to the Idea of a God who works by geometry.

4 But yet, by such a supposition, on further consideration, we find ourselves introduced to views entirely different from those to which we are led by the supposition of mere animal life, existing in other worlds than the earth. For, not to dwell here upon any speculations as to how far the operations of our minds may resemble the operations of the Divine Mind:—a subject which we shall hereafter endeavour to discuss;-we know that the advance to such truths as those of geometry has been, among the inhabitants of the earth, gradual and progressive. Though the human mind have had the same powers and faculties, from the beginning of the existence of the race up to the present time, (as we cannot but suppose,) the results of the exercise of these powers and families have been very different in different agen; and have gradually grown up, from small beginnings. to the vast and complex body of knowledge concoming the scheme and relations of the Universe. which is at present accessible to the minds of human speculators. It is, as we have said, probably

about four thousand years, since the first steps in such knowledge were made. Geometry is said to have had its origin in Egypt; but it assumed its abstract and speculative character first among the Pythagoras is related to have been the Greeks. first who saw, in the clear light of demonstration. the property of the right-angled triangle, of which we have spoken. The Greeks, from the time of Socratos, stimulated especially by Plato, pursued. with wonderful success, the investigation of this kind of truths. They saw that such truths had their application in the heavens, far more extensively than on the earth. They were enabled, by such spooulations, to unravel, in a great degree, the scheme of the universe, before so seemingly entangled and perplexed. They determined, to a very considerable extent, the relative motions of the planets and of the stars. And in modern times. after a long interval in which such knowledge was nuarly stationary, the progress again began; and further advances were successively made in man's knowledge of the scheme and structure of the visible heavens; till at length the intellect of man was led to those views of the extent of the Universe and the nature of the stars which are the basis of the discussions in which we are now engaged. And thus man, having probably been in the carliest ages of the existence of the species, entirely ignorant of abstract truth, and of the relations which, by the knowledge of such truth, we can trace in nature, (as the barbarous tribes which compy the greater part of the earth's surface still are;) has, by a long series of progressive steps, come into the possession of knowledge, which we cannot regard without wonder and admiration; and which seems to elevate him in no inconsiderable degree, towards

a community of thought with that Divino Mink into the nature and scheme of whose works he is thus

permitted to penetrate.

5 Now the knowledge which man is capable, by the nature of his mental faculties, of acquiring. boing thus blank and rudimentary at first, and only proceeding gradually, by the steps of a progress, numerous, slow, and often long interrupted, to that stage in which it is the basis of our present speculations: the view which we have just taken, of the nature of Intellect, as a faculty always of the same kind, always uniform in its operations, always consistent in its results, appears to require reconsideration; and especially with reference to the application which we made of that view, to the intelligent inhabitants of other planets and other worlds, if such inhabitants there be. For if we suppose that there are, in the Moon, or in Jupiter, creatures possessing intellectual faculties of the same kind as these of man; capable of approhending the same abstract and general truths; able, like man, to attain to a knowledge of the scheme of the Universe; yet this supposition morely gives the capacity and the ability; and does not include any security, or even high probability, as it would seem, of the exercise of such capacity, or of the successful application of such ability. Even if the surface of the Moon be inhabited by creatures as intelligent as men, why must we suppose that they know any thing more of geometry and astronomy, than the great bulk of the less cultured inhabitants of the earth, who occurry, really, a space for larger than the surface of the Moon; and, all intelligent though they be, and in the full nessession of mental faculties, are yet, on the subjects of geometry and astronomy,

entirely ignorant;—their minds, as to such know-lodge, a blank? It does not follow, then, that even if there be such inhabitants in the Moon, or in the Planets, they have any sympathy with us, or any community of knowledge on the subjects of which we are now speaking. The surface of the Moon, or of Jupiter, or of Saturn, even if well peopled, may be peopled only with tribes as barbarous and ignorant as Tartars, or Esquimanx, or Australians; and therefore, by making such a supposition, we do little, even hypothetically, to extend the dominion of that intelligence, by means of which all intelligent beings have some community of thought with each other, and some suggestion of the working of the Divine and Universal Mind.

6 But, in fact, the view which we have given. of the mode of existence of the human species upon the earth, as being a progressive existence, even in the development of the intellectual powers and their results, necessarily fastons down our thoughts and our spooulations to the curth; and makee us feel how visionary and gratuitous it is, to essume any similar kind of existence, in any region occupied by other beings than man. As we have said, we have no insuperable difficulty in conceiving other parts of the Universe to be tenanted by ani-Animal life implies no progress in the species. Such as they are in one century, such are they in another. The conditions of their sustentation and generation being given, which no difference of physical circumstances can render incredible, the race may, so far as we can see, go on for ever, But a race which makes a progress in the developement of its faculties, cannot thus, or at least cannot with the same case, be conceived as existing through all time, and under all circumstanees. Progress implies, or at least suggests, a beginning and an end. If the mere existence of a race imply a sustaining and preserving power in the Creator. the progress of a race implies a guiding and impolling power; a Governor and Director, as well as a Creator and Preserver. And progress, not morely in material conditions, not merely in the exercise of bodily faculties, but in the exercise of mental faculties, in the intellectual condition of a portion of the species, still more implies a special position and character of the race; which cannot, without great license of hypothesis, be extended to other races; and which, if so extended, becomes unmeaning, from the impossibility of our knowing what is progress in any other species from what and towards what it tends. The intellectual progress of the human species has been a progress in the use of thought, and in the knowledge which such use procures; it has been a progress from mero matter to mind; from the impressions of sense to ideas; from what in knowledge is casual, partial, temperary, to what is necessary, universal, and eternal. We can conceive no progress, of the nature of this, which is not identical with this; nothing like it, which is not the same. And therefore, if we will people other planets with orentures, intelligent as man is intelligent, we must not only give to them the intelligence, but the intellectual history of the human species. They must have luck their minds unfolded by stops similar to those by which the human mind has been unfolded; or at least, differing from them. only as the intellectual history of one nation of the earth differs from that of another. They must have had their Pythagoras, their Plate, their Kepler, their Galileo, their Nowton, if they know what wo

know. And thus, in order to conceive, on the Moon or on Jupiter, a race of beings, intelligent like man. we must conceive, there, colonies of men, with histories resembling more or less the histories of human colonies; and indeed resembling the history of those nations whose knowledge we inharit, for more closely than the history of any other terrestrial nation resembles that part of terrestrial history. If we do this, we exercise an act of invention and imagination which may be as coherent as a fairy tale, but which, without further proof. must be as purely imaginary and arbitrary. But if we do not do this, we cannot conceive that those regions are occupied at all by intelligent beings. Intelligence, as we see in the human race, in order to have those characters which concern our argument, implies a history of intellectual development: and to assume arbitrarily a history of intellectual development for the inhabitants of a remote planet. as a ground of reasoning, either for or against Religion, is a proceeding which we can hardly be expected either to assent to or to refute. If we are to form any opinions with regard to the condition of such bodies, and to trace any bearing of such opinions upon our religious views, we must proceed upon some ground which has more of reality than such a gratuitous assumption,

7 Thus the condition of man upon the earth, as a condition of intellectual progress, implies such a special guidance and government exercised over the race, by the Anthor of his being, as produces progress; and we have not, so far as we yet perceive, any reason for supposing that He exercises a like guidance and government over any of the other bodies with which the researches of astronomers have made us acquainted. The earth and its

inhabitants are under the care of God in a special manner; and we are utterly destitute of any reason for believing that other planets and other systems are under the care of God in the same manner. If we regarded merely the existence of unprogressive races of animals upon our globe, we might easily suppose that other globes also, are similarly tonanted; and we might infer, that the Creater and Unholder of animal life was active on those globes, in the same manner as upon ours. But when we come to a progressive greature, whose condition implies a heginning, and therefore suggests an end. we form a peculiar indement with respect to God's care of that creature, which judgment we have not, as yet, seen the slightest grounds to extend to other possible fields of existence, where we discern no indication of progress, of beginning, or of ond, So far as we can judge, God is mindful of man; and has launched and guided his course in a certain path, which makes his lot and state different from that of all other creatures.

8 Now when we have arrived at this result. we have, I conceive, reached one of the points, at which the difficulties, which astronomical discovery puts in the way of religious conviction, begin to appear. The Earth and its human inhabitants are, as far as we yet know, in an especial manner, the subjects of God's care and government, for the race is progressive. Now can this be? Is it not difficult to believe that it is so? The earth, so small a speek, only one among so many, so many thousands, so many millions, of other bodies, all, probably, of the sume nature with itself, wherefore should it draw to it the special regards of the Creator of all, and occupy his care in an especial manner? The teaching of the history

of the human race, as intellectually progressive, agrees with the teaching of Religion, in impressing upon us that God is mindful of man; that he does regard him: but still, there naturally arises in our minds a feeling of perplexity and bewilderment, which expresses itself in the words already so often quoted, What is man, that this should be so? Can it be true that this province is thus singled out for a special and peculiar administration, by the Lord of the Universal Empire?

Before I make any attempt to answer these questions. I must pursue the difficulty somewhat further, and look at it in other forms. As I have said, the history of Man has been, in certain nations, a history of intellectual progress, from the carliest times up to our own day. But intellectual progress has been, as I have also said, in a great moisure confined to certain nations, thus especially favoured. The greater part of the earth's inhabitants have shared very sentily in that woulth of knowledge, to which the brightest and happiest intellects among men have thus been led. But though the bulk of mankind have thus had little ahare in the grand treasures of science, which are open to the race, their life has still been very different from that of other animals. Many nations, though they may not have been consplouous in the history of intellectual progress, have yet not been without their place in progress of other kinds:--in arts, in arms, and, above all, in morals; -in the recognition of the distinction of right and wrong in human actions, and in the practical application of this distinction. Such a progress as this has been for more extensively aimed at than a progress in abstract and general knowledge; and, we may you. ture to say, has been, in many nations, and in a

very great measure, really offected. No doubt, the imperfection of this progress, and the constant recurrence of events which appear to counteract and roverso it, are so obvious and so common, as to fill with grief and hidigration the minds of these who regard such a progress as the great business of the human race; but yet still, looking at the whole history of the human race, the progress is visible; and even the grief and the indignation of which we have spoken, are a part of its evidences. There has been, upon the whole, a moral government of the human race. The moral law, the distinction of right and wrong, has been established in every nation; and ponulties have been instituted for wrong-doing. The notion of right and wrong has been extended, from mere outward acts, to the springs of action, to affection, desire, and The course of human affairs has generally been such that the just, the truthful, the kind, the cluste, the orderly portion of mankind have been happier than the violent and wicked. External wrong has been commonly punished by the act of human society. Internal sins, impure and dishenest designs, fulsohood, orugity, have very often led to their own punishment, by their effect upon the guilty mind itself. We do not say that the moral government which has provided among men has been such, that we can consider it complete and final in its visible form. We see that the aspect of things is much the contrary; and we think we see reasons why it may be expected to be so. But still, there has existed mon earth a moral government of the human race; exercised, as we must needs hold, by the Creator of man: marily through the direct operation of man's faculties, affections. and emotions; and partly through the authorities

which, in all ages and nations, the nature of man has led him to establish. Now this moral progress and moral government of the human race is one of the leading facts on which Natural Religion is We are thus led to regard God as the founded. Moral Governor of man; not only his Creator and Preserver, but his Lawgiver and his Judge. And the grounds on which we outertain this belief, are poculiarly the human faculties of man, and their operation in history and in society. The belief is derived from the whole complex nature of man:the working of his Affections, Desires, Convictions, Rosson, Conscience, and whatever else enters into the production of human action, and its conscanances. God is seen to be the Moral Governor of man, by evidence which is especially derived from the character of Man; and which we could not attempt to apply to any other creature than man, without making our words altogether unmeaning. But would it not be too bold an assumption to speak of the Conscience of an inhabitant of Jupitor? Would it not be a rash philosophy to assume the operation of Remorse or Self-approval on the planet, in order that we may extend to it the moral government of God? Except we can point out something more solid than this, to reason from, on such subjects, there is no use in our attompting to reason at all. Our destrines must be more rosults of invention and imagination. Here then, aguin, we are brought to the conviction that. God in, so far as we yet see, in an especial and neculiar manner, the Governor of the earth and of its human inhabitants; in such a way that the like government cannot be conceived to be extended to other planets, and other systems, without arbitrary and fanciful assumptions: assumptions cither of

unintelligible differences with incomprehensible results; or of beings in all respects human, inhabiting the most remote regions of the universe. And here, again, therefore, we are led to the same difficulty which we have already encountered: Can the earth, a small globe among so many millions, have been selected as the scene of this especially Divine Government?

10 That when we attempt to extend our sympathics to the inhabitants of other planets and other worlds, and to regard them as living, like us. under a moral government we are driven to sunnose them to be, in all essential respects, human beings like ourselves, we have proof, in all the attempts which have been made, with whatever license of hypothesis and fancy, to present to us descriptions and representations of the inhabitants of other parts of the universe. Such representations, though purposely made as unlike human beings as the imagination of man can frame them, still are merely combinations, slightly varied, of the elements of human being; and thus show us that not only our reason, but even our imparination. cannot conceive greatures subjected to the same government to which man is subjected, without conceiving them as being men, of one kind or other. A mere animal life, with no interest but animal enjoyment, we may conceive as arenming forms different from those which appear in existing animal races; though even here, there are, as we mny horoafter attempt to show, certain general principles which run through all animal His. But when in addition to more animal impulses, we assume or suppose moral and intellectual interests. we conceive them as the moral and intellectual interests of man. Truth and falsehood, right and wrong, law and transgression, happiness and misery. reward and punishment are the necessary elements of all that can interest us, -of all that we can call Communent. To transfer these to Juniter or to Sirius, is merely to imagine those bodies to be a sort of island of Formosa, or new Atlantis, or Utopla, or Platonic Polity, or something of the like kind. The boldest and most resolute attempts to devise some life different from human life, have not produced anything more different, than remanue-writers and political theorists have devised as a form of human life. And this being so, there is no more wisdom or philosophy in believing such assemblages of beings to exist in Jupiter or Siring. without evidence, than in believing them to exist in the island of Formesa, with the like absence of evidenco.

11 Any examination of what has been written on this subject would show that, in speculating alexut moral and intellocated beings in other regions of the universe, we merely make them to be men in another place. With regard to the plants and unimals of other planets, fancy has from play: but mun cannot conceive any moral creature who is not man. Thus Pontonelle, in his Dialogues on the Pluredity of Worlds, makes the inhabitants of Venus possess in an exaggerated degree, the clasracteristics of the men of the warm olimates of the cartle. They are like the Moors of Grenada: or rather the Moors of Grenada would be to them us cold as Greenlanders and Laplanders to us. And the inhabitants of Mercury have so much vivacity that they would pass with us for insano. c'est dans Mercuro que sont les Petites-Maisons de l'Univers.' The inhabitants of Juniter and Saturn are immensely slow and phlormatic. And though he and other writers attempt to make these inhabitants of remote regions in some respects superior to man, telling us that instead of only five senses, they may have six or ten, or a hundred; still, these are more words, which convey no meaning; and the great astronomer Bessel had reason to say, that these who imagined inhabitants in the Micon and Planets, supposed them, in spite of all their protestations, as like to men as one egg to another.

13 But there is one step more, which we still have to make, in order to bring out this difficulty in its full force. As we have said, the moral law has been, to a certain extent, established, develoyed, and enforced among men. But, as I have also said, looking carefully at the law, and at the degree of man's obedience to it, and at the operation of the sanctions by which it is supported, we cannot help seeing, that man's knowledge of the law is imported, his conviction of its authority feeble, his transgressions habitual, their punishment and consequences obscure. When, therefore, we regard God, as the Lawgiver and Judge of man, it will not appear strange to us, that he should have taken some mode of promulanting his Law, and announcing his Judgments, in addition to that ordinary operation of the faculties of man, of which we have spoken. Revealed Religion teaches us that he has done so: that from the first placing of the race of man upon the earth, it was his purpose to do so; that by his dealings with the race of man in the earlier times, and at various intervals, he made preparation for the mission of a special Messenger, whom, in the ful-

<sup>\*</sup> Populire Varlenngen über Wiesenschaftliche Gegenstunde.

ness of time, he sent upon the earth in the forth of a man; and who both taught men the Law of God in a purer and clearer form than any in which it had yot been given; and revealed His purpose, of rewards for obedience, and punishments for disobodience, to be executed in a state of being to which this human life is only an introduction; and established the means by which the spirit of man, when alienated from God by transgression, may be again reconciled to Him. The arrival of this capeolal Message of Holiness, Judgment, and Redomntion, forms the great event in the history of the ourth, considered in a religious view, as the abode of God's servants. It was attended with the suffurings and ornel death of the Divine Messenger thus sont; was precoded by prophetic amouncements of his coming; and the history of the world. for the two thousand years that have since claused. has been in a great measure occupied with the consequences of that advent. Such a proceeding shows, of course, that (lod has an especial care for the race of man. The earth, thus selected as the theatre of such a scheme of Teaching and of Redemption, can not, in the eyes of any one who accepts this Christian faith, be regarded as being on a level with any other domiciles. It is the Stage of the great Drama of God's Mercy and Man's Enlyntion; the Sanctuary of the Universe; the Holy Land of Creation; the Royal Abode, for a time at least, of the Eternal King. This being the character which has thus been conferred upon il, how can we assent to the assertions of Astronomers, when they tell us that it is only one among millions of similar imbitations, not distinguishable from them, except that it is smaller than most of them that we can measure : confused and rade in

its materials like them? Or if we believe the Astronomers, will not such a belief lead us to doubt the truth of the great scheme of Christianity, which thus makes the earth the some of a special dispensation?

13 This is the form in which Chalmers has taken up the argument. This is the difficulty which he proposes to solve; or rather, (such being as I have said the mode in which he presents the subject,) the objection which he proposes to refute. It is the bearing of the Astronomical discoveries of modern times, not upon the doctrines of Natural Religion, but upon the scheme of Christianity. which he discusses. And the question which he supposes his opponent to propound, as an objection to the Christian scheme, is :-- How is it consistent with the dignity, the impartiality, the comprehensiveness, the analogy of God's proceedings, that he should make so special and pro-conjugat a provision for the salvation of the inhabitants of this Earth. when there are such myriads of other worlds, all of which may require the like provision, and all of which have an equal claim to their Creator's care?

14 The answer which Chalmers gives to this objection, is one drawn, in the first instance, from our ignorance. He urges that, when the objector asserts that other worlds may have the like need with our own, of a special provision for the rescue of their inhabitants from the consequences of the transgression of God's laws, he is really making an assertion without the slightest foundation. Not only does Science not give us any information on such subjects, but the whole spirit of the scientific procedure, which has led to the knowledge which we possess, concerning other planets and other systems, is utterly opposed to our making such

assumptions, respecting other worlds, as the objection involves. Modern Science, in proportion as she is confident when she has good grounds of proof, however strange may be the doctrines proved, is not only diffident, but is utterly silent, and abstains even from guossing, when she has no grounds of proof. Chalmers takes Nowton's reasoning, as offering a special example of this mixed temper, of courage in following the evidence, and temperance in not advancing when there is no evidenoc. He puts, in opposition to this, the example of the true philosophical temper a supposed rash theorist, who should make unwarranted suppositions and assumptions, concerning matters to which our scientific evidence does not reach :- the animals and plants, for instance, which are to be found in the planet Jupiter. No one, he says, would more uttorly reject and condenin such speculations than Newton, who first rightly explained the motion of Juniter and of his attendant satellites, about which Solonce can pronounce her truths. And thus. nothing our be more opposite to the real spirit of modern science, and astronomy in particular, than arguments, such as we have stated, professing to be drawn from solence and from astronomy. Since we know nothing about the inhabitants of Jupiter, true science requires that we say and suppose nothing about them; still more requires that we should not. on the ground of assumptions made with regard to them, and other supposed groups of living oreatures, reject a belief, founded on direct and nontivo proofs, such as is the belief in the truths of Natural and of Revented Religion.

16 To this argument of Chalmors, we may not only give our full assent, but we may venture to suggest, in accordance with what we have already

said, that the argument, when so put, is not stated in all its legitimate force. The assertion that the inhabitants of Jupiter have the same need as we have, of a special dispensation for their preservation from moral ruin, is not only as merely arbitrary an assumption, as any assertion could be, founded on a supposed knowledge of an analogy between the botany of Jupiter, and the betuny of the earth; but it is a great deal more so. There may be circumstances which may afford some reason to believe that something of the nature of vegetables grows on the surface of Jupiter: for instance, if we find that he is a solid globe surrounded by an atmosphere, vapour, clouds, showers, But, as we have already said, there is an immeasurable distance between the existence of unprogressive tribes of organised ereatures, -- plants, or even animals,-and the existence of a progressive creature. which can mas through the conditions of receiving. discerning, disoboying, and oboying a moral law: which can be estranged from God, and then reconoiled to him. To assume, without further proof, that there are, in Jupiter, orentares of such a nature that these descriptions apply to them, is a far bolder and more unphilosophical assumption, than any that the objector could make concerning the botany of Jupiter; and therefore, the objection thus supposed to be drawn from our supposed knowledge, is very properly answered by an appeal to our roully utter ignorance, as to the points on which the argument rests.

16 This appeal to our ignorance is the main feature in Chalmers's reasonings, so far as the argument on the one side or the other has reference to science. Chalmers, indeed, pursues the argument into other fields of speculation. He urges,

that not only we have no right to assume that other worlds require a redemption of the same kind as that provided for man, but that the very reverse may be the case. Man may be the only transgressor; and this, the only world that needed so great a provision for its salvation. We read in Scripture, expressions which imply that other beings, besides man, take an interest in the salvation of man. May not this be true of the inhabitants of other worlds, if such inhabitants there be? These speculations he pursues to a considerable length, with great richness of imagination, and great eloquence. But the suppositions on which they proceed are too loosely connected with the results of science, to make it safe for us to dwell upon them here.

17 I conceive, as I have said, that the argument with which Chalmers thus deals admits of answers, also drawn from modern science, which to many persons will seem more complete than that which is thus drawn from our ignorance. But before I proceed to bring forward these answers, which will require several steps of explanation, I have one or two remarks still to make.

18 Undoubtedly they who believe firmly both that the earth has been the scene of a Divine Plan for the benefit of man, and also that other bodies in the universe are inhabited by greatures who may have an interest in such a Plan, are naturally led to conjectures and imaginations as to the nature and extent of that interest. The religious poet, in his Night Thoughts, interrogates the inhabitants of a distant star, whether their race too has in its history, events resembling the fall of man, and the redemption of man.

Enjoy your happy realms their golden ago? And had your kilon an abstomious Kys? Or, if your mother fell are you redeemed? And if redeemed, is your Redeemed secretal?

And such imaginations may be readily allowed to the preacher or the poet, to be employed in order to impress upon man the conviction of his privileges, his thanklessness, his inconsistency, and the like. But every form in which such reflexions can be put shows how intimately they depend upon the nature and history of man. And when such reflexions are made the source of difficulty or objection in the way of religious thought, and when these difficulties and objections are represented as derived from astronomical discoveries, it cannot be superfluous to inquire whether astronomy has really discovered any ground for such objections. some minds it may be more grateful to remedy one assumption by another; the assumption of moral agents in other worlds, by the assumption of some operation of the Divine Plan in other worlds. But since many persons find great difficulty in conceiving such an operation of the Divine Plan in a satisfactory way; and many persons also think that to make such unauthorized and fanciful assumptions with regard to the Divine Plans for the government of God's orentures is a violation of the humility, submission of mind, and spirit of reverence which religion requires; it may be useful if we can show that such assumptions, with regard to the Divino Plans, are called forth by assumptions equally gratuitous on the other side : that Astronomy no more reveals to us extra-terrestrial moral agents, than Religion reveals to us extra-terrestrial Plans of Divine government, Chalmers has spoken of the raskuss of making assumptions on such

subjects without proof; leaving it however, to be supposed, that though astronomy does not supply proof of intelligent inhabitants of other parts of the universe, she yet does offer strong analogies in favour of such an opinion. But such a procedure is more than rash; when astronomical doetrines are presented in the form in which they have been already laid before the reader, which is the ordinary and popular mode of apprehending them, the analogies in favour of other worlds, are (to say the least) greatly exaggerated. And by taking into account what astronomy really teaches us, and what we learn also from other sciences, I shall attempt to reduce such 'analogies' to their true value.

19 The privileges of man, which make the diffloulty in assigning him his place in the vast schome of the Universe, we have described as consisting in his boing an intellectual, moral, and religious oron-Porhaps the privileges implied in the last term, and their place in our argument, may justify a word more of explanation. Religion teaches us that there is opened to man, not only a prospect of a life in the presence of God, after this mortal life. but also the possibility and the duty of spending this life as in the presence of God. This is properly the highest result and manifestation of the offect of religion upon man. Precisely because it is this, it is difficult to speak of this offeet without seeming to use the language of enthusiasm; and yet again, procisely because it is so, our argument would be incomplete without a reference to it. There is for man, a possibility and a duty of bringing his thoughts, purposes, and affections more and more into continual unison with the will of God, This, even Natural Religion taught mon, was the highest point at which man could aim; and Revealed Religion has still more clearly enjoined the duty of aiming at such a condition. The means of a progress towards such a state belong to the Religion of the heart and mind. They include a constant parification and clovation of the thoughts, affections, and will, wrought by habits of religious reflexion and meditation, of prayer and gratitude to God. Without entering into further explanation, all religious persons will agree that such a progress is, under happy influences, possible for man, and is the highest condition to which he can attain in this life. Whatever names may have been applied at different times to the steps of such a progress;-the cultivation of the divine nature in us; resignation; devotion; holiness; union with God; living in God, and with God in us;-religious persons will not doubt that there is a reality of internal state corresponding to these expressions: and that to be capable of elevation into the condition which these expressions indicate, is one of the especial privileges of man. Man's soul considered especially as the subject of God's government, is often called his Spirit: and that man is capable of such conformity to the will of God, and approximation to Him, is sometimes expressed by speaking of him as a miritual creature. And though the privilege of being, or of being enpuble of becoming, in this souse, a spiritual creature, is a part of man's religious privileges; we may sometimes be allowed to use this additional expression, spiritual, in order to remind the reader, how great those religious privileges are, and how close is the relation between man and God, which they imply,

20 We have given a view of the popular cha-

ractor of man's condition, which seems to claim for him a nature and place, unique and incepable of repetition, in the scheme of the universe: and to this view, astronomy, exhibiting to us the habitation of man, as only one among many similar abodes, offers an objection. We are, therefore, now called upon, I conceive, to proceed to exhibit the answer which a somewhat different view of modern science suggests to this difficulty or objection.

For this purpose, we must begin by regarding the Earth in another point of view, different from that hitherto considered by us.

## CHAPTER V.

## Geology.

MAN, as I trust has been made apparent to the consciousness and conviction of the reader, is an intelligent, moral, religious, and spiritual creature: and we have to discuss the difficulty, or perplevity, or objection, which arises in our minds, when we consider such a creature, as occupying an habitation, which is but one among many globes, apparently equally fitted to be the dwelling-places of living things;—a more speck in the immensity of creation;—an atom among such a vast array of material structures;—a world, as we needs must deem it, among millions of other objects, which appear to have an equal claim to be regarded as worlds.

2 The difficulty appears to be great, either way. Can the earth alone be the theatre of such intelligent, moral, religious and spiritual action? On the other hand; Can we conceive such action to go on in the other bodies of the universe? If we take the latter alternative, we must people other planets and other systems with men such as we are, even as to their history. For the intellectual and moral condition of man implies a history of the species: and the view of man's condition, which religion presents, not only involves a scheme of which the history of the human race is a part, but also asserts a peculiar reference had, in the provisions of God, to the nature of man; and even

a neonling relation and connexion between the human and the divine nature. To extend such suppositions to other worlds, would be a proceeding so arbitrary and fanoiful that we are led to consider whether the alternative supposition may not be more admissible. The alternative supposition is, that man is, in an especial and eminent manner, the object of God's care;—that his place in the creation is, not that he merely ecomics one among millions of similar demiciles, provided in boundless profusion by the Creator of the Universe; but that he is the servant, subject and child of God, in a way unique and poculiar: that his being a spiritual creature, (including his other attributes in the highest for the sake of brevity,) makes him belong to a spiritual world, which is not to be judged of merely by analogies belonging to the meterial universe.

3 Between these two difficulties, the choice is embarrassing, and the decision must be unsatisfactory except we can find some further ground of judgment. But perhaps this is not hopoless. Wo have hitherto referred to the evidence and analogies supplied by one science, namely, astronomy. But there are other solonees, which give as information concorning the nature and history of the earth. From some of those, perhaps, we may obtain some knowledge of the place of the earth, in the scheme of creation; low far it is, in its present condition, a thing unique, or only one thing among many like it. Any setence which supplies us with evidence or information on this head, will give us aid, in forming a judgment upon the question under our consideration. To such sciences, then, we will turn our attention.

One science has employed itself in investigating

the nature and history of the earth by an examination of the materials of which it is composed; namely, Geology. Let us call to mind some of the results at which this science has arrived.

A very little attention to what is going on among the materials of which the earth's surface is composed, suffices to show us that there are causes of change constantly and effectually at work. The carth's surface is composed of land and water, hills and vallies, rooks and rivers. But these features undergo chauge, and produce change in each other. The mountain-rivers cut deeper and deeper into the ravines in which they run; they break up the rocks over which they rush, use the fragments as implements of further destruction, pile them up in sloping mounds where the streams issue from the mountains, spread them ever the plains, fill up lakes with sediment, push into the sen great delies. The sea batters the cliffs, and cats away the land; and again, forms banks and islands, where there had been deep water. Volcanos pour out stroums of lava, which destroy the vegetation over which they flow; and which again, after a series of years, are themselves clothed with vegetation. Earthquakes throw down tracts of land beneath the sea, and clovate other tracts from the bottom of the ocean. These agencies are overy where manifest: and though, at a given mement, at a given spot, their effect may seem to us almost imporcoptible, too insignificant to be taken account of a yet in a long course of years, almost every place has undergone considerable changes. Rivors have altered their courses, lakes have become plains, coasts have been swent away or linyo become inland districts, rich vallies have been rayaned by watery or flery deluges, the country

has in some way or other assumed a new face. The present aspect of the earth is in some degree different from what it was a few thousand years ago.

But yet, in truth, the changes of which we thus speak, have not been very considerable. The forms of countries, the lines of coasts, the ranges of mountains, the groups of vallies, the courses of rivors, are much the same now, as they were in angient times. The face of the earth, since man has had any knowledge of it, may have undergone some change; but the changeable has berne a small proportion to the permanent. Changes have taken place, and are taking place; but they do not take place rapidly. The ancient earth and the modern earth are, in all their main physical features, identical; and we must go backwards through a considerably larger interval than that which carries us back to what we usually term antiquity, before we are led, by the operation of causes now at work, to an aspect of the earth's surface very different from that which it now presents,

O For instance, rivers do, no doubt, more or less alter, in the course of years, by natural causes. The Rhine, the Rhone, the Po, the Danube, have, certainly, during the last four thousand years, silted up their beds in level places, expanded the deltas at their mouths, changed the channels by which they enter the sea; and very probably, in their upper parts, altered the forms of their waterfalls and of their shingle beds. Yet even if we were thus to go backwards ten thousand, or twenty, or thirty thousand years, (setting aside great and violent causes of change, as earthquakes, volcanic cruptions, and the like,) the general form and course of these rivers, and of the ranges of moun-

tains in which they flow, would not be different from what it is now. And the same may be said of coasts and islands, seas and bays. The present geography of the earth may be, and from all the evidence which we have, must be, very ancient, according to any measures of antiquity which can

apply to human affhirs.

7 But yet the further examination of the materials of the earth carries us to a view beyond Though the general forms of the land and the waters, of continents and seas, were, several thousand years ago, much the same as they now are; yot it was not always so. We have clear ovidence that large tracks which are now dry ground, were formerly the bed of the ocean; and these, not tracts of the shore, where the varying warfare of son and land is still going on, but the very central parts of great continents; the Alps. the Pyrences, the Himalayas. For not only are the rocks of which these great mountain-chains consist, of such structure that they appear to have been formed as layers of sediment at the bottom of water: but also, these layers contain vast accumulations of shells, or impressions of shells, and other romains of marino animals. And these appearances are not few, limited, or partial. The existence of such marine remains, in the solid substance of continents and mountains, is a general, prodominant, and almost universal fact, in every part of the oarth. Nor is any other way of accounting for this fact admissible, than that those materials really have, at some time, formed bottoms of seas. The various other conjectures and hypotheses, which were put forward on this subject, when the amount, extent, multiplicity, and coherence of the phonomona were not yet ascertained, and when their

natural history was not yet studied, cannot now be considered as worthy of the smallest regard. That many of our highest hills are formed of materials raised from the depths of ocean, is a proposition which cannot be doubted, by any one, who fairly examines the evidence which nature offers.

8 If we take this proposition only, we cannot immediately connect it with our knowledge respecting the surface of the earth in its present form. We learn that what is now land, has been sea; and we may suppose (since it is natural to assume that the bulk of the sea has not much changed,) that what is now see was formerly land. But, except we can learn something of the manner in which this change took place, we cannot make any two of our knowledge. Was the change sudden, or gradual; abrupt, or successive; brief, or long continuing?

I To these questions, the further study of the thats enables us to return answers with great confidence. The change or changes which produced the effects of which we have spoken—the conversion of the bottom of the ocean into the center of our greatest continents and highest mountains. were undoubtedly gradual, successive, and long continued. We must state very briefly the grounds

on which we make this assertion.

10 The masses which form our mountain-chains. offer evidence, as I have said, that they were denonited as sediment at the hottom of a sea, and then hardened. They consist of successive layers of such sediment, making up the whole mass of the mountain. These layers are, of course, to a certain extent, a measure of the time during which the deposition of sediment took place. The thicker the mass of sediment, the more numerous and varied its beds, and the longer period must we suppose to have been requisite for its formation. Without making any attempt at accurate or definite estimation, which would be to no purpose, it is plain that a mass of sedimentary strata five thousand or ten thousand feet thick, must have required, for its deposit, a long course of years, or rather, a long course of ages.

11 But again: on further examination it is found, that we have not merely one series of sedimentary deposits, thus forming our mountains. There are a number of different series of such layers or strata, to be found in different ranges of hills, and even in the same range, one series resting upon another. These different series of strate. are distinguishable from one another by their reneral structure and appearance, besides more intimate characters, of which we shall shortly have to speak. Each such series appears to have a certain consistency of structure within itself; the layers of which it is composed being more or less parallel; but the successive series are not thus always parallel, the lower ones being often highly inclined and irregular, while the upper ones are more level and continuous; as if the lower strata had been broken up and thrown into disorder, and then a new series of strata had been deposited horizontally on their fragments. in whatever way these different sedimentary series succeeded each other, each series must have required, as we have seen, a long period for its formation; and to estimate the length of the intorval between the two series, we have, at the present stage of our exposition, no evidence.

12 But the mechanical structure of the strata,

the result, as it seems, of aqueous sedimentary deposit, is not the only, nor the most important evidence, with regard to the length of time occupled by the formation of the rocky layers which now compose our mountains. As we have said, they contain shells, and other remains of creatures which live in the ses. These they contain, not in small numbers, scattered and detached, but in vast abundance, as they are found in those parts of the ocean which is most alive with them. There are the remains of oysters and other shell-fish in layers, as they live at present in the seas near our shores; of corals, in vast patches and bods, as they now occur in the waters of the Pacific; of shouls of fishes, of many different kinds, in immense abundance. Each of these bods of shells, of corals, and of fishes, must have required many years, perhaps many centuries, for the growth of the successive individuals and successive generations of which it consists: as long a time, perhaps, as the present inhabitants of the sea have lived therein; or many times longer, if there have been many such successive changes. And thus, while the present condition of the earth extends backwards to a period of vast but unknown antiquity: we have, offered to our notice, the evidence of a series of other periods, each of which, so far as we can judge, may have been as long or longer than that during which the dry land has had its present form.

13 But the most remarkable feature in the evidence is yet to come. We have spoken in general of the cysters, and corals, and fishes, which occur in the strata of our hills; as if they were creatures of the same kinds which we now designate by those names. But a more exact examination

of these remains of organised beings, shows that this is not so. The tribes of animals which are found petrified in our rocks, are almost all different, so far as our best natural historians can determine, from those which now live in our existing seas. They are different species; different genera. The creatures which we find thus imbedded in our mountains, are not only dead as individuals, but extinct as species. They belonged, not only to a terrestrial period, but to an animal creation, which is now past away. The earth is, it seems, a domicile which has outlasted more than one race of tenants.

It may soom rash and presumptuous in the natural historian to pronounce thus peremptorily that certain forms of life are nowhere to be found at present, even in the unfathomable and innoconsible depths of the ocean. But even if this were so, the proposition that the earth has changed its inhabitants, since the rocks were formed, of which our hills consist, does not depend for its proof on this assumption. For among the organic bodies which our strata contain, we find remains, not only of marine animals, but of animals which inhabit the fresh waters, and the land, and of plants. And the examination of such remains having been pursued with great seal, and with all the aids which natural history can supply, the result has been, the proofs of a vast series of difforant tribes of animals and plants, which have successively occupied the earth and the sens; and of which the number, variety, multiplicity, and strangeness, exceed, by far, everything which could have been proviously imagined. Thus Curier found, in the limestone strate on which Paris stands, animals of the most curious forms, combining in

P. W. 10

the most wonderful manner the qualities of difforent species of existing quadrupeds. In another series of strata, the Lias, which runs as a band across England from N. E. to S. W. we have the remains of lizards, or lacertine animals, different from those which now exist, of immense size and of extraordinary structure, some approaching to the form of fishes (ichthuosaurus); others, with the neck of a serpent; others with wings, like the fabled forms of dragons. Then beyond these, that is, anterior to them in the series of time, we have the immense collection of fossil plants, which occur in the Coal Strata: the shells and cornls of the Mountain Limestone; the poculiar fishes, different altogether from existing fishes, of the Old Red Sandstone : and though, as we descend lower and lower, the traces of organic life appear to be more rare and more limited in kind, yet still we have. beneath these, in slates and in bods of limestone. many fossil remains, still differing from those which occur in the higher, and therefore, newer strate.

1.6 We have no intention of instituting any definite calculation with regard to the periods of time which this succession of forms of organic life may have occupied. This, indeed, the boldest geological speculators have not ventured to do. But the scientific discoveries, thus made, have a bearing upon the analogies of creation, quite as important as the discoveries of astronomy. And therefore we may state briefly some of the divisions of the series of terrestrial strata which have suggested themselves to geological inquirers. At the outset of such speculations, it was conceived that the lower rocks, composed of granite, slate, and the like, had existed before the earth was peopled with living things; and that these, being broken up

into inclined positions, there were deposited upon them, as the sediment of superincumbent waters. strata more horizontal, containing organic remains. The former were then called Primitive or Primary. the latter, Secondary rooks. But it was soon found that this was too sweeping and peremptory a divi-Rocks which had been classed as Primary. were found to contain traces of life; and hence. an intermediate class of Transition strate was spoken of. But this too was soon seen to be too narrow a scheme of arrangement, to take in the rapidly accumulating mass of facts, organic and others, which the geological record of the carth's history disclosed. It appeared that among the fossil-bearing strata there might be discerned a long series of Formations: the term Formation being used to imply a collection of successive strata, which, taking into account all the ovidence. of materials, position, relations, and organic remains, appears to have been deposited during some one epoch or period; so as to form a natural group, chronologically and physiologically distinct from the others. In this way it appeared that, taking as the highest part of the Secondary series. the bods of chalk, which, marked by churacteristic fossils, run through great tracts of Kurope, with other bods, of sand and clay, which generally socompany those; there was, below this Cretaceous Formation on Colitic Formation, still more largely diffused, and still more abundant in its populiar organic remains. Below this, we have, in Isagiand. the New Red Sandstone Formation, which, in other countries, is accompanied by beds abundant in fossils, as the Muschelkulk of Gormany. Bolow this again we have the Coal Formation, and the Mountain Limestone, with their populiar feedile. Below these, we have the Old Red Sandstone or Devonian System, with its populiar flahes and other fossila, Beneath these, occur still numerous series of distinguishable strate; which have been arranged by Sir Roderick Murchison as the members of the Silurian formation; the researches by which it was established having been carried on, in the first place, in South Wales, the ancient country of the Silures. Including the lower part of this formation. and, it is held, descending still lower in order, is the Cambrian formation of Professor Sedgwick. And since the races of organic beings, as we thus descend through successive strate, seem to be fewer and fewer in their general types, till at last they disappear: these lower members of the geological series have been termed, according to their sucocssion, Palasosole, Protosole, and Ilynosole or Acole. The general impression on the minds of geologists has been, that, as we descend in this long staircase of natural stops, we are brought in view of a state of the earth in which life was seentilv manifested, so as to appear to be near its carliest singes.

16 Each of these formations is of great thickness. Several of the members of each formation are hundreds, many of them thousands of feet thick. Taken altogether, they afford an astounding record of the time during which they must have been accumulating, and during which these successive groups of animals must have been brought into being, lived, and continued their kinds.

17 We must add, that over the Secondary strata there are found, in patches, generally of more limited extent, another, and of course, newer mass of strata, which have been termed Tertiary Formations. Of these, the strata, near and under

Paris, lying in a hollow of the subjacent strata, and honce termed the Paris Basin, attracted prominent notice in the first place. And these were found to contain an immense quantity of remains of animals, which, being well preserved, and subjected to a careful and scientific scrutiny by the great naturalist George Cuvier, had an eminent share in establishing in the minds of geologists the belief of the extinct character of fessil species, and of the possibility of reconstructing, from such remains, the animals, different from those which now live, which had formerly tenanted the earth.

18 We have, in this enumeration, a series of groups of strata, each of which, speaking in a goneral way, has its own population of animals and plants, and is soparated, by the peculiarities of those, from the groups below and above it Each group may, in a general manner, be considered as a separate creation of animal and vegetable forms ;-creatures which have lived and died, as the races now existing upon the earth live and die; and of which the living existence may, and according to all appearance, must have occupied ages, and series of ages, such as have been occupied by the present living generations of the earth. This series of creations, or of successive periods of life, is, no doubt, a very striking and startling fact, very different from anything which the imagination of man, in previous stages of investigation of the carth's condition, had conceived; but still, is established by evidence so complete, drawn from an examination and knowledge of the structures of living things so exact and enroful, as to leave no doubt whatever of the reality of the fact, on the minds of those who have attended to the evidence: founded, as it is, upon the analogies, offices, anatomy, and combinations of organic structures. The progress of human knowledge on this subject has been carried on and established by the same alternations of hold conjectures and felicitous confirmations of them, -of minute researches and large generalisations, -which have given reality and solidity to the other most certain portions of human knowledge. That the strata of the earth, as we descend from the highest to the lowest, are distinguished in general by characteristic or organic fossils, and that those forms of organization are different from those which now live on the earth. are truths as clearly and indisputably established in the minds of those who have the requisite knowledge of geology and natural history, as that the planets revolve round the sun, and the satellites round the planets. That these epochs of creation are something quite different from anything which we now see inking place on the earth, no more disturbs the belief of those facts, which scientific explorers entertain, than the seemingly obvious difference between the nebulæ which are regarded as yet unformed planetary systems, and the solar system to which our earth belongs, disturbs the belief of astronomers, that such nobulm, as well as our system, really exist. Indeed we may say, as we shall hereafter see, that the fact of our carth having passed through the series of periods of organic life which geologists recognize, is, hitherto, incomparably better established, than the fact that the nebulæ, or any of them, are passing through a sories of changes, such as may lead to a system like ours; as some eminent astronomers in modern times have held. In this respect, the history of the world, and its place in the universe, are far more clearly learnt from geology than from astronomy.

10 But with regard to this series of Organic Creations, if, for the sake of brevity, we may call thom so; we may inturally ask, in what manner. hy what agonoics, at what intervals, they succeeded onch other on the earth? Now do the resent thes of geologists give us any information on these points, which may be brought to bear upon our present speculations? If we ask these questions, we receive, from different classes of goolegists, different answers. A little while ago, most goologists hold, probably the greater number still hold, that the transitions from one of these periods of organic life to another, were accompanied generally by seasons of violent disruption and mutation of the surface of the earth, exceeding anything which has taken place since the surface assumed its present general form; in the same proportion as the changes of its organic population go beyond any such changes which we can discern to be at present in operation. And there were found to be changes of other kinds, which seemed to show that these epochs of organic transition had also been enochs of mechanical violence, upon a yest and wonderful scale. It appeared that at some of those exochs at least, the strain previously deposited, as if in comparative tranquility, land been broken, thrust up from below, or drawn or cast downwards: so that strata which must at first have been nearly level, were thrown into positions highly inclined, fractured, set on edge, contorted, even invorted. Over the broken edges of these strata. thus disturbed and fractured, were found yout some mulations of the fragments which such rade treatment might naturally produce; these fragmentary rains being spread in bods comparatively level. over the bristling edges of the subjecent rooks, as if deposited in the fluid which had everwhelmed the provious structure; and with few or no traces of life appearing in this mass of rains; while, in the strain which lay over them, and which appeared to have been the result of quieter times, new forms of organic life made their appearance in vast abundance. Such is, for example, the relation of the coal strata in a great part of England; broken into hummerable basins, ridges, vallies, strips and shreds, lying in all positions; and then filled into a sort of level, by the conglomerate of the nargnesian limestone, and the superincumbent In other cases it apred mindstone and colites. peared as if there were the means of tracing, in these dislocations, the agency of igneous stony matter, which had been injected from below, so as to form mountain-chains, or the cores of such; and in which the period of the convulsion could he traced, by the strata to which the disturbance extended; those strata being supposed to have liven deposited before the eruption, which were threst upwards by it into highly inclined positions; while those strata which, though near to these accura of mechanical violence, were still companatively horizontal, as they had been originally depurified, were unturally inferred to have been formed in the waters, after the catastrophe had By such remonings as those, M. mercel away. Elle de Beaumont has conceived that he can ascertain the relative ages, (according to the vast and bone mensurements of ago which belong to this subject,) of the principal ranges of mountains of the curth's surface.

10 Such estimations of ago can, indeed, as we have intimated, be only of the widest and loosest kind; yet they all concur in assigning very great

and circuitio periods of time, as having been occupled by the events which have formed the earth's strata, and brought them into their present position, For not only must there have been long ages omployed, as we have said, while the successive generations of each group of animals lived, and died, and were entembed in the abraded fragments of the then existing earth; but the other operations, which intervened between these apparently more tranguil processes, must also have occupied, it would seem, long ages at each interval. The dislocation, disruption, and contortion of the vast masses of previously existing mountains, by which their frame-work was broken up, and its ruins covered with bods of its own rubbish, many thousand feet thick, and gradually becoming less coarse and smoother, as the higher beds were deposited upon the lower; could hardly take place, it would seem, except in hundreds and thousands of years. And then again, all these processes of deposition, thus arranging loose masses of material into level bods, must have taken place at the bottom of deep oceans; and the beds of these oceans must have been elevated into the position of mountain-ridges which they now occupy, by some mighty operation of nature, which must have been comparatively tranquil, since it has not much disturbed those more level beds; and which therefore must have been comparatively long continued. If we accopt, as so many eminent geologists have done, this evidenot of a vast series of successive periods of alternate violence and repose, we must assign to each such period a duration which cannot but be immense, compared with the periods of time with which we are commonly conversant. In the periods of comparative quiet, such as now exist on the

carth's surface, and such as seem to be alone consistent with continued life and successive generation, deposits at the bottom of lakes and seas table place, it would seem, only at the rate of a few feet in a year, or perhaps in a contry. When, therefore, we find strata, bearing evidence of such is mode of deposit, and piled up to the amount of thousands and tens of thousands of feet, we are naturally led to regard them as the productions of myriads of years; and to add new myriads, and often as, in the prosecution of geological research, we are brought to new masses of strata of the like kind; and again, to interpolate new periods of the same order, to allow for the transition from one such group to another.

21 Nor is there anything which need startle us, in the necessity of assuming such yast intervals of time, when we have once brought ourselves (1) deal with the question of the antiquity of this earth upon scientific evidence alone. For if greelogy thus carries us far backwards through theousands, it may be, millions of years, astronomy direct not offer the smallest argument to check this regressive supposition. On the contrary, all the most subtle and profound investigations of astronomers have led them to the conviction, that the motions of the earth may have gone on, as they now go on, for an indefinite period of past time. There is no tendency to derangement in the mechanism of the solar system, so far as sedence has explored it. Minute inequalities in the movements exist, too small to produce any paracutible effect on the condition of the earth's surface; and even these inequalities, after growing up through long cycles of ages, to an amount barely cupulale of being detected by astronomical scruting, result

a maximum; and, diminishing by the same slow degrees by which they increased, correct themselves, and disappear. The solar system, and the earth as part of it, constitute, so far as we can discover, a Perpetual Motion.

22 There is therefore nothing, in what we know of the Cosmical conditions of our globe, to contradict the Torrestrial cyllence for its vast antiquity, as the seat of organic life. If, for the sake of giving definiteness to our notions, we were to assume that the numbers which express the antiquity of these four Periods;-the Present organic condition of the earth; the Tertiary Period of geologists, which preceded that; the Secondary Poriod, which was anterior to that; and the Primary Poriod which precoded the Secondary; were on the same scale as the numbers which express these four magnitudes:-the magnitude of the Earth: that of the Solar System compared with the Earth; the distance of the nearest Fixed Stars compared with the solar system; and the distance of the most remote Nobulco compared with the nearest fixed stars: there is, in the evidence which geological science offers, nothing to contradict such an assumption.

23 And as the infinite extent which we necessarily ascribe to space, allows us to find room, without any mental difficulty, for the vast distances which astronomy reveals, and even leaves us rather embarrassed with the infinite extent which lies beyond our farthest explorations; so the infinite duration which we, in like manner, necessarily ascribe to past time, makes it easy for us, so far as our powers of intellect are concerned, to go millions of millions of years backwards, in order to trace the beginning of the earth's existence,—the

first step of terrestrial creation. It is as easy for the mind of man to reason respecting a system which is billions or trillions of miles in extent, and has endured through the like number of years, or centuries, as it is to reason about a system, (the earth, for instance,) which is forty million feet in extent, and has endured for a hundred thousand million of seconds, that is, a few thousand years.

24 This statement is amply sufficient for the argument which we have to found upon it; but before I proceed to do that, I will give another view which has recently been adopted by some goologists, of the mode in which the successive periods of greation, which geological research discloses to us, have passed into one another. According to this new view, we find no sufficient reason to believe that the history of the carth, as read by us in the organic and mechanical phonomena of its superficial parts, has consisted of such an alternation of periods of violence and of repose. as we have just attempted to describe. According to these theorists, strata have succeeded strata, one group of animals and plants has followed another, through a season of uniform change; with no greater peroxysm or catastrophe, it may be, than has occurred during the time that man has been an observer of the earth. It may be caked, how is this consistent with the phenomena which we have described :- with the vast messes of ruln. which mark the end of one period and the beginning of another, as is the case in passing from the coal-measures of England to the superingumbent beds :--with the highly inclined strata of the contral masses, and the level beds of the upper formations which have been described as marking the mountain-ranges of Europe? To these questions, a reply is furnished, we are told, by a more extensive and careful examination of the strata. It may be, that in certain localities, in certain districts, the transition, from the mountain-limestone and the coal to the saporlacent sandstones and coliton is abrupt and seemingly violent; marked by smoonformable positions of the upper upon the lower strata, by bods of conglomerate, by the absonce of organic remains in certain of these beds. But if we follow these very strata into other parts of the world, or even into other parts of this island, we find that this abruptness and incongruity between the lower and the higher strata disappears. Between the mountain-limestone and the red sandstone which lies over it, certain new beds are found, which fill up the incoherent interval; which offer the same evidence as the strata below and above thom, of having been produced tranquilly; and which do not violently differ in position from either The appearance of incoherence in the series arose from the occurrence, in the region first examined, of a gap, which is here filled up, -a blank which is here supplied. Hence it is inferred, that whatever of violence and extreme disturbance is indicated by the dislocations and ruins there observed, was local and partial only; and that, at the very time when these fragmentary bods, void of organized beings, were forming in one place, there were, at the same time, going on, in another part of the earth's surface, not far removed, the procosses of the life, death and imbedding of species, as tranquilly as at any other period. And the same assortion is made with regard to the more general fact, before described, of the stratigraphical constitution of mountain-chains. It is asserted that the unconformable relation of the strate which compose the different parts of those chains, is a local occurrence only; and that the same straia, if followed into other regions, are found conformable to each other; or are reduced to a virtually continuous scheme, by the interpolation of other strata, which make a transition, in which no evidence of exceptional violence appears.

25 We shall not attempt, (it is not at all necessary for us to do so,) to decide between the doctrines of the two geological schools which thus stand in this opposition to each other. But it will be useful to our argument to state somewhat further the opinions of this latter school on one main We must explain the view which these geologists take of the mode of succession of one group of organized beings to another; by which, as we have said, the different successive strata are charactorized. Such a phenomenon, it would at first seem, cannot be brought within the ordinary rules of the existing state of things. The species of plants and animals which inhabit the cartle, do not change from age to age; they are the same in modern times, as they were in the most remote antiquity, of which we have any record. The dogs and horses, shoop and cattle, lions and wolves. ongles and swallows, corn and vines, oaks and codars, which occupy the earth now, are not, we have the strongest reasons to believe, essentially different from what they were in the earliest ages. At least, if one or two species have disappeared, no new species have come into existence. We cannot conceive a greater violation of the known laws of nature, than that such an event as the appearance of a new species should have occurred. Even those who hold the uniformity of the mechanical changes of the earth, and of the

rate of change, from age to age, and from one geological period to another; must still, it would seem, allow that the zoological and phytological changes of which geology gives her testimony, are complete exceptions to what is now taking place. The formstion of strata at the bottom of the ocean from the ruin of existing continents, may be going on at Even the elevation of the bed of the ocean in certain places, as a process impercentibly slow, may be in action at this moment, as those theorists hold that it is. But still, even when the beds thus formed are elevated into mountain chains. if that should happen in the course of myriads of years, (according to the supposition it cannot be effected in a less period,) the strata of such mountain-chains will still contain only the species of such creatures as now inhabit the waters; and we shall have, even then, no succession of organic opechs. such as geology discovers in the existing mountains of the earth.

26 The answer which is made to this objection appears to me to involve a license of assumption on the part of the uniformiturian geologist, (as such theorisis have been termed,) which goes quite beyond the bounds of natural philosophy: but I wish to state it; partly, in order to show that the most ingenious men, stimulated by the exigencies of a theory, which requires some hypothesis concoming the succession of species, to make it coherent and complete, have still found it impossible to bring the creation of species of plants and animals within the domain of natural science; and partly, to show how easily and readily geological theorists are led to assume periods of time, even of a higher order than those which I have ventured to suggest.

27 It must, however, be first stated, as a fact on which the assumption is founded, which I have to notice, that the organic groups by which those Buccessive strata are characterized, are not so distinot and separate, as it was convenient, for the sake of explanation, to describe them in the first instance. Although each body of strata is marked by predominant groups of genera and species, yet it is not true, that all the species of each formation disappear, when we proceed to the next. Some species and genera ondure through several successive groups of strata; while others disappear, and now forms come into view, as we ascend. And thus, the change from one set of organic forms to another, as we advance in time, is made, not altogother by abrupt transitions, but in part continuously. The uniformitarian, in the case of organic. as in the case of mechanical change, obliterates or weakens the evidence of sudden and entastrophic leaps, by interposing intermediate stops, which involve, partly the phenomena of the proceding, and partly those of the subsequent condition. As he allows no universal transition from one deposit to a succeeding discrepant and unconformable deposit. so he allows no abrupt and complete transition from one collection of organic beings, -one creation, as we may call it to another. If creation must needs be an act out of the region of natural science, he will have it to be at least an act not exercised at distant intervals, and on popullar occasions; but constantly going on, and producing its offects, as much at one time in the geological history of the world, as at another.

28 And this he holds, not only with regard to the geological periods which have preceded the existing condition of the earth, but also with regard

to the transition from those previous periods to that in which we live. The present population of the earth is not one in which all previous forms are extinct. The past population of the earth was not one in which there are found no creatures still living. On the contrary, he finds that there exists a yest mass of strata, superior to the secondary strain which are characterized by extinct forms, and yet inferior to those deposits which are now going on by the agency of obvious causes. These masses of strata contain a population of creatures, partly extinut species, and partly such species as are still living on our land and in our The proportion in which the old and the now species occur in such strata, is various; and ' the strata are so numerous, so rich in organic remains, so different from each other, and have been so well explored, that they have been classified and named according to the proportion of new and of old species which they contain. These which contain the largest proportion of species still living, have boon tormed Pilocene, as containing a greater numher of new or recent species. Below these, are strate which are termed Missens, implying a smaller number of saw species. Below these again, are others which have been termed Locate, as containing few new species indeed, but yet enough to mark the dawn, the Fos, of the existing state of the organic world. These strain are, in many places, of very considerable thickness; and their number, their succession, and the great amount of extinct species which they contain, shows, in a way which cannot be questioned, (if the evidence of geology is necepted at all,) in what a gradual manner, a portion at least, of the existing forms of organic life have taken the place of a dif-

11

forent population proviously existing on the surface of the globe.

29 And thus the uniformitarian is led to consider the facts which geology brings to light, as indicating a slow and almost imperceptible, but, upon the whole, constant series of changes, not only in the position of the carth's materials, but in its animal and vegetable population. Land becomes sea and sea becomes land; the beds of oceans are clevated into mountain-regions, carrying with them the remains of their inhabitants; sheets of lava pour from volcanic vents and overwhelm the seats of life: and these lava-stroams, again, become fields of vegetation; or, it may be, descend to the doubles of the sen, and are evergrown with groves of coral: lakes are filled with sodiment, imbedding the remains of land animals, and form the museums of future zoologists: the deltas of mighty rivers become the centers of continents, and are exervated as coal-flolds by mon in remote ages. And yet all this time, so slow is the change, that man is unaware such changes are going on. He knows that the mountains of Scandinavia are rising out of the Baltle at the rate of a few feet in a century; he knows that the fertile slone of line has been growing for thousands of yours by the addition of lava streams and parasitio volcanoes; he knows that the delta of the Mississippi accumulates hundreds of miles of vegetable matter every generation; he knows that the shores of Europe are yielding to the sea; but all these appear to him minute items, not worth summing; infinitesimal quantities, which he cannot integrate. And so, in truth, they are, for him. His cuhomeral existence does not allow him to form a just conception, in any ordinary state of mind, of the effects of this constant agency of

change, working through countless thousands of years. But Time, inexhausted and unremitting. sums the series, integrates the formula of change: and thus passes, with sure though neiseless progross, from one geological epoch to another.

30 And in the monnwhile,—to complete the view thus taken by the uniformitarian, of the geological history of the earth, -by some constant but insorutable law, greative agency is perpetually at work, to introduce, into this progressive system of things, new species of vogetable and animal life. Organic forms, ever and ever new ones, are brought into being, and left, visible footstops, as it were, of the progress which Time has made; - marks placed between the rocky leaves of the book of creation: by which man, when his time comes, may turn back, and read the past history of his habitation. But the point for us to remark is, the immeasurable, the inconceivable length of time, if any length of time could be inconceivable, which is required of our thoughts, by this new assumption of the constant production of new species, as a law of oreation. We might feel ourselves well nigh overwhelmed, when, by looking at processes which we see producing only a few feet of height or broudth or douth during the life of man, we are called upon to imagine the construction of Alps and Andes;-when we have to imagine a world made a few inches in a century. But there, at least, we had something to start from: the element of change was small, but there was an element of change; we had to expand, but we had not to originate. But in conceiving that all the myriads of successive species, which we find in the earth's strate, have come into being by a law which is now overating, we have nothing to start from, We

have seen, and know of, no such change; all sober and skilful naturalists reject it, as a fact not belonging to our time. We have here to build a theory without materials;—to sum a series of which every term, so far as we know, is nothing;—to introduce into our scientific reasonings an assumption contrary to all scientific knowledge.

31 This appears to me to be the real character of the assumption of the constant creation of new species. But, as I have said, it is not my business here, to pronounce upon the value or truth of this assumption. The only use which I wish to make of it is this:—If any persons, who have adopted the geological view which I have just been explaining, should feel any interest in the speculations here offered to their notice, they must needs be (as I have no doubt they will be) even more willing than other geologists, to grant to our argument a scale of time for geological succession, corresponding in magnitude to the scale of distances which astronomy tendics us, as those which measure the relation of the universe to the carth.

This being supposed to be granted, I am prepared to proceed with my argument.

## CHAPTER VI.

## The Argument from Geology.

1 IIAVE endeavoured to explain that, according to the discoveries of geologists, the masses of which the surface of the carth is composed, exhibit indisputable evidence that, at different successive periods, the land and the waters which occupy it, have been inhabited by successive races of plants and animals, which, when taken in large groups, according to the ascending or descending order of the strate, consist of species different from those above and below them. Many of these groups of species are of forms so different from any living things which now exist, as to give to the life of those ancient periods an aspect strangely diverse from that which life now displays, and to transfer us, in thought, to a creation remote in its predominant forms from that among which we live. I have shown also, that the life and successive generations of these groups of species, and the events by which the rocks which contain these remains have been brought into their present situation and condition. must have occupied immense intervals of time;intervals so large as to deserve to be compared, in their numerical expression, with the intervals of space which separate the planets and stars from each other. It has been soon, also, that the best geologists and natural historians have not been able to devise any hypothesis to account for the successive introduction of these new species into the earth's population; except the exercise of a series of acts of creation, by which they have been brought

forest population proviously existing on the surface of the globe.

29 And thus the uniformitarian is led to consider the facts which geology brings to light, as indicating a slow and almost imperceptible, but, upon the whole constant series of changes, not only in the position of the carth's unterlais, but in its animal and vogetable population. Land becomes sea and sea becomes land; the bods of occans are elevated into mountain-regions, carrying with them the remains of their inhabitants; shocks of lava pour from volcenic vents and overwhelm the sents of life: and these lava-streams, again, become fields of vegetation; or, it may be, descend to the depths of the sea, and are overgrown with groves of coral: lakes are filled with sediment, imbedding the remains of land animals, and form the museums of future zoologists: the deltas of mighty rivers become the centers of continents, and are execvated as coal-fields by mon in remote ages. And yet all this time, so slow is the change, that man is unaware such changes are going on. He knows that the mountains of Scandinavia are rising out of the Baltic at the rate of a few feet in a century: he knows that the fertile slope of kina has been growing for thousands of years by the addition of lava streams and parasitic volcances; he knows that the delta of the Mississippi accumulates hundreds of miles of vegetable matter every generation; he knows that the shores of Europe are yielding to the sea: but all these appear to him minute items, not worth summing; infinitesimal quantities, which he cannot integrate. And so, in truth, they are, His ophomeral existence closs not allow him to form a just conception, in any ordinary state of mind, of the effects of this constant agoney of change, working through countless thousands of years. But Time, inexhausted and unremitting, sums the series, integrates the formula of change; and thus passes, with sure though noiseless progress, from one geological epoch to another.

30 And in the meanwhile to complete the view thus taken by the uniformitarian, of the goological history of the carth,-by some constant but insorntable law, creative agency is perpetually at work, to introduce, into this progressive system of things, new species of vegetable and animal life. Organic forms, ever and ever new ones, are brought into being, and loft, visible footsteps, as it were, of the progress which Time has made:-marks placed between the rocky leaves of the book of creation; by which man, when his time comes, may turn back, and read the past history of his habitation. But the point for us to remark is, the immeasurable, the inconceivable length of time, if any length of time could be inconceivable, which is required of our thoughts, by this new assumption of the constant production of new species, as a law of orgation. We might feel ourselves well nigh overwhelmed, when, by looking at processes which we see producing only a few feet of height or broadth or dopth during the life of man, we are called mon to inagino the construction of Alps and Andes;-when we have to imagine a world made a few inches in a contury. But there, at least, we had something to start from: the element of change was small, but there was an element of change: we had to expand, but we had not to originate. But in conceiving that all the myriads of successive species, which we find in the earth's strata, have come into being by a law which is now operating, we have nothing to start from. We

have seen, and know of, no such change; all sober and skilful naturalists reject it, as a fact not belonging to our time. We have here to build a theory without materials;—to sum a series of which every term, so far as we know, is nothing;—to introduce into our selentific reasonings an assumption contrary to all scientific knowledge.

31 This appears to me to be the real character of the assumption of the constant creation of new species. But, as I have said, it is not my business here, to pronounce upon the value or truth of this assumption. The only use which I wish to make of it is this:—If any persons, who have adopted the geological view which I have just been explaining, should feel any interest in the speculations here offered to their notice, they must needs be (as I have no doubt they will be) even more willing than other geologists, to grant to our argument a scale of time for geological succession, corresponding in magnitude to the scale of distances which astronomy teaches us, as those which necessare the relation of the universe to the earth.

This being supposed to be granted, I am prepared to proceed with my argument.

## CHAPTER VI.

## The Argument from Geology.

1 HAVE endeavoured to explain that, according to the discoveries of geologists, the masses of which the surface of the earth is composed, exhibit indisputable evidence that, at different successive periods, the land and the waters which occupy it, have been inhabited by successive races of plants and animals, which, when taken in large groups, according to the ascending or descending order of the strata, consist of species different from those above and below them. Many of these groms of species are of forms so different from any living things which now exist, as to give to the life of those ancient periods an aspect strangely diverse from that which life now displays, and to transfer us, in thought, to a creation remote in its prodominant forms from that among which we live. I have shown also, that the life and successive generations of those groups of species, and the events by which the rocks which contain these remains have been brought into their present situation and condition, must have occupied immense intervals of time;intervals so large as to deserve to be compared, in their numerical expression, with the intervals of space which separate the planets and stars from each other. It has been seen, also, that the best geologists and natural historians have not been able to devise any hypothesis to account for the succossive introduction of these new species into the earth's population; except the exercise of a series of acts of creation, by which they have been brought

into being; either in groups at once, or in a perpotual succession of one or a few species, which the course of long intervals of time might accumulate into groups of species. It is true, that some speculators have held, that by the agency of natural causes, such as operate upon organic forms, one species might be transmuted into another: external conditions of climate, food, and the like, being supposed to conspire with internal impulses and tendencies, so as to produce this effect. supposition is, however, on a more exact examination of the laws of animal life, found to be destitute of proof: and the doctrine of the successive creation of species romains firmly established among geologists. That the extinction of species. and of groups of species, may be accounted for by natural causes, is a proposition much more plausible, and to a certain extent, probable; for we have good reason to believe that, even within the time of human history, some few species have coased to exist upon the earth. But whether the extinction of such vast groups of species as the ancient strata present to our notice, can be accounted for in this way, at least without assuming the occurrence of great catastrophes, which must, for a time, have destroyed all forms of life in the district in which they occurred, appears to be more doubtful. decision of these questions, however, is not essential to our purpose. What is important is, that immense numbers of tribes of animals have tenanted the earth for countless ages, before the present state of things began to be.

2 The present state of things is that to which the existence and the history of Max belong; and the remark which I now have to make is, that the existence and the history of Man are facts of an entirely different order from any which existed in any of the previous states of the earth; and that this history has occupied a series of years which, compared with geological periods, may be regarded as very brief and limited.

- The remains of man are nowhere found in the strate which contain the records of former states of the earth. Skeletons of vast varieties of creatures have been disinterred from their rocky tombs; but these cometeries of nature supply no portion of a human skeleton. In earlier periods of natural science, when comparative anatomy was as yet very imperfectly understood, no doubt, many fossil bones were supposed to be human bones. The remains of glants and of antediluvians were frequent in museums. But a further knowledge of anatomy has made it appear that such bones all belong to animals, of one kind or another, often, to animals uttorly different, in their form and skoleton, from man. Also some bones, really human, have been found petrified in situations in which petrifluation has gone on in recent times, and is still going on. Human skelatons, imbadded in rock by this process, have been found in the island of Guadaloupe, and elsowhere. But this phenomenon is easily distinguishable from the petrified bones of other animals, which are found in rocks belonging to really geological periods; and does not at all oblitorate the distinction between the geological and the historical periods.
- 4 Indood not bones only, but objects of art, produced by human workmanship, are found fossilized and petrified by the like processes; and these, of course, belong to the historical period. Human bones, and human works, are found in such deposits as morasses, sand-banks, lava-streams,

mounds of volcanic ashes; and many of them may be of unknown, and, compared with the duration of a few generations, of very great antiquity; but such deposits are distinguishable, generally without difficulty, from the strate in which the geologist reads the records of former greations. It has been truly said, that the geologist is an Antiquary; for, like the antiquary, he traces a past condition of things in the remains and offects of it which still subsist; but it has also been truly said at the same time, that he is an antiquary of a new Order; for the remains which he studies are those which illustrate the history of the ourth, not of mun. The geologist's antiquity is not that of ornaments and arms, utonsils and habiliments, walls and mounds; but of species and of genera, of seas and of moun-It is true, that the goologist may have to study the works of man, in order to truce the effects of causes which produce the results which he investigates; as when he examines the pholadpierced pillars of Putcoll, to prove the rise and the fall of the ground on which they stand; or notes the anchoring-rings in the wall of some Roman edifice, once a maritime fort, but now a ruin remote from the sea; or when he remarks the streets in the towns of Scania, which are now below the lovel of the Baltic \*, and therefore show that the land has sunk since these payements were laid. But in studying such objects, the goologist considers the hand of man as only one among many agencies. Man is to him only one of the natural causes of change.

5 And if, with the illustrious author to whom we have just referred †, we liken the fessil remains, by which the geologist determines the age of his

<sup>\*</sup> Lyoll, 11, 420, [6th Hd.]

<sup>+</sup> Cuylor.

strata, to the Medals and Coins in which the antiquary finds the record of reigns and dynastics; we must still recollect that a Coln really discloses a vast body of characteristics of man, to which there is nothing approaching in the previous condition of the world. For how much does a Coin or Modal indicate? Property; exchange; government; a standard of value; the arts of mining, assuring, coining, drawing, and sculpture; language, writing, and reckoning; historical recollections, and the wish to be remembered by future ages. All this is involved in that small human work, a Coin. If the formil remains of animals may (as has been said) be termed Medals struck by Nature to record the epochs of her history; Medals must be said to be. not morely, like fessil remains, records of material things; they are the records of thought, purpose, society, long continued, long improved, supplied with multiplied aids and helps; they are the permanent results, in a minute compass, of a vast progress, extending through all the ramifications of human life.

O Not a coin merely, but any, the rudest work of human art, carries us far beyond the domain of mere animal life. There is no transition from man to animals. No doubt, there are races of men very degraded, barbarous, and brutish. No doubt there are kinds of animals which are very intelligent and angacious; and some which are exceedingly disposed to and adapted to companionship with man. But by elevating the intelligence of the brute, we do not make it become the intelligence of the man. By making man barbarous, we do not make him cease to be man. Animals have their especial capacities, which may be carried very far, and may approach near to human saga-

city, or may even go beyond it: but the capacity of man is of a different kind. It is a capacity, not for becoming sagacious, but for becoming rational: or rather, it is a capacity which he has in virtue of being rational. It is a capacity of progress. Animals, however sagacious, however well trained, the progress in skill and knowledge is limited, and very narrowly limited. The creature soon reaches a boundary, beyond which it cannot pass; and even if the acquired habits be transmitted by descent to another generation, (which happens in the case of dogs and several other animals,) still the race soon comes to a stand in its accomplishments. But in man, the possible progress from generation to generation, in intelligence and knowledge, and we may also say, in power, is indefinite; or if this be doubted, it is at least no vast, that compared with animals, his capacity is influite. And this capacity extends to all racus of men its characterixing officacy: for we have good reason to believe that there is no race of human beings who may not, by a due course of culture, continued through generations, be brought into a community of Intelligance and power with the most intelligent and the most powerful races. This seems to be well ustablished, for instance, with regard to the African negroes; so long regarded by most, by some probably regarded still, as a race inferior to l'arencans. It has been found that they are abundantly capablo of taking a share in the arts, literature, morality and religion of European peoples. And we cannot doubt that, in the same manner, the untive Australians, or the Bushmen of the Cape of Good Hope, have human faculties and human enpacities; however difficult it might be to unfold these, in one or two generations, into a form of intelligence and civilization in any considerable degree resembling our own.

It is not requisite for us, and it might lead to unnecessary difficulties, to fix upon any one attribute of man, as peculiarly characteristic, and distinguishing him from brutes. Yet it would not be too much to say that man is, in truth, universally and specifically characterized by the possession of Language. It will not be questioned that language. in its highest forms is a wonderful vehicle and a striking evidence of the intelligence of man, bodily organs can, by a few scarcely perceptible motions, shape the air into sounds which express the kinds, properties, actions and relations of things. under thousands of aspects, in forms infinitely more general and recondite than those in which they present themselves to his senses;—and he can, by means of these forms, aided by the use of his senses, explore the boundless regions of space, the far recesses of past time, the order of nature, the working of the Author of nature. This man does. by the exercise of his Ronson, and by the use of Language, a necessary implement of his Reason for such purposes.

8 That Language, in such a stage, is a special character of man, will not be doubted. But it may be thought, there is little resemblance between Language in this exalted degree of perfection, and the seemingly senseless gibberish of the most barbarous tribes. Such an opinion, however, might easily be carried too far. All human language has in it the elements of indefinite intellectual activity, and the germs of indefinite development. Even the rudest kind of speech used by savages, denotes objects by their kinds, their attributes, their relations, with a degree of generality derived from

the intellect, not from the senses. The generality may be very limited; the relations which the human intellect is capable of apprehending may be imperfectly conveyed. But to denote kinds and attributes and actions and relations at all. is a beginning of generalization and abstraction;or rather, is far more than a beginning. It is the work of a faculty which can generalize and abstract; and these mental processes once begun, the field of progress which is open to them is indefinite. Undoubtedly it may happen that weak and harbarous tribes are, for many generations, so hard pressed by circumstances, and their faculties so entirely absorbed in providing for the bare wants of the poorest life, that their thoughts may never travel to anything beyond these, and their language may not be extended so as to be applicable to any other purposes. But this is not the standard condition of mankind. It is not, by such cases, that man, or that human nature, is to be judged. The normal condition of man is one of an advance beyond the mere means of subsistence. to the arts of life, and the exercise of thought in a general form. To some extent, such an advance has taken place in almost every region of the earth and in every age.

9 Perhaps we may often have a tendency to think more meanly than they deserve, of so-called barbarous tribes, and of those whose intellectual habits differ much from our own. We may be prone to regard correlves as standing at the summit of civilization; and all other nations and ages, as not only occupying inferior positions, but positions on a alope which descends till it sipks into the nature of brutes. And yet how little does an examination of the history of mankind justify

this view! The different stages of civilization, and of intellectual culture, which have prevailed among them, have had no appearance of belonging to one single series, in which the cases differed only as higher or lower. On the contrary, there have been many very different kinds of civilization. necompanied by different forms of art and of thought; showing how universally the human mind tends to such habits, and how rich it is in the modes of manifesting its innate powers How different have been the forms of civilization among the Chinese, the Indians, the Egyptians, the Babylonians, the Mexicans, the Peruvians! Yet in all. how much was displayed of sagacity and skill, of persoverance and progress, of montal activity and grasp, of thoughtfulness and power. Are we, in thinking of these manifestations of human capacity, to think of them as only a stage between us and brutes? or are we to think so, even of the stoical Red Indians of North America, or the energetic New Zealanders, and Caffres? And if not, why of the African Negroes, or the Australians, or the Bushmen? We may call their Langungo a jargon. Very probably it would, in its present form, be unable to express a great deal of what we are in the habit of putting into language. But can we refuse to believe that, with regard to matters with which they are familiar, and on occasions where they are interested, they would be to each other intelligible and clear? And if we suppose cases in which their affections and emotions are strongly excited, (and affections and emotions at least we cannot deny them,) can we not believe that they would be elequent and impressive? Do we not know, in fact, that almost all nations which we call savage, are, on such occasions, eloquent in their own language? And since this is so, must not their language, after all, be a wonderful instrument as well as ours? Since it can convey one man's thoughts and emotions to many, clothed in the form which they assume in his mind; giving to things, it may be, an aspect quite different from that which they would have if presented to their own senses; guiding their conviction, warming their hearts, impelling their purposes :-- can language, even in such cases, be otherwise than a wonderful produce of man's intornal, of his mental, that is, of his peculiarly huseus faculties? And is not language, therefore, even in what we regard as its lowest forms, an endowment that completely separates man from animals which have no such faculty?—which cannot regard, or which cannot convey, the impressions of the individual in any such general and abstract form? Probably we should find, as those who have studied the languages of savages always have found, that every such language contains a number of curious and subtle practices,—contriternoss, we cannot help calling them,—for marking the relations, bearings and connexions of words; contrivances quite different from those of the languages which we think of as more perfect; but yet. In the mouths of those who use such speech, answoring their purpose with great precision. But without going into such details, the use of any articulate language is, as the oldest Greeks snoke of it, a special and complete distinction of man as man.

10 It would be an obscure and useless labour, to speculate upon the question, whether animals have among themselves anything which can properly be called Language. That they have any-

thing which can be termed Language, in the sense in which we here speak of it, as admitting of general expressions, abstractions, address to numbers. oloquence, is uttorly at variance with any interprotation which we can put upon their proceedings. The broad distinction of Instinct and Reason. however obscure it may be, yet seems to be most simply described, by saying, that animals do not apprehend their impressions under general forms, and that man does. Resemblance, and consequent association of impressions, may often show like generalization; but yet it is different. There is. in man's mind, a germ of general thoughts, suggosted by resemblances, which is evolved and fixed in language; and by the aid of such an addition to the impressions of sense, man has thousands of intellectual pathways from object to object, from offcet to onuse, from fact to inference. His impressions are projected on a sphere of thought of which the radii can be prolonged into the farthest regions of the universe. Animals, on the centrary, are shut up in their sphere of sensation,-passing from one impression to another by various assodations, established by ofreumstances; but still, having access to no wider intellectual region, through which lie lines of transition purely abstract and mental. That they have their modes of communicating their impressions and associations, their affections and emotions, we know : but these modes of communication do not make a language; nor do they disturb the assignment of Language as a special character of man; nor the belief that man differs in his Kind, and we may say, using a larger phrase, in his Order, from all other creatures.

11 We may sometimes be led to assign much of the development of man's populiar powers, to

the influence of external circumstances. And that the development of those powers is so influenced. we cannot doubt; but their developement unly. not their existence. We have already said that savages, living a procarious and misorable life. occupied incossantly with providing for their merce bodily wants, are not likely to possess languages. or any other characteristic of humanity, in any but a stunted and imperfect form. manhood is debased and degraded under such adverse conditions, does not make man censo ter be man. Even from such an abject race, if a child be taken and brought up among the comforts and means of developement which civilized life supplies. he does not fail to show that he possessor, nerhanger in an eminent degree, the powers which specially belong to men. The evidences of human tembers cles, human thoughts, human capacities, human affections and sympathics, appear conspicuously. in cases in which there has been no time for external circumstances to operate to any greatextent, so as to unfold any difference between the man and the brute; or in which the influence of the most general of external agencies, the inpressions of several of the senses, have been intercepted. Who, that sees a lively child, looking witis eager and ourious eyes at every object, uttering orics that express every variety of elementary human emotion in the most vivacious minuterexchanging looks and gestures, and inarticulates sounds, with his nurse, can doubt that already has possesses the germs of human fooling, thought, and knowledge? that already, before he can forma or understand a single articulate word, he land within him the materials of an infinite exulcinutes of utterance, and an impulse to find the languages into which such utterance is to be monided by: the law of his human unture? And perhaps it may have happened to others, as it has to me, to know a child who had been both deaf, dumb, and blind, from a very early ago. Yet she, as yours wont on, disclosed a perpetually growing sympathy with the other children of the family in all their actions, with which of course she could only acquaint herself by the sense of touch. sat, drossed, walked, as they did; even imitated them in holding a book in her hand when they read, and in kneeling when they prayed. could look at the change which came ever her sightless countonance, when a known hand touched hers, and doubt that there was a human soul within the frame. The human soul seemed not only to be there, but to have been fully developed: though the moons by which it could receive such communications as generally constitute human education, were thus out off. And such modes of communication with her companious as had been taught her, or as she had herself invented, well bore out the belief, that her mind was the constant dwellingplace, not only of human effections, but of human thoughts. So plainly does it appear that human thought is not produced or occasioned by external circumstances only; but has a special and indestructible gorm in human nature.

13 I have been endeavouring to illustrate the decirine that man's nature is universally different from the nature of other animals; as subsidiary to the decirine that the Human Epoch of the earth's history is different from all the proceeding Epochs. But in truth, this subsidiary proposition is not by any means necessary to my main purpose. Even if barbarous and sayage talbes, even if man under

unfavourable circumstances, be little better than the brutes, still no one will doubt that the most dvilised ruces of mankind, that man under the most favourable circumstances, is far, is, indeed immeasurably elevated above the brutes. history of man includes not only the history of Soythians and Barbarlans, Australians and Nogroes, but of ancient Greeks and of modern Europeans; and therefore there can be no doubt that the period of the Earth's history, which includes the history of man, is very different fudged from any period which preceded that, To illustrate the poculiarity, the elevation, the dignity, the wonderful endowments of man, we might refer to the achievements, the recorded thoughts and notions, of the most eminent among those nations;to their arts, their poetry, their eleguence : their philosophers, their mathematicians, their astronomers; to the note of virtue and devotion, of patriotism, generosity, obedience, truthfulness, love. which took place among them;—to their piety, their reverence for the deity, their resignation to his will, their hope of immortality. Such abaractoristic traits of man as man, (which all examples of intelligence, virtue, and religion, are,) might serve to show that man is, in a sense quite difforent from other oreatures, fourfully and wonderfully made, but I need not go into such details. It is sufficient for my purpose to sum up the result in the expressions which I have already used: that man is an intellectual, moral, religious, and spiritual boing.

13 But the existence of man upon the earth being thus an event of an order quite different from any previous part of the earth's history, the question occurs, how long has this state of things

endured? What period has clapsed since this creature, with these high powers and faculties. was placed upon the earth? How far must we go backward in time, to find the beginning of his wonderful history?-so utterly wonderful compared with anything which had previously occurred. For as to that point, we cannot feel any doubt. The wildest imagination cannot suggest that corals and madropores, oysters and sopias, fishes and lizards, may have been rational and moral creatures: nor even those greatures which come nearer to human organization; megatheriums and mastodons, extinct deer and elephants. Undoubtedly the earth, till the existence of man, was a world of mere brute oreatures. How long then has it been otherwise? How long has it been the habitation of a rational, reflective, progressive race? Can we by any evidence, geological or other, anproximate to the beginning of the Human History?

14 This is a large and curious question, and one on which a precise answer may not be within our reach. But an answer not precise, an approximation, as we have suggested, may suffice for our purpose. If we can determine, in some measure, the order and scale of the period during which man has occupied the earth, the determination may serve to support the analogy which we wish to establish.

15 The geological evidence with regard to the existence of man is altogether negative. Previous to the deposits and changes which we can trace as belonging obviously to the present state of the earth's surface, and the operation of causes now existing, there is no vestige of the existence of man, or of his works. As was long ago ob-

served\*, we do not find, among the shells and bones which are so abundant in the older strata. any weapons, medals, implements, structures, which speak to us of the hand of man, the workman. we look forwards ten or twenty thousand years, and suppose the existing works of man to have been, by that time, rained and covered up by masses of rubbish, inundations, morasses, lavastreams, carthquakes; still, when the future inhabitant of the earth digs into and explores these coverings, he will discover impumerable monuments that man existed so long ago. The materials of many of his works, and the traces of his own mind. which he stamps upon them, are as indestructible as the shells and bones which give language to the oldest strata. Indeed in many cases the oldest fessil remains are the results of objects of seemingly the most frail and perishable material:-of the most delicate and tender animal and vegetable tiesuos and filaments. That no such remains of textures and forms moulded by the hand of man. are anywhere found among those, must be accepted as indisputable evidence that man did not exist. so as to be contemporary with the plants and animals thus commemorated. According to geological ovidence, the race of man is a novelty upon the earth :-- something which has succeeded to all the great geological changes.

16 And in this, almost all geologists are agreed. Even those who hold that, in other ways, the course of change has been uniform;—that even the introduction of man, as a new species of animal, is only an event of the same kind as myriads of like events which have occurred in the history of the earth;—still allow that the introduction of

<sup>\*</sup> By Blahop Barkeley. See Lyell, 111, 246,

man, as a moral being, is an event entirely different from any which had taken place before : and that this event is, geologically speaking, recent, The changes of which we have spoken, as studied by the goologist in connexion with the works of man, the destruction of buildings on son-consts by the incursions of the ocean, the removal of the shore many miles away from ancient harbours, the overwhelming of cities by carthquakes or volcanic eruptions; however great when compared with the changes which take place in one or two generations; are minute and infinitesimal, when put in comparison with the changes by which ranges of mountains and continents have been brought into being, one after another, each of thom filled with the remains of different organic orogijons.

17 Further than this, goology does not go, on this question. She has no chronometer which can tell us when the first buildings were creeted, when man first dwelt in cities, first used implements or arms; still less, language and reflexion. Geology is compelled to give over the question to History. The external evidences of the antiquity of the species fail us, and we must have recourse to the internal. Nature can tell us so little of the age of man, that we must inquire what he can tell us of himself.

18 What man can toll us of his own ago-what history can say of the beginning of history,—is necessarily very obscure and imperfect. We know how difficult it is to trace to its origin the History of any single Nation: how much more, the History of All Nations! We know that all such particular histories carry us back to periods of the migrations of tribes, confused mixtures of popu-

lations, perplexed and contradictory genealogies of races; and as we follow these further and further backwards, they become more and more obsoure and uncertain; at least in the histories which remain to us of most nations. Still, the obsourity is not such as to load us to the conviction that research is uscless and unprofitable. obscurity such as naturally arises from the lapse of time, and the complexity of the subject. The aspect of the world, however far we go back, is still historical and human; historical and human, in as high a degree, as it is at the present day. Men, as described in the records of the oldest times, are of the same nature, act with the same views, are governed by the same motives, as at present. At all points, we see thought, purpose, law, roligion, progress. If we do not find a beginning, we find at least evidence that, in approaching the beginning, the condition of man does not, in any way, cease to be that of an intellectual, moral. and religious creature.

10 There are, indeed, some histories which speak to us of the beginning of man's existence upon earth; and one such history in particular, which comes to us recommended by indisputable evidence of its own great antiquity, by numerous and striking confirmations from other histories, and from facts still current, and by its connexion with that religious view of man's condition, which appears to thoughtful men to be absolutely requisite to give a meaning and purpose to man's faculties and endowments. I speak, of course, of the Hebrew Scriptures. This history professes to inform us how man was placed upon the earth; and how, from one center, the human family spread itself in various branches into all parts of the

world. This genealogy of the human race is necompanied by a chronology, from which it results that the antiquity of the human race does not exceed a few thousand years. Even if we accept this history as true and authoritative, it would not be wise to be rigidly tonacious of the chronelogy, as to its minute exactness. For, in the first place, of three different forms in which this history appears, the chronology is different in all the three: I mean, the Hebrew, the Samaritan, and the Septuagint versions of the Old Testament. And oven if this were not so, since this chronology is put in the form of genealogies, of which many of the steps may very probably have a meaning different from the simple succession of generations in a family, (as some of them certainly have.) it would be unwise to consider ourselves bound to the exact number of years stated, in any of the three versions, or even in all. It makes no difference to our argument, nor to any purpose in which we can suppose this narrative to have a bearing, whether we accept six thousand or ten thousand years, or even a longer period, as the interval which has now clapsed since the creation of man took place, and the peopling of the carth began.

20 And, in our speculations at least, it will be well for us to take into account the view which is given us of the antiquity of the human race, by other histories as well as by this. A satisfactory result of such an investigation would be attained, if, looking at all these histories, weighing their value, interpreting their expressions fairly, discovering their sources of error, and of misrepresentation, we should find them all converge to one point: all give a consistent and harmonious view

of the earliest stages of man's history; of the times and places in which he first appeared as man. If all nations of mon are branches of the same family, it cannot but interest us, to find all the family traditions tending upwards towards the same quarter; indicating a divergence from the same point; exhibiting a recollection of the original domicile, or of the same original family circle.

21 To a cortain extent at least, this appears to be the result of the historical investigations which have been pursued, relative to this subject. A certain group of nations is brought before us by these researches, which, a few thousands of years ngo, were possessed of arts, and manners, and liabits, and belief, such as make their conspicuous. and which we can easily believe to have been contemporaneous successors of a common, though, it may be even then, remote stock. Such are the Jews, Egyptians, Chaldeans, and Assyrians. histories of these nations are connected with and confirm each other. Their languages, or most of them, have cortain affinities, which glossologists, on independent grounds, have regarded as affinities implying an original connexion. Their chronolugies, though in many respects discrepant, are not incumble of being reduced into an harmony by very probable suppositions. Here we have a very early view of the condition of a portion of the earth as the bubitation of man, and perhaps a auggestion of a condition earlier still.

22 It is true, that there are other nations also, which claim an antiquity for their civilization, equal to or greater than that which we can ascribe to these. Such are the Indians and the Chinese. But while we do not question that these antions were at a remote period in possession of arts,

knowledge, and regular polity, in a very eminent degree, we are not at all called upon to assent to the immense numbers, tens of thousands and hundreds of thousands of years, by which such nations, in their histories, express their antiquity. For, in the first place, such numbers are easily devised and transferred to the obscure early stages of tradition, when the art of numeration is once become familiar. These vast intervals, applied to series of blank genealogies, or idle fables, gratify the popular appetite for numerical wonders, but have little claim on critical conviction.

23 And in the next place, we discover that not numeration only, but a more recondite art, had a great share in the fabrication of these gigantic numbers of years. Some of the nations of whom we have thus spoken, the Indians, for example, had, at an early period, possessed themselves of a large amount of astronomical knowledge. They had observed and examined the motions of the Sun, the Moon, the Planets, and the Stars, till they had discovered Cycles, in which, after long and seemingly irregular wanderings in the skies, the heavenly bodies came round again to known and regular positions. They had thus detected the order that reigns in the seeming disorder; and had, by this means, anabled thomselves to know beforehand when certain astronomical events would occur; certain configurations of the Planets, for instance, and colipsos; and knowing how such events would occur in future, they were also able to calculate how the like events had occurred in the past. They could thus determine what colinsos and what planetary configurations had occurred, in thousands and tens of thousands of years of past time; and could, if they were disposed to falsify their early histories, and to confirm the falsification by astronomical cyldenes, do so with a very near approximation to astronomical truth. Such astronomical confirmation of their assertions, so incapable in any common apprehension of being derived from any other source than actual observation of the fact, naturally produced a great effect upon common minds; and still more, on those who examined the astronomical fact, enough only to see that it was, approximately, at least, true. But in recent times the fallacy of this evidence has been shown. and the fabrication detected. For though the astronomical rules which they had devised were approximately true, they were true approximately only. The more exact researches of modern Europoun astronomy discovered that their eyeles, though nearly exact, were not quite so. There was in thom, an error which made the cycle, at every revolution of its period, when it was applied to past ages, more and more wrong; so that the astronomical events which they asserted to have happened, as they had calculated that they would have happened, the better informed astronomer of our day, knows would not have happened exactly so, but in a manner differing more and more from their statement, as the event was more and more remote. And thus the fact which they asserted to have been observed, had not really happened; and the confirmation, which it had been supposed to lend to their history, disappeared. And thus, there is not, in the asserted antiquity of Indian civilization and Indian astronomy, anything which has a well-founded claim to disturb our belief that the nations of the more western regions of Asia had a civilization as ancient as theirs. And considerations of nearly the same kind may be applied

to the very remote astronomical facts which are recorded as having been observed in the history of some others of the ancient nations above mentioned.

24 Still less need we be disturbed by the long series of dynastics, each occupying a large period of years, which the Egyptians are said to have insorted in their early history, so as to carry their origin beyond the earliest times which I have mentioned. If they spoke of the Grock nations as children compared with their own long-continued ago, as Plato says they did, a few thousands of years of provious existence would well ontitle them to do so. So far as such a period goos, their monuments and their hieroglyphical insoriptions give a reality to their protonsions, which we may very willingly grant. And even the history of tho Jaws supposes that the Rayptions had attained a high point in arts, government, knowledge, when Abraham, the father of the Jewish nation, was still leading the life of a nomad. But this supposition is not inconsistent with the account which the Jewish scriptures give, of the origin of nations; ospoulally if, as we have said, we abstain from any rigid and narrow interpretation of the ohrelogy of those scriptures; as on every ground, it is prudent to do.

25 It appears then not unreasonable to believe, that a very few thousands, or even a few hundreds of years before the time of Abraham, the nations of central and western Asia offer to us the oldest aspect of the life of man upon the earth; and that in reasoning concerning the antiquity of the human race, we may suppose that at that period, he was in the earliest stages of his existence. Although, in truth, if we were to accept the antiquity claimed by the Egyptians. the Indiana, or the Chinese, the nature of our argument would not be materially altered; for ten thousand, or even twenty thousand years, bears a very small proportion to the periods of time which goology requires for the revolutions which she describes; and, as I have said, we have geological evidence also, to show how brief the human period has been, when compared with the period which preceded the existence of man. And if this be so: if such peoples as those who have left to us the monuments of Egypt and of Assyria, the pyramids and ancient Thebes, the walls of Ninevols and Babylon, were the first nations which lived as nations; or if they were separated from such, only by the interval by which the Germans of to-day are separated from the Garmans of Tacitus: we may well repeat our remark, that the history of man in the carliest times, is as truly a history of a wonderful, intellectual, social, political, spiritual creature, as it is at present. We see, in the monuments of those periods, evidences so great and so full of skill, that even now, they amaze us, of arts, government, property, thought, the love of beauty, the recognition of delty; evidences of memory, foresight, power. If London or Berlin wore now destroyed, everwhelmed, and, four thousand years hence, disinterred, these cities would not afford stronger testimony of those attributes. as existing in modern Europeans, than we have, of such qualities, in the ancient Babylonians and Egyptians. The history of man, as that of a creaturo pre-eminent in the ereation, is equally such, however far back we carry our researches.

26 Nor is there anything to disturb this view, in the fact of the existence of the uncultured and

barbarous tribes which occupy, and always have occupied, a large portion of the earth's surface. For, in the first place, there is not, in the aspect of the fact, or in the information which history gives us, any reason to believe that such tribes exhibit a form of human existence, which, in the natural order of progress, is earlier than the forms of civilized life, of which we have spoken. opinion that the most savage kind of human life. least acquainted with arts, and least provided with resources, is the state of nature out of which civilized life has everywhere gradually emerged, is an opinion which though at one time popular, is unsupported by proof, and contrary to probability\*. Savage tribes do not so grow into civilisation; their condition is, far more probably, a condition of civilization degraded and lost, than of civilization incipient and prospective. Add to this, that if we were to assume that this were otherwise; if man thus originally and naturally savage. did also naturally tend to bocome civilized; this tendency is an endowment no less wonderful, than those endowments which civilization exhibits. capacity is as extraordinary as the developed result; for the capacity involves the result, saying man be the germ of the most highly dvilised man, he differs from all other animal germs. as man differs from brute. And add to this again. that in the tribes which we call savage, and whose condition most differs, in external elrenmetances, from ours, there are, after all, a vast mass of human attributes: thought, purpose, language, family

A room popular writer, who has asserted the self-civilizing tendency of man, has not been able, it would seen, to address any example of the operation of this tendency, except a single tribe of North American Indians, in whom it operated for a short time, and to a small extent.

relations; generally property, law, government, contract, arts, and knowledge, to no small extent; and in almost every case, religion. Even uncivilized man is an intellectual, moral, social, religious creature; nor is there, in his condition, any reason why he may not be a spiritual creature, in the highest sense in which the most civilized man can be so.

37 Here then we are brought to the view which, it would soom, offers a complete reply to the difficulty, which astronomical discoveries appenred to place in the way of religion:-the diffioulty of the opinion that man, occupying this speek of earth, which is but as an atom in the Universe, surrounded by millions of other globes, larger, and, to appearance, nobler than that which he inhabits, should be the object of the possible care and guardianship, of the favour and governmont, of the Creator of All, in the way in which Religion touches us that He is. For we find that man, (the human race, from its first origin till now,) has occupied but an atom of time, as he has occupied but an atom of space:-that as he is surrounded by myriads of globes which may, like this, be the habitations of living things, so he has been preceded, on this earth, by myriads of generations of living things, not possibly or probably only, but cortainly; and yot that, comparing his history with theirs, he has been, certainly has been litted to be, the object of the care and guardlenship, of the favour and government, of the Master and Covernor of All, in a manner entirely different from anything which it is resultile to bulieve with regard to the countless generations of brute crostures which had gone before him. If we will doubt or overlook the difference between man and brutes. the difficulty of ascribing to man posuliar privileges, is made as great by the revolutions of geology, as of astronomy. The scale of man's insignificance is, as we have said, of the same order in reference to time, as to space. There is nothing which at all goes beyond the magnitude which observation and reasoning suggest for geological periods, in supposing that the tertiary strata occupied, in their deposition and elevation, a period as much greater than the period of human history. as the solar system is larger than the earth :- that the secondary strata were as much longer than those in their formation, as the nearest fixed star is more distant than the sun :--- that the still carlier masses, call them primary, or protosoic, or what we will, did, in their production, extend through a period of time as vast, compared with the secondary period, as the most distant nebula is remoter than the nearest star. If the earth, as the habitation of man, is a speek in the midst of an infinity of space, the earth, as the habitation of man, is also a speek at the end of an infinity of time. we are as nothing in the surrounding universe, we are as nothing in the clapsed eternity; or rather, in the clapsed organic antiquity, during which the cartly has existed and been the abode of life. man is but one small family in the midst of innumerable possible households, he is also but one small family, the successor of innumerable tribes of animals, not possible only, but actual. If the planets may be the seats of life, we know that the sens which have given birth to our mountains were the seats of life. If the stars may have hundreds of systems of tenanted planets rolling round them, we know that the secondary group of rocks does contain hundreds of tonanted bods, witnessing of as many systems of organic creation. If the nebulæ may be planetary systems in the course of formation, we know that the primary and transition rocks either show us the earth in the course of formation, as the future seat of life, or exhibit such life as already begun.

28 How far that which astronomy thus asserts as possible, is probable;—what is the value of these possibilities of life in distant regions of the universe, we shall hereafter consider. But in what geology asserts, the case is clear. It is no possibility, but a certainty. No one will now doubt that shells and skeletons, trunks and leaves, prove animal and vegetable life to have existed. Even, therefore, if Astronomy could demonstrate all that her most fanciful disciples assume, Geology would still have a complete right to claim an equal hearing;—to insist upon having her analogies regarded. She would have a right to answer the questions of Astronomy, when she says, How can we believe this? and to have her answers accepted.

20 Astronomy claims a sort of dignity over other sciences, from her antiquity, her certainty, and the castness of her discoveries. But the antiquity of astronomy as a science had no share in such speculations as we are discussing; and if it had had, now truths are better than old conjectures; new discoveries must rectify old errors; new answers must remove old difficulties. The vigorous youth of Goology makes her fearless of the age of Astronomy. And as to the certainty of Astronomy, it has just as little to do with these speculations. The certainty stops, just when these speculations begin. There may, indeed, he some danger of delusion on this subject. Men have been so long accustomed to look upon astronomical

scionce as the mother of certainty, that they may possibly confound anticonomical discoveries with cosmological conjectures; though these be slightly and illogically connected with those. And then, as to the vastness of astronomical discoveries,—granting that character, inasmuch as it is, to a certain degree, a matter of measurement, we must observe, that the discoveries of geology are no less vast: they extend through time, as those of astronomy do through space: they carry us through millions of years, that is, of the carth's revolutions, as those of astronomy do through millions of the earth's diameters. or of diameters of the earth's orbit. Geology fills the regions of duration with events as astronomy fills the regions of the universe with objects, carries us backwards by the relation of cause and offcot, as astronomy carries us upwards by the relations of geometry. As astronomy stops on from point to point of the universe by a chain of triangles, so geology stops from epoch to epoch of the earth's history by a chain of mechanical and organical laws. If the one depends on the axioms of groundly, the other depends on the axioms of cannation.

30 So far then, Geology has no need to regard Astronomy as her superior; and least of all, when they apply themselves together to speculations like these. But in truth, in such speculations, Geology has an immeasurable superiority. She has the command of an implement, in addition to all that Astronomy can use; and one, for the purpose of such speculations, adapted for beyond any astronomical element of discovery. She has, for one of her studies,—one of her means of dealing with her problems,—the knowledge of Life, animal and vegetable. Vital organization is a subject of

attention which has, in modern times, been forced upon her. It is now one of the main parts of her discipline. The geologist must study the traces of life in every form; must learn to decipher its faintest indications and its fullest developement. On the question, then, whether there be, in this or that quarter, evidence of life, he can speak with the confidence derived from familiar knowledge: while the astronomer, to whom such studies are utterly foreign, because he has no facts which bear upon them, can offer, on such questions, only the loosest and most arbitrary conjectures; which, as we have had to romark, have been rebuked by ominent men, as being altogother inconsistent with the acknowledged maxims of his science,

31 When, therefore, Geology tells us that the earth, which has been the seat of human life for a few thousand years only, has been the seat of animal life for myriads, it may be, millions of years, she has a right to offer this, as an answer to any difficulty which Astronomy, or the readers of astronomical books, may suggest, derived from the considerations that the Earth, the seat of human life, is but one globe of a few thousand miles in diameter, among millions of other globes, at distances millions of times as great.

32 Let the difficulty be put in any way the objector pleases. Is it that it is unworthy of the greatness and majesty of God, according to our conceptions of Him, to bestow such poculiar care on so small a part of His creation? But we know, from goology, that He has bestowed upon this small part of His creation, mankind, this special care;—He has made their period, though only a moment in the ages of animal life, the only period of intelligence, morality, religion. If then, to suppose that

He has done this, is contrary to our conceptions of His greatness and majesty, it is plain that our conceptions are erroneous; they have taken a wrong direction. God has not judged, as to what is worthy of Him, as we have judged. He has found it worthy of Him to bestow upon man His special care, though he occupies so small a portion of time; and why not, then, although he occupies so small a portion of space?

Or is the objection this; that if we suppose the earth only to be occupied by inhabitants. all the other globes of the universe are wasted :turned to no purpose? Is waste of this kind considered as unsuited to the character of the Creater P But here again, we have the like waste, in the occupation of the earth. All its provious agos, its seas and its continents, have been wasted upon mere brute life; often, so far as we can see, for myriads of years, upon the lowest, the least consoions forms of life; upon shell-fish, corals, sponges. Why then should not the seas and continents of other planets be occupied at present with a life no higher than this, or with no life at all? Will it be said that, so far as material objects are occupied by life, they are not wasted; but that they are wasted, if they are entirely harron and blank of life? This is a very arbitrary saying. Why should the life of a sponge, or a coral, or an eyster, be regarded as a good employment of a mot of land and water, so as to save it from being wasted? No doubt, if the coral or the oyster be there, there is a reason why it is so, consistently with the attributes of God. But then, on the same ground, we may say that if it be not there, there is a reason why it is not so. Such a mode of regarding the parts of the universe can never give us reasons why

they should or should not be inhabited, when we have no other grounds for knowing whether they are. If it he a sufficient employment of a spot of rock or water that it is the sent of organizationof organic powers; why may it not be a sufficient employment of the same spot that it is the sort of attraction, of cohesion, of crystalling powers? All the planets, all parts of the universe, we have good reason to believe, are pervaded by attraction, by forces of aggregation and atomic relation, by light and heat. Why may not those be sufficient to prevent the space being wasted, in the eves of the Croator? as, during a great part of the earth's past history, and over large portions of its present mass, they are notually hold by Him sufficient; for they are all that ecoupy those portions. This notion, then, of the improbability of there being, in the universe, so vast an amount of wasto spaces, or waste bodies, as is implied in the opinion that the earth alone is the seat of life, or of intelligence, is conflited by the fact, that there are vast spaces. mento districts, and omocially waste times, to an extent as great as such a notion deems improbable. The avoldance of such waste, according to our notions of waste, is no part of the economy of oreation, so far as we can discern that economy, in its most certain exemplifications.

34 Or will the objection be made in this way; that such a posuliar dignity and importance given to the earth is contrary to the analogy of creation;—that since there are so many globes, similar to the earth,—like her, revolving round the sun, like her, revolving on their axes, several of them, like her, accompanied by satellites; it is reasonable to suppose that their destination and office is the sum as hers;—that since there are so many

Y7.]

stars, each like the sun, a source of light, and probably, of heat, it is reasonable to suppose that, like the sun, they are the centers of systems of planets, to which their light and hoat are imported. to mhold life :- is it thought that such a resomblanco is a strong ground for bolieving that the planets of our system, and of other systems, are inhabited as the earth is? If such an astronomical analogy be insisted on, we must again have recourse to geology, to see what such analogy is worth. And then, we are led to reflect, that if we were to follow such analogies, we should be compelled to suppose that all the successive periods of the earth's history were occupied with life of the same order; that as the earth, in its present condition. is the seat of an intelligent population, so must it have been, in all former conditions. The earth, in its former conditions, was able and fitted to support life; even the life of creatures closely resombling man in their bodily structure. monkeys, fossil remains have been found. But yet, in those former conditions, it did not support human life. Even those geologists who have dwelt most on the discovery of fossil monkeys, and other animals nearest to man, have not dreamt that there existed, before man, a race of rational, intelligent, and progressive creatures. As we have seen, geology and history alike refute such a fancy. The notion, then, that one period of time in the history of the earth must resemble another, in the character of its population, because it resembles it in physical circumstances, is negatived by the facts which we discover in the history of the earth. And so, the notion that one part of the universe must resemble another in its population, because it resembles it in physical circumstances, is negatived as a law of creation. Analogy, further examined, affords no support to such a notion. The analogy of time, the events of which we know, corrects all such guesses founded on a supposed analogy of space, the furniture of which, so far as this point is concerned, we have no sufficient means of examining.

But in truth, we may go further. Not only does the analogy of creation not point to any such ontire resemblance of similar parts, as is thus assumed, but it points in the opposite direction. Not outire resemblance, but universal difference is what we discover; not the repetition of exactive similar cases, but a series of cases perpetually dissimilar, presents itself; not constancy, but change. porhaps advance; not one permanent and pervading sultano, but proparation and completion of succossive schemes; not uniformity and a fixed type of existences, but progression and a climax. This may be said to be the case in the geological aspect of the world; for, without occupying ourselves with the question, how for the monuments of animal life, which we find preserved in the carth's strate, exhibit a gradual progression from ruder and more imperfect forms to the types of the present terrestrial population; from sponges and mollusks, to fish and lizards, from cold-blooded to warm-blooded animals, and so on, till we come to the most perfect vertebrates:—a doctrine which many eminent geologists have held, and still held: without discussing this question, or assuming that the fact is so; this at least cannot be denied or doubted, that man is incomparably the most perfoot and highly endowed creature which ever has existed on the earth. How far previous periods of animal existence were a necessary preparation

of the earth, as the habitation of man, or a gradual progression towards the existence of man, we need not now inquire. But this at least we may my; that man, now that he is here, forms a climax to all that has preceded; a term incomparably exceeding in value all the previous parts of the series; a complex and ornate capital to the subjacent column; a personage of vastly greater dignity and importance than all the preceding line of the procession. The analogy of nature, in this case at least, appears to be, that there should be inferior, as well as superior provinces, in the universe; and that the inferior may occupy an immonsely larger portion of time than the superior; why not then of space? The intelligent part of orgation is thrust into the compass of a few years. in the course of myriads of ares; why not then into the compass of a few miles, in the expanse of systems? The earth was brute and inert, compared with its present condition, dark and chaotic, so far as the light of reason and intelligence are concorned, for countless conturies before man was orented. Why then may not other parts of creation be still in this brute and inert and chaotic state, while the earth is under the influence of a higher exercise of creative power? If the earth was, for ages, a turbid abyss of lava and of mud, why may not Mars or Saturn be so still? If the germs of life were, gradually, and at long intervals, inserted in the terrestrial slime, why may they not be just inserted, or not yet inserted, in Jupitor? Or why should we assume that the condition of those planets resembles ours, even so far as such suppositions imply? Why may they not, some or all of them, be barron masses of stone and metal. slag and scorio, dust and olnders? That some of

them are composed of such materials, we have better reason to believe, than we have to believe anything clse respecting their physical constitution, as we shall hereafter endeavour to show. then, the earth be the sole inhabited spot in the work of creation, the casis in the desert of our system, there is nothing in this contrary to the analogy of creation. But if, in some way which perhaps we cannot discover, the earth obtained, for accompaniments, mere chaotic and barren masses, as conditions of coming into its present state; as it may have required, for accompaniments, the brute and imperfect races of former animals, as conditions of coming into its present state, as the habitation of man; the analogy is against, and not in favour of, the belief that they too (the other masses, the planets, &c.) are habitations. I shall hereafter dwell more fully on such speculations: but the possibility that the planets are such rude masses, is quite as templie, on astronomical grounds, as the possibility that the planets resemble the earth, in matters of which astronomy can tell us nothing. We say, therefore, that the example of geology refutes the argument drawn from the supposed analogy of one part of the universe with another; and suggests a strong suspicion that the force of analogy, better known, may tond in the opposite direction.

36 When such possibilities are presented to the reader, he may naturally ask, if we are thus to regard man as the climax of creation, in space, as in time, can we point out any characters belonging to him, which may tend to make it conceivable that the Creator should thus distinguish him, and care for him:—should prepare his habitation, if it be so, by ages of chaotic and radi-

mentary life, and by accompanying orbs of brate and barren matter. If Man be, thus, the head, the crowned head of the creation, is he worthy to be thus elevated? Has he any qualities which make it conceivable that, with such an array of preparation and accompaniment, he should be placed upon the earth, his throne? Or rather, if he be thus the chosen subject of God's care, has he any qualities, which make it conceivable that he should be thus selected; taken under such guardianship; admitted to such a dispensation; graced with such favour? The question with which we began again recurs: What is man, that God should be thus mindful of him? After the views which have been presented to us, does any answer now occur to us?

37 The answer which we have to give, is that which we have already repeatedly stated. Man is an intellectual, moral, religious, and spiritual creature. If we consider those attributes, we shall see that they are such as give him a special relation to God, such as we conceive, and must conceive, God to be; and may therefore be, in God, the occasion of special guardianship, special regard, a special dispensation towards man.

38 As an intellectual creature, he has not only an intelligence which he can apply to practical uses, to minister to the needs of animal and social life; but also an intellect by which he can speculate about the relations of things, in their most general form; for instance, the proporties of space and time, the relations of finite and infinite. He can discover truths, to which all things, existing in space and time, must conform. These are conditions of existence to which the creation conforms, that is, to which the Creator conforms; and man.

capable of seeing that such conditions are true and nuccessary, is capable, so far, of understanding some of the conditions of the Creator's workmanship. In this way, the mind of man has some community with the mind of God: and however remote and imperfect this community may be, it must be real. Since, then, man has thus, in his intellect, an element of community with God, it is so far conceivable that he should be, in a special manner, the object of God's care and favour. The human mind, with its wonderful and perhaps illimitable powers, is something of which we can believe God to be 'mindful.'

Again: man is a moral creature. He recognizes, he cannot help recognizing, a distinction of right and wrong in his actions; and in his internal movements which lead to action. distinction he recognises as the reason, the highest and ultimate reason, for doing or for not doing, And this law of his own ronson, he is, by reflexion, led to recognize as a Law of the Supreme Reason; of the Supreme Mind which has made him what he The Moral Law, he owns and feels as God's By the obligation which he feels to obey this Law, he feels himself God's subject : placed under his government; compolled to expect his indement, his rewards, and punishments. By being a moral oreature, then, he is, in a special manner. the subject of God; and not only we can believe that, in this capacity, God cares for him; but we sunnot believe that he does not care for him. He cares for him, so as to approve of what he does right, and to condemn what he does wrong. ho has given him, in his own breast, an assurance that he will do this; and thus, God cares for man, in a popullar and special manner. As a moral creature, we have no difficulty in conceiving that God may think him worthy of his regard and government.

- The development of man's moral nature, as we have just described it, leads to, and involves the development of his religious nature. By looking within himself, and seeing the Moral Law, he loarns to look upwards to God, the Author of the Law, and the Awarder of the rewards and penalties which follow moral good and gvil. But the bolicf of such a dispensation carries us, or makes us long to be carried, beyond the manifestations of this dispensation, as they appear in the ordinary course of human life. By thinking on such things, man is lad to ascribe a wider range to the Moral Government of God:-to believe in methods of reward and punishment, which do not appear in ilio natural course of events; to accept events, out of the order of nature, which announce that God has provided such methods; to accept them, whon duly authenticated, as messages from God; and thus, when God provides the means, to allow himself to be placed in intercourse with God. Since man is capable of this; since, as a religious orgature, this is his tendency, his need, the craving of his heart, without which, when his religious nature is fully unfolded, he can feel no comfort nor satisfaction; we cannot be surprised that God should deem him a proper object of a special fatherly care; a fit subject for a special dispensation of his purposes, as to the consequences of human notions. Man boing this, we can believe that God is not only 'mindful of him,' but 'visits him.
- 41 As we have said, the soul of man, regarded as the subject of God's religious government, is

especially termed his Spirit: the course of human being which results from the intercourse with God, which God permits, is a spiritual existence. Mun is capable, in no small degree, of such an existence, of such an intercourse with God; and, as we are authorised to term it, of such a life with God, and in God, even while he continues in his present human existence. I say authorized, because such expressions are used, though reverently, by the most religious men; who are, at any rate, authority as to their own sentiments; which are the basis of our reasoning. Whatever then, may be the imperfection, in this life, of such a union with God, yet since man can, when sufficiently assisted and favoured by God, enter upon such a union, we cannot but think it most credible and most natural. that he should be the object of God's special care and regard, even of his love and presence.

That men are, only in a comparatively small number of cases, intellectual, moral, religlous, and spiritual, in the degree which I have described, does not, by any monns, deprive our argument of its force. The capacity of man is, that he may become this; and such a capacity may well make him a special object in the eyes of Him under whose guidance, and by whose aid, such a development and elevation of his nature is open to him. However imperfect and degraded, however unintellectual, immoral, irreligious, and unspiritual, a great part of mankind may be, still they all have the germs of such an elevation of their nature: and a large portion of them make. we cannot doubt, no small progress in this career of advancement to a spiritual condition. with such capacities, and such practical exercise of those capacities, we can have no difficulty in

VI.7

have lived.

believing, if the evidence directs us to believe, that that part of the creation in which man has his present appointed place, is the special field of God's care and love; by whatever wastes of space, and multitudes of material bodies, it may be surrounded; by whatever races it may have been previously occupied, of brutes that perish, and

that, compared with man, can hardly be said to

## CHAPTER VIL

## The Nebula.

I HAVE attempted to show that, even if we suppose the other bodies of the universe to resemble the Earth, so far as to seem, by their materials, forms, and motions, no loss fitted that she is to be the abodes of life; yet that, knowing what we do of man, we can believe that the Karili is tonanted by a race who are the special objects of God's care. Even if the tendency of the analogies of creation were, to incline us to suppose that the other planets are as well suited as our globe, to have inhabitants, still it would require a great amount of evidence, to make us believe that they have such inhabitants as we are; while yet such oxidence is altogether wanting. Even if we knew that the stars were the centers of revolving systems, we should have an immense difficulty in believing that an Earth, with such a population as ours, rovolves about any of thom. If astronomy make a plurality of worlds probable, we have strong runsonings, drawn from other subjects, to think that the other worlds are not like ours.

2 The admirers of astronomical triumples may perhaps be disposed to say, that when so much has been discovered, we may be allowed to complete the scheme by the exercise of fancy. I have attempted to show that we are not in such a state of ignorance, when we look at other relations of the earth and of man, as to allow us to do this.

But now we may go a little enwards in our argument; and may ask, whether Astronomy really does what is here claimed for her:—whether she carries us so securely to the bounds of the visible universe, that our Fancy may take up the task, and people the space thus explored:—whether the bodies which Astronomy has examined, be really as fitted as our Earth, to sustain a population of living things:—whether the most distant objects in the universe do really seem to be systems, or the beginnings of systems:—whether Astronomy herself may not incline in favour of the condition of man, as being the sole creature of his kind?

3 In making this inquiry, it will of course be understood, that I do so with the highest admiration for the vast discoveries which Astronomy has really made: and for the marvellous skill and invention of the great men who have, in all agos of the world, and not least, in our time, been the authors of such discoveries. From the time when Galileo first discovered the system of Jupiter's satollites, to the last scrutiny of the structure of a nebula by Lord Rosso's gigantic telescope, the history of the telescopic exploration of the sky, has been a history of genius felicitously employed in revealing wonders. In this history, the noble labours of the first and the second Herschel relative to the distribution of the fixed stars, the forms and classes of nobula, and the phenomena of double stars, especially bear upon our present speculations; to which we may add, the examination of the aspect of each planet, by various observers, as Schroeter, and of the moon by others, from Hayghons to Madler and Beer. The achievements which are most likely to occur to the reader's mind are those of the Earl of Rosse: as

being the latest addition to our knowledge, and the result of the greatest instrumental powers. By the energy and ingenuity of that eminent person, an ove is directed to the heavens, having a pupil of six feet diameter, with the most complete ontical structure, and the newer of ranging about for its objects over a great extent of sky; and thus the quantity of light which the eye receives from any point of the heavens is augmented, it may be. lifty thousand times. The rising Moon is seen from the Observatory in Iroland with the same increase of size and light, as if her solid globe. two thousand miles in diameter, retaining all its illumination, really rested upon the summits of the Alps, to be gazed at by the naked eye. An object which appears to the naked eye a single star, may, by this telescope, so far as its power of seeing is concorned, be resolved into fifty thousand stars, onch of the same brightness as the obvious star. What seems to the unassisted vision a nebula, a patch of diluted light, in which no distinct luminons point can be detected, may, by such an instrument, be discriminated or resolved into a number of bright dots; as the stippled sludes of an engraying are resolved into dots by the application of a powerful magnifying glass. Similar results of the application of great telescopic power had of course been attained long previously; but, as the nature of soiontific research is, each stop adds something to our moans of knowledge; and the last addition assumes, includes, and augments the knowledge which we possessed before. The discussions in which we are engaged, belong to the very boundary region of science; to the frontier where knowledge, at least astronomical knowledge, ends, and ignorance begins. Such discoveries, therefore, as those made by Lord Rosse's telescope, require our especial notice here.

- 4 We may begin, at what appear to us the outskirts of oreation, the Nobules. At one time it was conceived by astronomers in general, that these patches of diffused light, which are seen by them in such profusion in the sky, are not luminous bodies of regular forms and definite boundaries. apparently solid, as the stars are supposed to be: but really, as even to good telescopes many of them soon, masses of luminous cloud or vanour, loosely held together, as clouds and vanours are, and not capable by any powers of vision of being resolved into distinct visible elements. This opinion was for a time so confidently entertained, that there was founded upon it an hypothesis, that these were gascons masses, out of which suns and systems might afterwards be formed, by the concentration of these luminous vanours into a solid central sun. more intensely luminous; while detached portions of the mass, flying off, and earling down so as to be no longer self-luminous, might revolve round the central body, as planets and satellites. is the Nebular Hypothesis, suggested by the elder Herschel, and adopted by the great mathematician Laulaco.
- 5 But the result of the optical scrutiny of the nebule by more modern observers, especially by Lord Resse in Iroland, and Mr Bond in America, has been, that many colestial objects which were regarded before as truly nebulous, have been resolved into stars; and this resolution has been extended to so many cases of nebule, of such various kinds, as to have produced a strong suspicion in the minds of astronomers that all the nebule, however different in their appearance, may really be

resolved into stars, if they be attacked with optical powers sufficiently great.

6 If this were to be assumed as done, and if each of the separate points, into which the nobulæ are thus resolved, were conceived to be a star, which looks so small only because it is so distant, and which really is as likely to have a system of planets revolving about it, as is a star of the first magnitude:-we should then have a view of the immensity of the visible universe, such as I presented to the reader in the beginning of this casey. All the distant nebule appear as nebule. only because they are so distant; if truly seen, they are groups of stars, of which each may be as important as our sun, being, like it, the center of a planetary system. And thus, a patch of the honvens, one hundredth or one thousandth part of the visible breadth of our sun, may contain in it more life, not only than exists in the solar system, but in as many such systems as the unassisted eve can see stars in the hoavens, on the clearest wintor-night.

7 This is a stupendous view of the greatness of the creation; and, to many persons, its very majesty, derived from magnitude and number, will make it so striking and acceptable, that, once apprehended, they will feel as if there were a kind of irreverence in disturbing it. But if this view be really not tenable when more closely examined, it is, after all, not wise to connect our feelings of religious reverence with it, so that they shall suffer a shock when we are obliged to reject it. I may add, that we may entertain an undoubting trust that any view of the creation which is found to be true, will also be found to supply material for reverential contemplation. I venture to hope that

we may, by further examination, be led to a reverence of a deeper and more solemn character than a more wonder at the immensity of space and number.

- 8 But whatever the result may be, let us consider the evidence for this view. It assumes that all the Nebulæ are resolvable into stars, and that they appear as nebulæ only because they are more distant than the region in which they can appear as stars. Are there any facts, any phenomena in the heavens, which may help us to determine whether this is a probable opinion?
- O It is most satisfactory for us, when we can, in such enquiries, know the thoughts which have suggested themselves to the minds of those who have examined the phenomena with the most complete knowledge, the greatest care, and the best advantages; and have speculated upon those phenomena in a way both profound and unprejudiced. Home remarks of Sir John Herschel, recommended by these precious characters, seem to me to bear strongly upon the question which I have just had to ask:—Do all the Nobulæ owe their nebulous appearance to their being too distant to be seen as groups of distinct stars, though they really are such groups?
- 10 Herschol, in the visit which he made to the Cape of Good Hope, for the purpose of creeting to his father the most splendid monument that son over creeted,—the completed survey of the vault of heaven,—had full opportunity of studying a certain pair of remarkable hright spaces of the skies, filled with a cloudy light, which lie near the Southern pole; and which, having been unavoidably noticed by the first Antoretic voyagers, are called the Magallante Clouds. When the larger

of these two clouds is examined through powerful telescopes, it presents, we are told, a constitution of astonishing complexity: 'large patches and tracts of nebulosity in every stage of resolution, from light, irresolvable with 18 inches of reflecting aperture, up to perfectly separated stars like the Milky Way, and clustering groups sufficiently insulated and condensed to come under the designation of irregular, and in some cases pretty rich clusters. But bosides these, there are also nobulm in abundance, both regular and irregular; globular clusters in every stage of condensation, and objects of a nebulous character quite peculiar, and which have no analogue in any other region of the honvens+. He goes on to say, that these nobules and clusters are far more crowded in this space than they are in any other, even the most crowded parts, of the nobulous heavens. This Nubecula Major, as it is termed, is of a round or oval form, and its diameter is about six degrees, so that it is about twelve times the apparent diameter of the moon. The Nubscula Minor is a smaller patch of the same kind. If we suppose the space occupied by the various objects which the nubecula major includes, to be, in a general way, spherical, its nearest and most remote parts must (as its angular size proves) differ in their distance from us by little more than a tenth part of our distance from its center. That the two nubcoulso are thus approximately spherical spaces, is in the highest degree probable; not only from the poculiarity of their contents, which suggests the notion of a peculiar group of objects, collected into a limited space; but from the barronness, as to such objects, of the sky in the neighbourhood of those Magellanie Clouds. To suppose (the only

<sup>\*</sup> Herschel, Outl. of Astr. Art. 803.

other possible supposition,) that they are two columns of space, with their ends turned towards us, and their lengths hundreds and thousands of times their breadths, would be too fantastical a proceeding to be tolerated; and would, after all, not explain the facts without further altogether arbitrary assumptions.

It appears, then, that, in these groups, there are stars of various mugnitudes, clusters of various forms, nebulæ regular and irregular, nebulons tracts and patches of popular character; and all so disposed, that the most distant of them, whichever these may be are not more than onetenth more distant than the nearest. If the nearest star in this space be at nine times the distance of Sirius, the farthest nebulm, contained in the same space, will not be at more than ten times the distance of Sirius. Of course, the dectrine that nebulse are seen as nebules, merely because they are so distant, requires us to assume all nebule to be hundreds and thousands of times more distant than the smallest stars. If stars of the eighth magnitude (which are hardly visible to the naked eye) be eight times as remote as Sirius, a nebula containing a thousand stars, which is invisible to the naked eye, must be more than eight thousand times as romote as Sirius. And thus if in the whole galaxy, we recken only the stars as far as the eighth magnitude, and suppose all the stars of the galaxy to form a nebula, which is visible to the spectators in a distant nebula, only as their nebula is visible to us; we must place them at eight thousand times two hundred thousand times the distance of the Sun; and, even so, we are obviously vastly understating the calculation. are the gigantic estimates with which some astronomical speculators have been in the habit of overwhelming the minds of their listeners; and these views have given a kind of majesty to the aspect of the nebulæ; and have led some persons to speak of the discovery of every new streak of nobulous light in the starry heavens, as a discovery of new worlds, and still new worlds. But the Magollanic Clouds show us very clearly that all these calculations are entirely baseless. regions of space, there coexist, in a limited coupass, and in indiscriminate position, stars, clusters of stars, nebulæ, regular and irregular, and nebulous streaks and patches. These, then, are diffurent kinds of things in thomselves, not merely different to us. There are such things as nobules side by side with stars, and with clusters of stars. Nobulous matter resolvable occurs close to nebulous matter irresolvable. The last and widest stop by which the dimensions of the universe laye been expanded in the notions of eager speculators. is checked by a completer knowledge and a sager spirit of speculation. Whatever inference we may draw from the resolvability of some of the nebulm, we may not draw this inference;-that they are more distant, and contain a larger array of systems and of worlds, in proportion as they are difficult in resolve.

12 But indeed, if we consider this process, of the resolution of nebulæ into luminous points, on its own ground, without looking to such facts as I have just adduced, it will be difficult, or impossible, to assign any reason why it should lead to such inferences as have been drawn from it. Let us look at this matter more clearly. An astronomer, armed with a powerful telescope, resolves a nebula, discerns that a luminous cloud is composed of

shining dots:-but what are these dots? Into what does he resolve the nebula? Into Stars, it is commonly said. Let us not wrangle about words. By all means lot these dots be Stars, if we know about what we are speaking: if a Star merely mean a luminous dot in the sky. But that these stars shall resemble, in their nature, stars of the first magnitude, and that such stars shall resemble our Sun, are surely very bold structures of assumption to build on such a basis. Some nobule are resolvable: are resolvable into distinct points: certainly a very ourlous, probably an important discovery. We may hereafter learn that all nobules are resolvable into distinct points; that would be a still more curious discovery. But what would it amount to? What would be the simple way of expressing it, without hypothesis, and without assumption? Plainly this; that the substance of all nebulge is not continuous, but discrete; -- separable, and separated into distinct luminous elements; nebule are, it would then seem, as it were, of a curdled or granulated texture: they have run into lumps of light, or have been formed originally of such lumps. Highly carious. But what are those lumps? How large are they? At what distances? Of what structure? Of what use? It would soom that he must be a bold man who undertakes to answer these questions. Certainly he must appear to ordinary thinkers to be very bold, who, in reply, says, gravely and confidently, as if he had unquestionable authority for his teaching :-- Those lumps, O man, are Suns; they are distant from each other as far as the Dog-star is from us: each has its system of Planets, which revolve around it; and each of these Planets is the seat of an animal and vegetable creation. Among these Planets, some, we do not yet know how many, are occupied by rational and responsible creatures, like Man; and the only matter which perplexes us, holding this belief on astronomical grounds, is, that we do not quite see how to put our theology into its due place and form in our system.'

13 In discussing such matters as these, where our knowledge and our ignorance are so curiously blended together, and where it is so difficult to make men feel that so much ignerance can lie so close to so much knowledge;-to make them believe that they have been allowed to discover so much and yet are not allowed to discover more:we may be permitted to illustrate our meaning, by supposing a case of blended knowledge and ignorance, of real and imaginary discovery. Suppose that there were carried from a scientific to a more ignorant nation, excellent maps of the world, finely oneraved: the mountain-ranges shaded in the most dollogio mannor, and the sheet crowded with information of all kinds, in writing large, small, and microscopic. Suppose also, that when these mans had been studied with the naked eye, so as to ostablish a profound respect for the knowledge and skill of the author of them, some of those who norused them should be furnished with good microscopes, so as to carry their examination further than before. They might then find that, in several parts, what before appeared to be merely erooked lines, was really writing, stating, it may be, the amount of population of a province, or the date of foundation of a town. To exhaust all the Information thus contained in the mans, might be a work of considerable time and labour. But suppose that, when this was done, a body of rosolute microscopists should insist that the information which the man contained was not exhausted: that they should continue to peer perseveringly at the lines which formed the shading of the mountains, maintaining that these lines also were writing, if only it might be deciphered; and should go on increasing, with immense labour and ingenuity, the nowers of their microscopes, in order to discover the legend contained in these unmeaning lines. Wo should, perhaps, have here an image of the employment of those astronomers, who now go on looking in nebulæ for worlds. And we may notice in passing, that several of the arguments which are used by such astronomors, might be used, and would be used, by our microscopists:-how improbable it was that a person so full of knowledge. and so able to convey it, as the author of the maps was known to be, should not have a design and purpose in every line that he drew: what a waste of space it would be to leave any part of the sheet blank of information; and the like. the reply is to us obvious; that the design of shading the mountains was design enough; and that the information convoyed was all that was necessary or convenient. Nor does this illustration at all tend to show that such astronomical sorutiny, directed intolligently, with a right selection of the points examined, may not be highly interesting and important. If the microscopists had examined the map with a view to determine the best way in which mountains can be indicated by shading, they would have employed themselves upon a question which has been the subject of multiplied and instructive discussion in our own day.

14 But to return to the subject of Nebules, we may further say, with the most complete con-

Adence, that whether or not nebulous matter be generally resulvable into shining dots, it cannot possibly be true that its being, or not being so resolvable by our telescopes, depends merely apon its smaller or greater distance from the observer. For, in the first place, that there is matter, to the boat assisted eye not distinguishable from nebulous matter, which is not so resolvable, is proved by several facts. The talls of Comets often resemble nobule: so much so that there are several known nebule, which are, by the less experienced explorers of the sky, perpetually mistaken for comots, till they are proved not to be so, by their having no cometary motion. Such is the nebula in Andromada, which is visible to the naked eyes. But the talls and nebulous appendages of comots. though they alter their appearance very greatly, according to the power of the telescope with which they are examined, have never been resolved into stars, or any kind of dots; and seem, by all investigations, to be sheets or cylinders or conce of luminous vapour, changing their form as they approach to or recede from the sun, and perhaps by the fulluence of other emises. Yet some of them approach very near the earth; all of them come within the limits of our system. Here, then, wo have (probably, at least,) nebulous matter, which when brought close to the eye, compared with the stellar nabulo, still appears as nebulous.

15 Again, as another phenomenon, bearing upon the same question, we have the Zodlacal Light. This is a faint cone of light t which, at certain seasons, may be seen extending from the horizon obliquely upwards, and following the course

<sup>&</sup>quot; Herschel, Call. of Act. Art. 874, and Plate 11, Fig. 3. † 115d. Art. 807.

of the coliptic, or rather, of the sun's equator. It appears to be a lens-shaped envelope of the sun, extending beyond the orbits of Mercury and Venus, and nearly attaining that of the earth; and in Sir John Horschel's view, may be regarded as placing the sun in the list of nebulous stars. No one has ever thought that' this nebulous appearance was resolvable into luminous points; but if it were, probably not even the most sanguine of speculators on the multitude of suns would call these

points suns.

16 But indeed the nobulæ themselves, and especially the most remote of the nebules, or at loss those which most especially require the most powerful telescopes, offer far more decisive proofs that their resolvability or non-resolvability,-their apparent constitution as diffused and vaporous masses,-does not depend upon their distance. A remarkable fact in the irregular, and in some of the regular nebulms is, that they consist of long patches and streaks, which stretch out in various directions, and of which the form+ and extent vary according to the visual newer which is applied to them. Many of the nebulæ, and especially of the fainter ones, entirely change their form with the optical power of the instrument by which they are scrutinized; so that, as seen in the mightler telescopes of modern times, the astronomer scarcely recognizes the figures in which the carlier observers have recorded what they saw in the same place. Parts which, before, were separate, are connected by thin bridges of light which are now detected; and where the nebulous space appeared to be bounded, it sends off long tails of faint light into the surrounding space.

<sup>\*</sup> Horsels, 874.

Now no one can suppose that these nowly soon portions of the nobula are immensely further off than the other parts. However little we know of the nature of the object, we must suppose it to be one connected object, with all its parts, as to sonse, at the same distance from us. Whother therefore it be resolvable or no, there must be some other reason, besides the difference of distance, why the brighter parts were seen, while the fainter parts were not. The obvious reason is, that the latter were not seen because they were thin films which required more light to see them. We are led irresistibly as it seems, to regard the whole mass of such a nebula, as an aggregation of vaporous rolls and streaks, assuming such forms as thin volumes of smoke or vapour often assume in our atmosphere, and assuming, like them, different shapes according to the quantity of light which comes to us from them. If, as soon as one of these new filaments or webs of a nobula comes into view, we should say. Here we have a new array of suns and of worlds; we should judge as fantastically, as any one who should combine the like imaginations with the varying cloudwork of a summer-sky. To suppose that all the varied streaks by which the patch of nebulous light shades off into the surrounding darkness, and which change their form and extent with every additional polish which we can give to a reflecting or refracting surface, disclose, with every new streak, new worlds, is a wanton indulgence of fancy, to which astronomy gives no countenance\*.

<sup>\*</sup> At the recent meeting of the British Association (Sept. 1853), drawings were exhibited of the same nebule, as soon through Lord Ressols large telescope, and through a telescope of three feet aperture. With the smaller telescope power, all the

17 Undoubtedly all true astronomers, taught caution and temperance of thought by the disci-pline of their magnificent science, abstain from founding such assumptions upon their discoveries. They know how necessary it is to be upon their guard against the tricks which fancy plays with the senses; and if they see appearances of which they cannot interpret the meaning, they are content that they should have no meaning for them, till the due explanation comes. We have innumerable examples of this wise and cautious temper, in all periods of astronomy. One has occurred lately. Several careful astronomers, observing the stars by day, had been surprised to see globes of light glide seress the field of view of their telescopes, often in rapid succession and in great numbors. They did not, as may be supposed, rush to the assumption that those globes were celestial bodies of a new kind, before unseen; and that bodies of a new kind, before unseen; and that from the peculiarity of their appearance and movement, they were probably inhabited by beings of a peculiar kind. They proceeded very differently; they altered the focus of their telescopes, looked with other glasses, made various changes and trials, and finally discovered that these globes of light were the winged seeds of certain plants which were wafted through the air; and which, illuminated by the sun, were made globular by being at distances unsuited to the focus of the telescope. toloscopo \*.

18 But perhaps something more may be

characteristic features were lost. The spikal statioture (see next Article but one,) has been almost critically brought to light by the large telescope.

\* Hoe Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society,

Dec. 18, 1860.

founded on the ramified and straggling form which belongs to many of the nebulæ. Under the powers of Lord Rosse's telescope, a considerable number of them assume a shape consisting of several spiral films divorging from one center, and growing broader and fainter as they diverge, so as to resomble a curled feather, or whirlpool of light \*. This form, though generally deformed by irregularities, more or less, is traveable in so many of the nebules, that we cannot easily divest ourselves of the persuasion that there is some general reason for such a form :- that something, in the mochanical causes which have produced the nobules, has tended to give them this shape. Now when this thought has occurred to us, since mathematicians have written a great deal concerning the mechanics of the universe, it is natural to ask, whether any of the problems which they have solved give a result like that thus presented to our eyes. Do such spirals as we here see, occur in any of the diagrams which illustrate the possible motions of colestial bodies? And to this, a person acquainted with mathematical literature might reply, that in the second Book of Newton's Principla, in the part which has especial reference to the Vortices of Descurtes, such spirals appear upon the page. They represent the path which a body would doscribe if, acted upon by a central force, it had to move in a medium of which the resistance was considerable; considerable, that is, in comparison with the other forces which not; as for example, the forces which deflect the motion from a straight

<sup>\*</sup> The frontispices to this volume presents two of these Spiral Nebuke; those denominated \$1 Mender, and 99 Mersler, as given by Lord Resu in the Pall, Trans. for 1850. The former of these two has a lateral forms, bushles the principal forms or pole.

line. Indeed that in such a case a body would describe a spiral, of which the general form would be more or less eval, is evident on a little consideration. And in this way, for instance, Encko's comet, which, if the resistance to its motion were insonsible, would go on describing an ellipse about the sun, always returning upon the same path after every revolution; does really describe a path which, at each revolution, falls a little within the proceding revolution, and thus gradually converges to the center. And if we suppose the comet to consist of a luminous mass, or a string of masses, which should occupy a considerable are of such an orbit, the orbit would be marked by a track of light, as an oval spiral. Or if such a comet wore to separate into two portions, as we have, with our own eyes, recently seen Biela's comet do; or into a greater number; then these portions would be distributed along such a spiral. And if we suppose a large mass of comotic matter thus to move in a highly resisting medium, and to consist of natches of different densities, then some would move faster and some more slowly; but all, in spirals such as have been spoken of; and the genoral aspect produced would be, that of the spiral nebulas which I have endeavoured to describe. The luminous matter would be more diffused in the outer and more condensed in the central parts. because to the center of attraction all the spirals converge.

19 This would be so, we say, if the luminous matter moved in a greatly resisting medium. But what is the measure of great resistance? It is, as we have already said, that the resistance which opposes the motion shall bear a considerable proportion to the force which deflects the motion.

But what is that force? Upon the theory of the universal gravitation of matter, on which theory we here proceed, the force which deflects the motions of the parts of each system into ourves, is the mutual attraction of the parts of the system; loaving out of the account the action of other systems, as comparatively insignificant and insen-The condition, then, for the production of such spiral figures as I have spoken of, amounts really to this; that the mutual attraction of the parts of the luminous matter is slight; or, in other words, that the matter itself is very thin and rare, In that case, indeed, we can easily see that such a result would follow. A cloud of dust, or of smoke, which was thin and light, would make but a little way through the air, and would soon fall downwards: while a motal bullet shot horizontally with the same velocity, might fly for miles. Just so, a loose and vaporous mass of cometic matter would be pulled rapidly inwards by the attraction to the center; and supposing it also drawn into a long train, by the different density of its different parts, it would trace, in lines of light, a circular or elliptical spiral converging to the center of attraction, and resembling one of the branches of the spiral And if several such cometic masses thus travelled towards the center, they would exhibit the wheel-like figure with bent spokes, which is soon in the spiral nebulm. And such a figure would all the more resemble some of those nobule, as soon through Lord Rosso's telescope, if the spirals were accompanied by exterior branches of thinner and fainter light, which nebulous matter of smaller density might naturally form. Perhaps too, such matter, when thin, may be supposed to cool down more rapidly from its state of incapdescence; and thus to become less luminous. If this were so, a greater optical power would of octure be required, to make the diverging branches visible at all.

20 There is one additional remark, which we many make, as to the resemblance of cometary+ and nebular matter. That cometary matter is of very small density, we have many reasons to be-Here:-its transparency, which allows us to see stars through it undimmed; the absence of any machanical effect, weight, inertia, impulse, or attranction, in the nearest appulses of comets to plannets and satellites :- and the fact that, in the recent remarkable event in cometic history, the HGT suration of Biele's comet into two, the two parts diel not appear to exert any perceptible attraction one each other, any more than two volumes of dust or of smoke would do on earth. Luminous cometary matter, then, is very light, that is, has very little weight or inertia. And luminous nebulous nuttier is also very light in this sense, if our accontent of the cause of spiral nebulæ has in it any trath. But yet, if we suppose the nebula to be governed by the law of universal gravitation, the attractive force of the luminous matter upon itself might be sufficient to bend the spirals into their ferring. How are we to reconcile this; that the mention is so loose that it fulls to the center in

15

rapid spirals, and yet that it attracts so strongly that there is a center, and an energetic central force to curve the spirals thither? To this, the roply which we must make is, that the size of the nebular space is such, that though its rarity is extreme, its whole mass is considerable. part does not perceptibly attract another, but the whole does perceptibly attract every part, indeed need the less surprise us, since it is exnotly the case with our earth. One stone does not visibly attract another. It is much indeed for man, if he can make perceptible the attraction of a mountain upon a plumb-ling; or of a stratum of rook a thousand foot thick upon the going of a pendulum: or of large masses of motal upon a delicate balance. By such experiments, men of scionce have endeavoured to measure that minute thing, the attraction of one portion of torrestrial matter upon another; and, thus, to weigh the whole mass of the earth. And equally great, at loast, may be the disproportion between the mutual attraction of two parts of a nebulous system. and the total contral attraction; and thus, though the former be insensible, the latter may be important

21 It has been shown by Newton, that if any mass of matter he distributed in a uniform sphere, or in uniform concentric spherical shells, the total attraction on a point without the sphere, will be the same as if the whole mass were collected in that single point, the center. Now, proceeding upon the supposition of such a distribution of the matter in a nebula (which is a reasonable average supposition,) we may say, that if our sun were expanded into a nebula reaching to the extreme bounds of the known solar system, namely, to the

newly-discovered planet Neptune, or even hundreds of times further; the attraction on an external point would romain the same as it is, while the attraction on points within the sphere of diffusion would be less than it is; according to some law. depending upon the degree of condensation of the nabular matter towards the center; but still in the outer regions of the nebula, not differing much from the present solar attraction. If we could discover a mass of luminous matter, descending in a spiral course towards the center of such a nebula, that is, towards the sun, we should have a sort of element of the spiral nebulm which have now attracted so much of the attention of astronomors. But, by an extraordinary coincidence, recent discoveries have presented to us such an element. Encke's comet. of which we have just spoken, appears to be describing such a spiral curve towards the sun. found that its period is, at every revolution, shorter and shorter; the amplitude of its sweep, at every return within the limits of our observation, norrower and narrower: so that in the course of revolutions and ages, however numerous, still not such as to shake the evidence of the fact, it will fall into the mn.

22 Here then we are irresistibly driven to calculate what degree of resemblance there is, between the comet of lineke, and the luminous elements of the spiral nebulæ, which have recently been found to exist in other regions of the universe. Can we compare its density with theirs? Can we learn whether the luminous matter in such nebulæ is more diffused or less diffused, than that of the comet of Eneke? Can we compare the mechanical power of getting through space, as we may call it, that is, the ratio of the inertia to the resistance, in

the one case, and in the other? If we can, the comparison cannot fail, it would seem, to be very curious and instructive. In this comparison, as in most others to which cosmical relations conduct us, we must expect that the numbers to which we are led, will be of very considerable amount. It is not equality in the density of the two luminous masses which we are to expect to find; if we can mark their proportions by thousands of times, we shall have made no small progress in such speculations.

23 The comet of Encke describes a spiral, gradually converging to the sun; but at what rate converging? In how many revolutions will it reach the sun? Of how many folds will its spire consist. before it attains the end of its course? The answer is:—Of very many. The retardation of Eneke's Comet is very small; so small, that it has tasked the highest powers of modern calculation to detect Still however, it is there; detected, and generally acknowledged, and confirmed by every revolution of the comet, which brings it under our notice: that is, commonly, about every three years. And having this fact, we must make what we can of it, in reasoning on the condition of the universe. No accuracy of calculation is necessary for our purpose: it is enough, if we bring into view the kind of scale of numbers to which calculation would land na.

24 Encke's Comet revolves round the sun in 1211 days. The period diminishes at present, by about  $\frac{1}{V}$  of a day every revolution. This amount of diminution will change, as the orbit narrows; but for our purpose, it will be enough to consider it unchangeable. The orbit therefore will cease to exist in a number of periods expressed by 0 times

1211; that is, in something more than 10,000 revolutions; and of course sooner than this, in consequence of its coming in contact with the body of the sun. In 30,000 years then, it may be, this comet will complete its spiral, and be absorbed by the central mass. This long time, this long series of ten thousand revolutions, are long, because the resistance is so small, compared with the inertia of the moving mass. However thin, and rare, and unsubstantial the comet may be, the medium which resists it is much more so.

25 But this spiral, converging to its pole so slowly that it reaches it only after 10,000 circuits, is very different indeed from the spirals which we see in the nebulæ of which we have spoken. In the most conspicuous of those, there are only at most three or four circular or oval sweens, in each spiral; or even the spiral reaches the center before it has completed a single revolution round it. Now what are we to infer from this? How is it that the comet has a spiral of so many revolutious, and the nebula of so fow? What difference of the mochanical conditions is indicated by this striking difference of form? Why, while the Comet thus lingers long in the outer space, and approaches the sun by almost imperceptible degrees, does the Nebular Element rush, as it were, headlong to its contor, and show itself unable to circulate even for a few revolutions?

20 Regarding the question as a mechanical problem, the answer must be this:—It is so, because the nebula is so much more rare than the matter of the comet, or the resisting medium so much more dense; or, combining the two suppositions, because in the case of the comet, the luminous matter has much more inertia, more mechanical

reality and substance, than the medium through which it moves; but in the upbula, very little more.

27 The numbers of revolutions of the spirit, in the two cases, may not exactly represent the difference of the proportions; but, as I have said, they may serve to show the scale of them; and thus we may say, that if Encko's comet, approaching the center by 10,000 revolutions, is 100,000 times as dense as the surrounding medium, the elements of the nebula, which reach the center in a single revolution, are only ten times as dense as the medium through which they have to move.

28 Nor does this result (that the bright element of the nobulæ is so few times denser than the medium in which it moves) offer anything which need surprise us: for, in truth, in a diffused nebula, since we suppose that its parts have mechanical properties, the nobula itself is a resisting medium. The rarer parts, which may very naturally have cooled down in consequence of their rarity, and so, become non-luminous, will resist the motions of the more dense and still-luminous portions. rocur to the supposition, which we lately made. that the Sun were expanded into a nebulous sphere, reaching the orbit of Noptune, the diffused matter would offer a far greater resistance to the motions of comets than they now experience. In that case. Encke's comet might be brought to the center after a few revolutions; and if, while it were thus descending, it were to be drawn out: into a string of luminous masses, as Biola's comet has begun to be, these comets, and any others.

<sup>\*</sup> We cannot here that the number of revolutions to the conter is greater in proportion as the relative density of the resisting medium is less; which is by no means mechanically true; but, the calculation may serve, as we have said, to show the scale of the numbers involved.

would form separate luminous spiral tracks in the solar system; and would convert it into a spiral nebula of many branches, like those which are now the most recent objects of astronomical wonder.

20 It seems allowable to regard it as one of those coincidences, in the epochs of related ypt seemingly unconnected discoveries, which have so often occurred in the history of science; that we should, nearly at the same time, have had brought to our notice, the prevalence of spiral nebula, and the circumstances, in Bicla's and in Encke's comets, which seem to explain them: the one by showing the origin of luminous broken lines, one part drifting on faster than another, according to its different density, as is usual in incoherent masses; and the other by shewing the origin of the spiral form of these lines, arising from the motion being in a resisting medium.

30 But though I have made suppositions by which our Solar System might become a spiral nebula, undoubtedly it is at present something very different; and the leading points of difference are very important for us to consider. And the main point is, that which has already been cursorily noticed: that instead of consisting of matter all nearly of the same density, and a great deal of it luminous, our Solar System consists of kinds of matter immensely different in density, and of large and regular portions which are not luminous. Instead of a diffused nebula with vaporous comets

<sup>\*</sup> Humboldt, whom nothing rolative to the history of science evenues, quotes from Sonoce a passage in which mention is made of a Counce which divided into two parts: and from the Chinese Annals, a notice of three 'complet Councis,' which, in the year 896, appeared, and described their paths together. Counce, 111. p. 570, and the notes.

trailing spiral tracks through a medium little rarer than themselves; we have a central sun, and the dark globes of the solid planets rolling round him, in a medium so rare, that in thousands of revolutions not a vestige of retardation can be discovered by the most subtle and persevering researches of astronomers. In the solar system, the luminous matter is collected into the body of the sun; the non-luminous matter, into the planets. And the comets and the resisting medium, which offer a small exception to this account, bear a proportion to the rest which the power of numbers scarce sufflees to express.

31 Thus with regard to the density of matter in the solar system; we have supposed, as a mode of expression, that the density of a comet, kneke's Comet for instance, is 100,000 times that of the resisting medium. Probably this is greatly understated; and probably also we greatly understate the matter, when we suppose that the tail of a comet is 100,000 times rarer than the matter of the sun\*. And thus the resisting medium would be, at a very low calculation, 10,000 millions of times more rare than the substance of the sun.

32 And therefore we are not, I think, going too far, when we say, that our Solar System, compared with spiral nebulous systems, is a system completed and finished, while they are more confused, indiscriminate, incoherent masses. In the Nebulo, we have loose matter of a thin and vaporous constitution, differing as more or less rare, more or

<sup>\*</sup> Laplace has proved that the masses of contest are very small. If a reclease their mean mass as very much less than 1-100000th of the fearth's mass. And hence, considering their great size, we see how rare they must be. Hen Repus. du Nyar, du Mande.

less luminous, in a small degree; diffused over enormous spaces, in straggling and irregular forms; moving in devicus and brief curves, with no vestige of order or system, or even of separation of different kinds of bodies. In the Solar System, we have the luminous separated from the non-luminons, the hot from the cold, the deuse from the rare: and all, luminous and non-luminous, formed into globes, impressed with regular and orderly motions, which continue the same for innumerable revolutions and evoles\*. The spiral nebula, compared with the solar system, cannot be considered as other than a kind of chaos; and not even a chaos, in the sense of a state preceding an orderly and stable system; for there is no indication, in those objects, of any tendency towards such a system. If we were to say that they appear mere shapeless masses, flung off in the work of oreating solar systems, we might perhaps disturb those who are resolved to find everywhere worlds like ours; but it seems difficult to suggest any other reason for not saying so.

33 The same may be said of the other very irregular nebules, which spread out patches and paths of various degrees of brightness; and shoot out, into surrounding space, faint branches, which are of different form and extent, according to the optical power with which they are seen. These irregular forms are incapable of being permanent according to the laws of mechanics. They are not figures of equilibrium; and therefore, must change by the attraction of the matter upon itself. But

<sup>&</sup>quot; Humbokit repeatedly expresses his conviction that our Solar System contains a greater variety of forms than other systems, (Conses, III. 373 and 587.)

if the tenuity of the matter is extreme, and the resistance of the medium in which it floats considerable, this tendency to change and to condensation may be almost nullified; and the bright specks may long keep their straggling forms, as the most fantastically shaped clouds of a summersky often do. It is true, it may be said that the reason why we see no change in the form of such nebulæ, is that our observations have not endured long enough; all visible changes in the stars requiring an immense time, according to the gigantic scale of celestial mechanism. But even this hypothesis (it is no more,) tends to establish the extreme tenuity of the nobule; for more solid systems, like our solar system, require, for the preservation of their form, motions which are percontible, and indeed conspicuous, in the course of a month; namely, the motions of the planets. All therefore concurs to prove the extreme templty of the substance of irregular nebula.

34 Nobulæ which assume a regular, for instance, a circular or oval shape, with whatever variation of luminous density from the inner to the outer parts, may have a form of equilibrium, if their parts have a proper gyratory motion. Still, we see no reason for supposing that these differ so much from irregular nebulæ, as to be denser bodies, kept in their forms by rapid motions. We are rather led to believe that, though perhaps denser than the spiral nebulæ, they are still of extremely thin and vaporous character. It would seem very unlikely that these vast clouds of luminous vapour should be as dense as the tail of a comet; since a portion of luminous matter so small as such a tail is, must have cooled down

from its most luminous condition; and must require to be more dense than nebular matter in order to be visible at all by its own light.

35 Thus we appear to have good reason to believe that nebulgo are vast masses of incoherent or gascous matter, of immense tenuity, diffused in forms more or less irregular, but all of them destitute of any regular system of solid moving bedies. We seem therefore to have made it certain that these colostial objects at least are not inhabited. No speculators have been bold enough to place inhabitants in a comet; except, indeed, some persons have imagined that such a habitation, carrying its inmates alternately into the close vicinity of the sun's surface, and far beyond the orbit of Uranus, and thus exposing them to the flurge extremes of heat and cold, might be the scat of penal inflictions on those who had deserved punishment by acts done in their life on one of the planets. But even to give coherence to this wild imagination, we must further suppose that the tenants of such prison-houses, though still sonsible to human suffering from extreme heat and cold. have bodies of the same vaporous and unsubstantial character as the vehicle in which they are thus carried about the system; for no frame of solid structure could be sustained by the incoherent and varying volume of a comet. And probably, to people the nebula with such thin and flery forms, is a mode of providing them with population, that the most ardent advocates of the plurality of worlds are not prepared to adopt.

36 So far then as the Nebulm are concerned, the improbability of their being inhabited, appears to mount to the highest point that can be conceived. We may, by the indulgence of fancy, people

'distant worlds.'

FOIL VIL

the summer-clouds, or the beams of the aurora borealis, with living beings, of the same kind of substance as those bright appearances themselves; and in doing so, we are not making any bolder assumption than we are, when we stock the Nobulæ with inhabitants, and call them in that sense,

## CHAPTER VIII. The Fixed Stars.

TATE appear in the last chapter, to have VV cleared away the supposed inhabitants of the outskirts of creation, so far as the Nebulæ are the outskirts of erection. We must now approach a little nearer, in appearance at least, to our own system. We must consider the Fixed Stars; and examine any evidence which we may be able to discover, as to the probability of their containing, in themselves or in accompanying bodies, as planets, inhabitants of any kind, Any special evidence which we can discorn on this subjust, either way, is indeed alight. On the one side we have the asserted analogy of the parts of the universe; of which point we have spoken, and may have more to say hereafter. Each Fixed Star is conceived to be of the nature of our Sun; and therefore, like him, the center of a planetary system. On the other side, it is extremely difficult to find any special facts relative to the nature of the fixed stars, which may enable us in any degree to judge how far they really are of a like nature with the Sun, and how far this resemblance goes. We may, however, notice a few features in the starry heavens, with which, in the absence of any stronger grounds, we may be allowed to connect our speculations on such questions. The assiduous scrutiny of the stars which has been pursued by the most eminent astronomers, and the reflexions which their researches have suggested to them, may have a new intercet, when discussed under this point of view.

2 Next after the Nebulm, the cases which may most naturally engage our attention, Clusters of Stars. The cases, indeed, in which these clusters are the closest, and the stars the smallest, and in which, therefore, it is only by the aid of a good telescope that they are resolved into stars, do not differ from the resolvable nebules. except in the degree of optical power which is required to resolve them. We may, therefore, it would seem, apply to such clusters, what we have said of resolvable nebulæ: that when they are thus, by the application of telescopic power, resolved into bright points, it seems to be a very bold assumption to assume, without further proof. that these bright points are suns, distant from each other as far as we are from the nearest stars. The boldness of such an assumption appears to be felt by our wisest astronomers. That several of the clusters which are visible, some of them appoaring as if the component stars were gathered together in a nearly spherical form, are systems bound together by some special force, or some common origin, we may regard, with those estronemors, as in the highest degree probable. With respect to the stability of the form of such a systom, a curious remark has been made by Sir John Herschelt, that if we suppose a globular space filled with equal stars, uniformly dispersed through it, the particular stars might go on for over, doscribing ellipses about the center of the globe, in all directions, and of all sizes; and all completing their revolutions in the same time. This follows. because, as Newton has shown, in such a case, the compound force which tends to the center of the sphere would be everywhere proportional to the

<sup>&</sup>quot; Herschel, 866.

distance from the center; and under the action of such a force, ellipses about the center would be described, all the periods being of the same This kind of symmetrical and simple amount. systematic motion, presented by Newton as a more exemplification of the results of his mechanical principles, is perhaps realized, approximately at least, in some of the globular clusters. The motions will be swift or slow, according to the total mass of the groups. If, for instance, our Sun were thus broken into fragments, so as to fill the sphere girdled by the earth's orbit, all the fragments would revolve round the center in a year. Now there is no symptom, in any cluster, of its parts moving nearly so fast as this; and therefore we have, it would seem, evidence that the grouns are much less donee than would be the space so filled with fragments of the sun. The alowness of the motions, in this case, as in the nobules, is evidence of the weakness of the forces, and therefore, of the rarity of the mass: and till we have some gyratory motion discovered in these groups, we have nothing to limit our supposition of the extreme tenuity of their total substance.

8 Let us then go on to the cases in which we have proof of such gyratory motions in the stars; for such are not wanting. Fifty years ago, Herschel the father had already ascertained that there are certain pairs of stars, very near each other (so near, indeed, that to the unassisted eye they are seen as single stars only,) and which revolve about each other. These Binary Sidereal Systems have since been examined with immense diligence and profound skill by Herschel the son, and others; and the number of such binary systems has been found, by such observers, to be very considerable.

The periods of their revolutions are of various lengths, from 30 or 40 years to several hundreds of years. Some of those pairs which have the shortest periods, have already, since the nature of their movements was discovered, performed more than a complete revolution \*: thus leaving no room for doubting that their motions are really of this gyratory kind. Not only the fact, but the law of this orbital motion, has been investigated; and the investigations, which naturally were commenced on the hypothesis that these distant bodies were groverned by that Law of universal Gravitation. which prevails throughout the solar system, and so completely explains the minutest features of its motions, have ended in establishing the reality of that Law, for several Binary Systems, with as completo evidence as that which carries its operations to the orbits of Uranus and Neptune.

4 Reing able thus to discorn, in distant regions of the universe, bodies revolving about each other, we have the means of determining, as we do in our own solar system, the masses of the bodies so revolving. But for this purpose, we must know their distance from each other; which is, to our vision, execodingly small, requiring, as we have anid, high magnifying powers to make it visible at And again, to know what linear distance this small visible distance represents, we must know the distance of the stars from us, which is, for overy star, as we know, immensely great; and for most, we are destitute of all means of determining how great it is. There are, however, some of these binary systems, in which astronomors conceive that they have sufficiently ascertained the value of both those clements, (the distance of the two stars from

<sup>\*</sup> Harsahal, Hyb.

each other, and from us,) to enable them to progood with the calculation of which I have spoken: the determination of the masses of the revolving In the case of the star Alpka Centauri, the first star in the constellation of the Contagr. the period is reckened to be 77 years; and as, by the same calculator, the apparent semi-axis of the orbit described is stated at 10 seconds of space. while the annual parallax of each star is about one second, it is evident that the orbit must have a radius about 15 times the radius of the earth's orbit: that is, an orbit greater than that of Saturn. and approaching to that of Uranus. In the solar evetom, a revolution in such an orbit would occurry a time greater than that of Saturn, which is 30 years, and less than that of Uranus, which is about 80 years; it would, in fact, be about 58 years. And since, in the binary star, the period is greater than this, namely 77 years, the attraction which holds together its two elements must be less than that which holds together the Sun and a planet st the same distance; and therefore the masses of the two stars together are considerably less than the moss of our sun.

5 A like conclusion is derived from another of these conspicuous double stars, namely, the one termed by astronomers 61 Cygni; of which the annual parallax has lately been ascertained to be one third of a second of space, while the distance of the two stars is 10 seconds. Here therefore we have an orbit 45 times the size of the Earth's orbit; larger than that of the new-discovered planet Neptune, whose orbit is 30 times as large as the earth's, and his period nearly 165 years. The period of 61 Cygni is however, it appears, probably not short of 500 years; and hence it is

calculated that the sum of the masses of the two stars which make up this pair is about one third of the mass of our Sun\*.

These results give some countenance to the opinion, that the quantity of luminous matter, in other systems, does not differ very considerably from the mass of our Sun. It differs in these onses as 1 to 3, or thereabouts. In what degree of condensation, however, the matter of these binary systems is, compared with that of our solar system, we have no means whatever of knowing. Each of the two stars may have its luminous matter diffused through a globe as large as the carth's orbit; and in that case, would probably not be more done than the tall of a comett. is observed by astronomers, that in the pairs of binary stars which we have mentioned, the two stars of each pair are of different colours; the larger stars being of a high yellow, approaching to orange colour L but the smaller individual being in each onso of a deeper tint. This might suggest to us the conjecture that the smaller mass had cooled further below the point of high luminosity than the larger; but that both these degrees of light belong to a condition still progressive, and probably still gascous. Without attaching any groat value to such conjectures, they appear to be at least as well authorized as the supposition that each of these stars, thus different, is nevertheless precisely in the condition of our sun,

7 But, even granting that each of the indivi-

<sup>\*</sup> Horschol, 848.

<sup>†</sup> That there systems have not condensed to our center, appears to imply a less complete degree of condensation than exists in those systems which have done so.

i Humalial, 850.

duals of this pair were a sun like ours, in the nature of its material and its state of condensation, is it probable that it resembles our Sun also in having planets revolving about it? A system of planets revolving around or among a pair of suns. which are, at the same time, revolving about one another, is so complex a scheme, so impossible to arrange in a stable manner, that the assumption of the existence of such schomes, without a vestige of evidence, can hardly require confutation. doubt, if we were really required to provide such a binary system of suns with attendant planets. this would be best done by putting the planets so near to one sun, that they should not be sensibly affected by the other; and this is accordingly what has been proposed\*. For, as has been well said of the supposed planets, in making this proposal, 'Unless closely nestled under the protecting wing of their immediate superior, the sweep of the other sun in his perihelion passage round their own, might carry them off, or whirl them into or-bits utterly inconsistent with the existence of their inhabitants.' To assume the existence of the inhabitants, in spite of such dangers, and to provide against the dangers by placing them so close to one sun as to be out of the reach of the other, though the whole distance of the two may not, and as we have seen, in some cases does not exceed the dimensions of our solar system, is showing them all the favour which is possible. But in making this provision, it is overlooked that it may not be possible to keep them in permanent orbits so near to the selected center: their sun may be a yest sphere of luminous vapour; and the planets. plunged into this atmosphere, may, instead of de-

<sup>\*</sup> Herschol, 847.

scribing regular orbits, plough their way in spiral paths through the nebulous abyes to its central nucleus.

- 8 Chastered stars, then, and double stars, appear to give us but little promise of inhabitants. We must next turn our attention to the single stars, as the most hopeful cases. Indeed, it is certain that no one would have thought of regarding the individual stars of clusters, or of pairs, as the centers of planetary systems, if the view of insulated stars, as the centers of such systems, had not already become familiar, and, we may say, established. What, then, is the probability of that view? Is there good evidence that the Fixed Stars, or some of them, really have planets revolving round them? What is the kind of proof which we have of this?
- O To this we must reply, that the only proof that the fixed stars are the centers of planetary systems, resides in the assumption that those stars are like the Sun;—resemble him in their qualities and nature, and therefore, it is inferred, must have the same offices, and the same appendages. They are, as the Sun is, independent sources of light, and thence, probably, of heat; and therefore they must have attendant planets, to which they can impart their light and heat; and these planets must have inhabitants, who live under and enjoy those influences. This is, probably, the kind of reasoning on which those rely, who regard the fixed stars as so many worlds, or centers of funilies of worlds.
- 10 Every thing in this argument, therefore, depends upon this; that the Stars are Uks the Sun; and we must consider, what evidence we have of the exactness of this likeness.

- 11 The Stars are like the Sun in this, that they shine with an independent light, not with a borrowed light, as the planets shine. In this, however, the stars resemble, not only the Sun, but the nebulous patches in the sky, and the tails of comets; for these also, in all probability, shine with an original light. Probably it will hardly be urged that we see, by the very appearance of the stars, that they are of the nature of the Sun: for the appearance of luminaries in the sky is so far from enabling us to discriminate the nature of their light, that to a common eye, a planet and a fixed star appear alike as stars. There is no obvious distinction between the original light of the stars and the reflected light of the planets. The stors, then, being like the sun in being luminous, does it follow that they are, like the sun, definite dense masses ? Or are they, or many of them, luminous masses in a far more diffused state: visually contracted to points, by the immense distance from us at which they are?
- 12 We have seen that some of these stars, which we have the best means of examining, are, in mass, one third, or less, of our Sun. If such a mass, at the distance of the fixed stars, were diffused through a sphere equal in diameter to the earth's orbit, it would still appear to us as a point; as is evident by this, that the fixed stars, for the most part, have no discoverable annual parallax; that is, the earth's orbit appears to them a point. If one of the fixed stars, Sirius, for instance, be in this diffused condition, such a direumstance will not, mechanically speaking, prevent his having planets revolving round him; for, as we have said,

The dendity of the sun is about as great as the density of water.

the attraction of his whole mass, in whatever state of spherical diffusion, will be the same as if it were collected at the center. But such a state of diffusion will make him so unlike our Sun, as much to break the force of the presumption that he must have planets because our Sun has. If the luminous matter of the stars gradually cools, grows dark, and solidifies, such diffusion would imply that the time of solidification is not yet begun; and therefore that the solid planets which accompany the luminous central body are not yet brought into being. If there be any truth in this hypothetical account of the changes, through which the matter of the stars successively passes; and if, by such changes, planetary systems are formed; how many of the fixed stars may never yet have reached the planetary state! how many, for want of some noocsanry mechanical condition, may never give rise to permanent orbits at all!

And that the matter of the stars does go through changes, we have evidence, in many such changes which have actually been observed; and perhaps in the different colours of different stars; which may, not improbably, arise from their being at different stages of their progress. That planotary systems, once formed, go through mighty changes, we have evidence in the view which geology gives us of the history of this earth: and in that view, we see also, how unique, and how far elevated in its purpose, the last period of this history may be, compared with the proceding periods; and, up to the present time at least, how comparatively brief in its duration. If, therefore, stellar globes can become planetary systems in the progress of ages, it will not be at all inconsistent

<sup>\*</sup> Horschul, 827-832.

with what we know of the order of nature, that only a few, or even that only one, should have yet reached that condition. All the others, but the one, may be systems yet unformed, or fragments struck off in the forming of the one. If any person is not satisfied with this account of the degree of resemblance between the fixed stars and the sun. but would make the likeness greater than this: we have only to say, that the proof that it is so lies mon him. Such a resemblance as we have supposed, is all that the facts suggest. That the stars are independent luminaries, we see: but whether they are as dense as the sun, or globes a hundred or a thousand times as rare, we have no means whatever of knowing. And, to assume that, besides these luminous bodies which we see, there are dark bedies which we do not see, revolving round the others in permanent orbits, which require model mechanical conditions; and to suppose this, in order that we may build upon this assumption a still larger one, that of living inhabitants of these dark bodies; is a hypothetical procedure, which it seems strange that we should have to combat, at the present stage of the history of science, and in dealing with those whose minds have been disciplined by the provious events in the progress of astronomy.

14 Let us consider, however, further, how farastronomy authorizes us to regard the Fixed Stars as being, like our Sun, the centers of systems of Planets. These who hold this, consider them as having a permanent condition of brightness, as our Sun has had for an indefinite period, so far as we have any knowledge on the subject. Yet, as we have said, no small number of the stars undergo changes of brightness; and some of them undergo such changes, in a manner which is not discernibly periodical; and which must therefore be regarded as progressive. This phenomenon countenances the opinion of such a progress from one material condition to another; which, we have seen, is suggested by the analogy of the probable formation of our own solar system. The very star which is so often taken as the probable center of a system, Sirius, has, in the course of the last 2000 years, changed its light from red to white, Ptolemy notes it as a rod star; in Tycho's time it was already, as it is now, a white one. The star Eta Arms changes both its degree of light and its colour; ranging, in seemingly irregular intervals of time, from the fourth to the first magnitude !, and from yellow to red. Several other examples of the like kind have been observed. Mr Hind I gives an example in which he has, quite recently, olmerved in two years a star change its colour from very red to bluish. These variable unperiodical stars are probably very numerous. Also, some stars, observed of old, are now become invi-'The lost Piciad,' by the loss of which the clusion, called the Seven Stars, offers now only six to the naked eye, is an example of a change of this kind already noted in ancient times. There are several others, of which the extinction is recognized by astronomers as proved . In other cases, now stars have appeared, and have then seemed to die away and vanish. The appearance of a new star in the time of the Greek astronomer Hipparchus induced him to construct his famous

<sup>(</sup>hamos, III, 169, sog, and 641.
(hamos, III, 17s and 252.
Astron. Suc. Notices, Usc. 13, 1850.
Hoo Grant's Hist. of Physical Astronomy, p. 538.

Catalogue of the Stars. Others are recorded to have appeared in the middle ages. The first which was observed by modern astronomers was the celebrated star soon by Tycho Brahe in 1572. appeared suddenly in the constellation Cassiopeia, was fixed in its place like the neighbouring stars. had no nebula or tail, exceeded in splendour all other stars, being as bright as Venus when she is nearest the earth. It soon began to diminish in brightness, and passing through various diminishing degrees of magnitude, vanished altogether after seventeen months. This star also passed through various colours; being first white, then yellow, then In like manner, in 1604, a new star of great magnitude blazed forth in the constellation Serpontarius; and was seen by Koplor. And this also, like that of 1572, after a few months declined and vanished.

15 These appearances led Tyoho to frame an hypothesis like that which Sir William Herschel afterwards proposed, that the stars are formed by the condensation of luminous nebulous matter. Nor is it easy to think of such phenomena (of which several others have been observed, though none so conspicuous as those,) without regarding them as showing that the matter of the fixed stars, oceasionally at least, passes through changes of consistence as great as would be the condensation and extinction of a luminous vapour. And if such changes have been but few within the recorded period of man's observation of the stars, we must recollect how small that period is, compared with the period during which the stars have existed, The stars themselves give us testimeny of their having been in being for millions of years. For according to the best estimates we can form of

their distances, the time which light would employ in reaching us from the most remote of them, would be millions of years: and, therefore, we now see those remote stars by means of the light emitted from them millions of years ago. And if, in the 2000 years during which such observations are recorded, only 200 stars have undergone such changes in a degree visible to the earth's inhabitants; in a million of years, change going on at the same rate, 100,000 stars would exhibit visible progressive change, showing that they had not yet reached a permanent condition. And how much of change may go on in any star without its being in any degree perceptible to the most exact astronomical scrutiny!

16 The tendency of these considerations is, to lead us to think that the fixed stars are not generally in that permanent condition in which our sun is; and which appears to be alone consistent with the existence of a system such as the solar system. These views, therefore, fall in with that which we have been led to by this consideration of the Nebulse: that the Solar System is in a more complete and advanced state, as a system, than many at least of the stellar systems can be; it may be, than any other.

17 It has been alleged, as a proof of the likeness of the Fixed Stars to our Sun, that like him, they revolve upon their axes †. This has been supposed to be proved with regard to many of them, by their having periodical recurrences of

<sup>\*</sup> I am aware of certain speculations, and especially of some recent ones, tending to show that even our Bun is washing away by the emission of light and heat; but these equidons, even if established, do not much affect our argument, one way or the other.

<sup>+</sup> Chalmern's Astron. Disc. p. 39.

fainter and brighter lustro; as if they were revolving orbs, with one side darkened by spots, Such facts are not very numerous or definite in the heavens. Omicrone in the constellation Ceivs. is the longest known of them; and is held to revolve in 831 days. From the curious phenomena now spoken of, it has been called Mira Ceti t. Algol, the second star (Beta) of Perseus, called also Canut Medusce, is another, with a period of 2 days 21 hours; and in this case, the obscuration of the light, and the restoration of it, are so sudden, that from the time when it was first remarked, (by Goodricke, in 1782,) it suggested the hypothesis of an opaque body revolving round the star. The star Delta in the constellation Copheus, is another, with a period of 5 clays 9 hours. The star Beta in the Lyre, has a period of 6 days 10 hours, or perhaps 12 days 21 hours, one revolution having been taken for two. Another such star is Eta Aquille, with a period of 7 days 4 hours. These five are all the periodical stars of which astronomers can speak with precision!. But about thirty more are supposed to be subject to such change, though their periods, epochs, and phases of brightness, cannot at present be given exactly.

18 That these periodical changes in certain of the fixed stars are a curious and interesting astronomical fact, is indisputable. Nothing can be more probable also, than that it indicates, in the

+ The periodical charmater of this star was discovered by David Entrictus, a parish pricat in Ran Friedand, the father of John Bahricius, who discovered the solar spots. (Canses, III. 434)

† Hersch. 825. In Humbeld's Cosses, III. 443, Argelander, who has most carefully observed and studied these periodical stats,

Horseh, 810.

has given a catalogue containing 24, with the most recent determinutions of their periods.

stellar masses, a revolution on their axes; which cannot surprise us, seeing that revolution upon an axis is, so far as we know, a universal law of all the large compact masses of matter which exist in the universe; and may be conceived to be a result derived from their origin, and a condition of any permanent or nearly permanent figure. But this can prove little or nothing as to their being like the sun, in any way which implies their having inhabitants, in themselves or in accompanying planets. The rotation of our Sun is not, in any intelligible way, connected with its having near it the inhabited Earth.

10 If we were to suppose some of the stars to be centers of planetary systems, we can hardly suppose it likely that these alone rotate, and that the others stand still. Probably all the stars rotate, more or less regularly, according as they are permanent or variable in form; but the most regular may still have no planets; and if they have, those planets may be as blank of inhabitants as our moon will be proved to be.

20 The revolution of Algol seems to approach the nearest to a fact in favour of a star being the center of a revolving system: and from the first, as we have said, the periodical change, and the sudden darkening and brightening of this luminary, suggested the supposition of an opaque body revolving about it. But this body cannot be a planet. The planets which revolve about our Sun are not, any of them, nor all of them together, large enough to produce a perceptible obscuration of his light, to a spectator outside the system. But in Algel, the phenomena are very different from this\*. The

<sup>\*</sup> Remon. 821. Humbokli (Cornes, III, 238 and 246,) gives the period as 68 hours 49 minutes, and says that it is 7 or 8 hours

star is usually visible as a star of the second magnitude; but during each period of 2 days 21 hours, (or 69 hours,) it suffers a kind of colipse, which roduces it to a star of the fourth magnitude. During this colipse, the star diminishes in splendour for 31 hours; is at its lowest brightness for a quarter of an hour; and then, in 31 hours more, is restored to its original splendour. According to those numbers, if the obscuration be produced by a dark body revolving round a central luminary, and describing a circular orbit, as the regular recurrence of the obscuration implies, the space of the orbit during which the collipsing body is interposed must be about one ninth of the circumforence; for the observation occupies 71 hours out of 00. And therefore the space during which the colinsing body obscures the central one must be about one sinth of the character of its orbit. But in order that the revolving body may, through this space, obscure the central one, the latter must extend over this space, namely, one sixth of the diameter of the orbit. But we may remark that there is no proof, in the phenomena, that the darkoning body is detached from the bright mass. The effect would be the same if the dark mass were a part of the revolving star itself. It may be that the star has not yet assumed a spherical form, but is an oblong nebular mass with one part (perhaps from boing thinner in texture,) gooled down

in its loss bright state. If we could suppose the times of the waning, and of the greatest celipse, given by Resschel, to be exactly determined, as 3d and 3, that is, in the proportion of 14 to 1, the darkening body must have its effective breadth 15 of that of the star. But this is on the supposition that the orbit of the darkening body has the spectator's eye in its plane; if this he not so, the darkening body may be much larger.

and become opaque. And the amount of obscuration, reducing the star from the second to the fourth magnitude, implies that the obscuring mass is large (perhaps one half the diameter, or much more) compared with the luminous mass. If this be a probable hypothesis to account for the phenomena, they are much more against than for the supposition of the star being the center of seats of habitation. And even if we have a planet nearly as large as its sun, revolving at the distance of only six of the sun's radii, how unlike is this to the solar system!

21 In fact, all these periodical stars, in so far as they are periodical, are proved, not to be like, but to be unlike our sun. It is true that the sun has spots, by means of which his rotation has been determined by astronomers. But these spots, bosides being so small that they produce no perceptible alteration in his brightness, and are never, or very rarely, visible to the naked eye. are not permanent. A star with a permanent dark side would be very unlike our sun. The largest known of these stars, Mira, as the old astronomers called it, becomes invisible to the naked eye for 5 menths during a period of 11 months. It must therefore have nearly one half its surface quite dark. This is very unlike the condition of the sun; and is a condition, it would scom, very little fitted to make this star the center of a planetary system like ours.

22 But there are other remarkable phenomena respecting these periodical stars, which have a bearing on our subject. Their periods are not quite regular, but are subject to cortain variations. Thus it has been supposed that the period of Mira is subject to a cyclical fluctuation, embracing 88

of its periods; that is, about 80 years. But this notion of a cycle of so long a duration, requires confirmation: the fact of fluctuation in the period is alone certain. In like manuer, Algel's periods are not quite uniform. All these facts agree with our suggestion, that the periodical stars are bodies of luminous matter which have not yet assumed a permanent form; and which therefore, as they revolve about their axes, and turn to us their darker and their brighter parts, do so at intervals. and in an order, somewhat variable. And this suggestion appears to be remarkably confirmed, by a result which recent observations have disclosed relative to this star, Algel; namely, that its periods become shorter and shorter. For if the luminous matter, which is thus revolving, be gradually gathering into a more condensed form :becoming less rare, or more compact; as, for instance, it would do, if it were collecting itself from an irregular, or olongated, into a more subcrical form; such a shortoning of the period of revolution would take place; for a mass which contracts while it is revolving, accelerates its rate of revolution, by mechanical principles. And thus we do appear to have, in this observed accoleration of the periods of Algol, an evidence that that luminous mass has not you reached its final and permanent condition.

23 It is true, it has been conjectured, by high authority, that this accolerated rapidity of the periods of Algol will not continue; but will gradually relax, and then be changed to an increase; like many other cyclical combinations in astro-

<sup>\*</sup> Herselt. Out. Ast. Sat. Another explanation of the variable period of Algel, is that the star is moving lowerth us, and therefore the fight occupies less and less time to reach us.

nomy. But this conjecture seems to have little to support it. The cases in which an acceleration of motion is retarded, checked, and restored, all belong to our Solar System; and to assume that Algol, like the solar system, has assumed a permanent and balanced condition, is to take for granted precisely the point in question. We know of no such cycles among the fixed stars, at least with any certainty: for the cycle proposed for Mira must be considered as greatly needing confirmation; considering how long is the cycle, and how recent the suggestion of its existence.

24 And even in the solar system, we have accelerated motions, in which no mathematician or astronomer looks for a obeck or regress of the acceleration. No one expects that lineke's comet will conse to be accolerated, and to revolve in periods continually shorter; though all the other motions hitherto observed in the system are evolient. In the case of a fixed star, we have much loss reason to look for such a cycle, than we have in Encke's comet. But further: with regard to the existence of such a cycle of faster and slower motion in the case of Algol, the most recent observed facts are strongly against it; for it has been observed by Argelander, that not only there is a diminution of the period, but that this diminution proceeds with accelerated rapidity; a course of events which, in no instance, in the whole of the cosmical movements, ends in a regression, rotardation, and restoration of the former rate. We are led to believe, therefore, that this remarkable luminary will go on revolving faster and faster, till its extreme point of condensation is attained. And in the mean time, we have very strong reasons to believe that this mutable body

is not, like the sun, a permanent center of a permanent system; and that any argument drawn from its supposed likeness to the sun, in favour of the supposition that the regions which are near it are the seats of habitation, is quite baseless.

There are other phenomena of the Fixed Stars, and other conjectures of astronomers respecting them, which I need not notice, as they do not appear to have any boaring upon our subject. Such are the 'proper motions' of the stars, and the explanation which has been suggested of some of them :-that they arise from the stars rovolving round other stars which are dark, and therefore invisible. Such again is the attempt to show that the Sun, carrying with it the whole Solar System, is in motion; and the further attempt to show the direction of this motion; and sgain. the hypothesis that the Sun itself revolves round some distant body in space. These minute inquirles and bold conjectures, as to the movements of the masses of matter which ecoupy the universe, can not throw any light on the question whether any part besides the earth is inhabited; any more than the investigation of the movements of the occup, and of their lays, can prove or disprove the existence of marine plants and animals. do not on that account cease to be important and interesting subjects of speculation; but they do not belong to our subject,

26 In Fontenello's Dialogues on the Plurality of Worlds, a work which may be considered as having given this subject a place in popular literature, he illustrates his argument by a comparison, which it may be worth while to look at for a moment. The speaker who asserts that the moon, the planets, and the stars, are the seats of habita-

tion, describes the person who denies this, as resembling a citizen of Paris, who, socing from the towers of Notre Dame the town of Saint Denis, (it being supposed that no communication between the two places had ever occurred,) donless that it is inhabited, because he cannot see the inhabitants. Of course the conclusion is easy. if we may thus take for granted that what he sees is a town. But we may modify this image, so as to represent our argument more fairly. Let it be supposed that we inhabit an island, from which innumerable other islands are visible; but the art of navigation being quite unknown, we are ignorant whether any of them are inhabited. In some of these islands, are seen masses more or less resembling churches; and some of our neighbours assert that these are churches: that churches must be surrounded by houses; and that houses must have inhabitants+. Others hold that the seeming churches are only possiliar forms of rocks. In this state of the debate, everything depends upon the degree of resemblance to churches which the forms exhibit. But suppose that telescopes are invented, and employed with diligence upon the questionable shapes. In a long course of careful and skilful examination, no house is seen, and the rocks do not at all become more like

It has been objected to this supposition that the observation of volcances and church-like rooks has no parallel in the argument. The parallel which I meant to suggest was this; that men might see in rooks a resemblance to churches, as they see in stars a resemblance to the Bun, and might be mistaken: -thus they might argue that these churches must be surrounded with houses, as they argue that stars must be surrounded with planets, and might again be mistaken: -- and that if an observed island were whelly a volcano in a state of notive change, as some of the stars appear to be in a state of active igneous change, the probability of inhabitants would be very small. [Note to and thit.]

churches, rather the contrary. So far, it would seem, the probability of inhabitants in the islands is lessoned. But there are other reasons brought into view. Our island is a long extinct volcano, with a tranquil and fertile soil; but the other islands are apparently somewhat different. of them are active volcances, the volcanic operations covering, so far as we can discern, the whole island; others undergo changes, such as weather or earthquakes may produce; but in none of them can we discover such changes as show the hand of man. For these islands, it would soom the probability of inhabitants is further lessened. And so long as we have no better materials than these for forming a judgment, it would, surely, be accounted rash, to assort that the islands in general ure inhabited; and unreasonable, to blame those who dony or doubt it. Nor would such blame be justified by adducing theological or a priori arguments; as, that the analogy of island with island makes the assumption allowable; or that it is inconsistent with the plan of the Creator of islands to leave them uninhabited. For we know that many islands are, or were long, uninhabited. And if ours were an island occupied by a numerous, well-governed, moral, and religious race, of which the history was known, and of which the relation to the Creator was connected with its history; the assumption of a history, more or less similar to ours, for the inhabitants of the other islands, whose existence was utterly unproved, would, probably, be generally deemed a fitter field for the romancewriter than for the philosopher. It could not, at bust, rise above the region of vague confecture.

27 Fontenelle, in the agreeable book just referred to, says, very truly, that the formula by

which his view is urged on adversaries, is, Pourquoy non? which he holds to be a powerful figure of logic. It is, however, a figure which has this peculiarity, that it may, in most cases, be used with equal force on oither side. When we are asked: Why the Moon, Mercury, Saturn, the syntem of Sirius, should not be inhabited by intelligent beings; we may ask, Why the Earth in the ages previous to man might not be so inhabited? The answer would be, that we have proof hom it. was inhabited. And as to the fact in the other case, I shall shortly attempt to give proof that the Moon is certainly not, and Moreury and Saturn probably not inhabited. With regard to the Fixed Stars, it is more difficult to reason; because we have so little means of knowing any thing of their But in this case also, we might enally ask on our side, Pourquoy non? Why should not the Solar System be the chief and most complete system in the universe, and the Earth the principal planet in that System? So far as we yet know. the Sm is the largest Sun among the stars; and we shall attempt to show, that the Earth is the largest solid opaque globe in the solar system. Some system must be the largest and most finished of all; why not ours? Some planet must be the largest planet; why not the Earth?

28 It should be recollected that there must be some system which is the most complete of all systems, some planet which is the largest of all planets. And if that largest planet, in the most complete system, be, after being for ages tonanted by irrational creatures, at last, and alone of all, occupied by a rational race, that race must necessarily have the power of asking such questions as these: Why they should be alone rational? Why

their planet should be alone thus favoured? If the case he curs, we may hope to be then able to answer these questions, when we can explain the most certain fact which they involve; Why the Earth was occupied so long by irrational ereatures, before the rational race was placed upon it? The mere power of asking such questions can prove or disprove nothing; for it is a power which must equally subsist, whether the human inhabitants of the earth be or be not the only rational population which the universe contains. If there he a race thus fivoured by the Creator, they must, at that stage of their knowledge in which man now is, he able to doubt, as man does, of the extent and greatness of the privilege which they enjoy.

The argument that the Fixed Stars are like the Sun, and therefore the centers of inhabited systems as the Sun is, is sometimes called an armment from Analogy; and this word Analogy is urged, as giving great force to the reasoning. But It must be recollected, that precisely the point in question is, whother there is an analogy. The stars, it is said, are like the Sun. In what respects? We know of none, except in being self-inninous: and this they have in common with the nebula. which, as we have seen, are not centers of inliabited systems. Nor does this quality of being solf-laminous at all determine the degree of con-Clousation of a star. Sirius may be less than a laundredth or a thousandth of the density of the Sun, But the Stars, it may be further urged, are like the Sun in turning on their ages. this we reply, that we know this only of those stars, in which the very phenomenon which proves their revolution, proves also that they are unlike the Sun, in having one side darker than the other. Add to which, their revolution is not connected with the existence of planets, still less of inhabitants of planets, in any intelligible manner. The resemblance, therefore, so far as it bears upon the question, is confined to one single point, in the highest degree ambiguous and inconclusive; and any argument drawn from this one point of resemblance, has little claim to be termed an argument from analogy.

30 On a subject on which we know so little, it is difficult to present any view which deserves to be regarded as an analogy. We see, among the stars, nebulæ more or less condensed, which are possibly, in some cases, stages of a connected progress towards a definite star; and it may be, to a sinr with planets in permanent orbits. We see, in our planet. evidence of successive stages of a connected series of brute animals, preceded perhaps by varied stages of lifeless chaos. If the histories of the Sun, and of all the stars, are governed by a common analogy, the nobulous condensation, and the stages of animal life, may be parts of the same continued series of events; and different stars may be at different points of that series. But even on this supposition, but a few of the stars may be the seats of conscious life, and none, of intelligence. For among the stars which have condensed to a permanent form, how many may have failed in throwing off a permanent planet! How many may be in some stage of lifeless chaos! We must needs suppose a vast number of

<sup>\*</sup> Humbolds, very justly, regards the force of analogy as tending in the opposite direction. 'After all,' he asks, ('timens, III. 373.) 'is the assumption of satellites [attendant planets] to the Fixed Stars so absolutely necessary? If we were to leggin from the outer planets, Jupiter, &c., analogy might seem to require that all planets have satellites. But yot this is not true for Mars, Venus, Marcury.' To which we may further add the treaty-nice Planetolds. In this case there is a much greater number of bodies which have not satellites, than which have them.

stages between a nebular chaos and the lowest forms of conscious life. Perhaps as many as there are fixed stars: and far more than there are of stars which become fertile of life: so that no two systems may be at the same stage of the planetary progress. And if this be so,—our system being so complicated, that we must suppose it peculiarly developed, having the largest Sun that we know of, and our Earth being (as we shall hereafter attempt to prove,) the largest solid planet that we know of,—this Earth may be the sole seat of the highest stage of planetary development.

31 The assumption that there is anything of the nature of a regular law or order of progress from nebular matter to conscious life, -a law which extends to all the stars, or to many of them,-is in the highest degree precarious and unsupported; but since it is sometimes employed in such speculations as we are pursuing, we may make a remark or two connected with it. If we suppose, on the planets of other systems, a progress in some degree analogous to that which geology shows to have occurred on the Earth, there may be, in these planets, creatures in some way analogous to our vegetables and animals; but analogy also requires that they should differ for more from the terrestrial vegetables and animals of any enoch, than those of one enoch do from those of another; since they belong to a different stellar system, and probably exist under very dif-ferent conditions from any that ever provailed on the Earth. We are forbidden, therefore, by annlogy, to suppose that on any other planet there was much an anatomical progression towards the form of man, as we can discorn, (according to some aminent physiologists,) among the tribes which have occupied the Earth. Are we to conceive that the

creatures on the planets of other systems are. like the most perfect terrestrial animals, symmetrical as to right and loft, vertobrate, with fore limbs and hind limbs, heads, organs of sense in their heads, and the like? Every one can see how rash and fanciful it would be to make such suppositions. Those who have, in the play of their invention, imagined inhabitants of other planets, have tried to avoid this servile imitation of terrestrial forms. Here is Sir Humphry Davy's account of the inhabitants of Saturn. 'I saw moving on the surface below me, immense masses, the forms of which I find it impossible to describe. They had systems for locomotion similar to that of the morse or senhorse, but I saw with great surprize that they moved from place to place by six extremely thin membrancs, which they used as wings, numerous convolutions of tubes, more analogous to the trunk of the elephant, than to anything else I can imagino, occupying what I amproved to be the upper parts of the body ?! The attendant Genius informs the narrator, that though these creatures look like scophytes, they have a sphere of sensibility and intellectual enjoyment for superior to that of the inhabitants of the Earth. If we were to reason upon a work of fancy like this, we might say, that it was just as easy to ascribe superior sousibility and intelligence to rouphyteformed creatures upon the Earth, as in Saturn. Even fancy cannot aid us in giving consistent form to the inhabitants of other planets.

32 But even if we could assent to the opinion, as probable, that there may occur, on some other planet, progressions of organized forms analogous in some way to that series of animal forms which has appeared upon the earth, we should still have

<sup>&</sup>quot; Consolations in Travel. Dial. 1.

no ground to assume that this series must terminate in a rational and intelligent oreature like man. For the introduction of reason and intelligence upon the Earth is no part nor consequence of the series of animal forms. It is a fact of an entirely new kind. The transition from brute to man does not come within the analogy of the transition from brute to brute. The thread of analogy, even if it could lead us so far, would break here. We may conceive analogues to other animals, but we could have no analogue to man, except man. Man is not merely a higher kind of animal; he is a creature of a superior order, participating in the attributes of a higher nature; as we have already said, and as we hope hereafter further to show. Even, therefore, if we were to assume the general analogy of the Stars and of the Sun, and were to join to that, the information which geology gives us of the history of our own planet; though we might, on this precarious path, be led to think of other planets as peopled with uninagined monstors; we should still find a chasm in our reasoning, if we tried, in this way, to find intelligent and rational creatures in planets which may revolve round Sirius or Arcturus,

33 The reasonable view of the matter appears to be this. The assumption that the Fixed Stars are of exactly the same nature as the Sun, was, at first, when their vast distance and probable great size were newly ascertained, a bold guess; to be confirmed or refuted by subsequent observations and discoveries. Any appearances, tending in any degree to confirm this guess, would have deserved the most considerate attention. But there has not been a vestige of any such confirmatory fact. No planet, nor anything which can

fairly be regarded as indicating the existence of a planet, revolving about a star, has anywhere been discorned. The discovery of nebules, of binary systems, of clusters of stars, of poriodical stars, of varying and accelerated periods of such stars, all seem to point the other way. And if all these facts be held to be but small in amount, as to the information which they convey, about the larger, and perhaps nearer stors; still they leave the original assumption a more guess, unsupported by all that three centuries of most diligent, and in other respects successful research, has been able to bring to light. That Copernious, that Galileo, that Kepler, should believe the stars to be Suns, in every sense of the term, was a natural result of the expansion of thought which their great discoveries produced, in them and their contemporaries. Nor are we yet called upon to withdraw from them our sympathy; or entitled to contradict their conjecture. But all the knowledge that the succeeding times have given us; the extrome tenuity of much of the luminous matter in the skies; the existence of gyratory motion among the stars, quite different from planetary systems; the absence of any observed motions at all resombling such systems; the appearance of changes in stars, quite inconsistent with such permanent systoms; the disclosure of the history of our own planet, as one in which changes have constantly been going on; the certainty that by far the greater part of the duration of its existence, it has been tenanted by creatures entirely different from those which give an interest, and thence, a persuasiveness, to the belief of inhabitants in worlds appended to each star; the impossibility, which appears, on the gravest consideration, of

transferring to other worlds such interests as belong to our own race in this world;—all these considerations should, it would soom, have prevented that old and arbitrary conjecture from growing up, among a generation professing philosophical caution, and scientific discipline, into a settled belief.

34 Some of the moral and theological views which tend to encourage and uphold this belief, may be taken under our more special consideration hereafter: but here, where we are reasoning principally upon astronomical grounds, we may conclude what we have to remark about the Pixed Stars, as the centers of inhabited systems of worlds, by saying; that it will be time enough to speculate about the inhabitants of the planets which belong to such systems, when we have ascertained that there are such planets, or one such planet. When that is done, we can then apply to them any reasons which may exist, for believing that all, or many planets, are the seats of habitation of living things. What reasons of this kind can be adduced, and what is their force with regard to our own solar system, we must now proocod to discussa.

<sup>\*</sup> What is said in Art. 18, that in consequence of the time employed in the transmission of visual measures, our seeing a star is ovidence, not that it exists now, but that it existed it may be, many thousands of years ago; may seem, to some readers, to throw cloubts upon reasonings which we have employed. It may be said that a star which was a more choss, when the light, by which we see it, set out from it, may, in the thousands of years which have since elaysed, have grown into an enterly world. To which have possibility, we may appose another supposition at least equally possible:—that the distant stars were sparks or fragments struck off in the formation of the Holar Hyston, which are really long since extinct; and survive in appoarance, only by the light which they at first emitted.

## CHAPTER IX.

## The Planets.

1 WHEN it was discovered, by Copernious and Galileo, that Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, which had hitherto been regarded only as 'wandering fires, that move in mystic dance,' wore really, in many circumstances, bodies resembling the Earth :- that they and the Earth alike, were opaque globes, revolving about the Sun in orbits nearly circular, revolving also about their own axes, and some of them accompanied by their Satellites, as the Earth is by the Moon; -- it was inevitable that the conjecture should arise, that they too had inhabitants, as the Earth has. Each of these bodies was seemingly coherent and solid; furnished with an arrangement for producing day and night, summer and winter; and might therefore, it was naturally conceived, have inhabitants moving upon its solid surface, and reckoning their lives and their employments by days, and months, and years. This was an unavoidable guess. was far less bold and sweeping than the guess that there are inhabitants in the region of the l'ixed Stars, but still, like that, it was, for the time at least, only a guess; and like that, it must depend upon future explorations of these bodies and their conditions, whother the guess was confirmed or discredited. The conjecture could not, by any moderately cautious man, be regarded as so overwholmingly probable, that it had no need of further proof. Its final acceptance or rejection must depend on the subsequent progress of astronomy, and of science in general,

2 We have to consider then how far subsecquent discoveries have given additional value to this conjecture. And, as, in the first place, important among such discoveries, we must note the addition of several new planets to our system. It was found, by the elder Herschel, (in 1781,) that, far beyond Saturn, there was another planet, which, for a time, was called by the name of its agracious discoverer: but more recently, in order to conform the nomenclature of the planets to the mythology with which they had been so long connected, has been termed Uranus. This was a vest extension of the limits of the solar system. The Earth is, as we have already said, nearly a hundred millions of miles from the Sun. Jupiter is at more than five times, and Saturn nearly at ten times this distance: but Urnnus, it was found, describes on orbit of which the radius is above nineteen times as great as that of the Earth. But this did not terminate the extension of the solar system which the progress of astronomy revealed. In 1840, a new planet, still more remote, was discovered: its existonce having been divined, before it was seen, by two mathematicians, Mr Adams, of Cambridge, and M. Leverrier, of Paris, from the effects of its force upon Uranus. This now planet was termed Noptune: its distance from the Sun is about thirty times the Earth's distance. Besides these discoverios of large planets, a great number of small planets were detected in the region of the solar system which lies between the orbits of Mars and Juniter. This series of discoveries began on the first day of 1801, when Ceres was detected by Plassi at Palermo; and has gone on up to the present time, when twenty-nine of these small bodies have been brought to light; and probably

the group is not yet exhausted.

3 Now if we have to discuss the probability that all these bodies are inhabited, we may begin with the outermost of them at present known, namely Neptune. How far is it likely that this globe is occupied by living creatures which only, like the creatures on the Earth, the light and heat of the Sun, about which the planet revolves? It is plain, in the first place, that this light and heat must be very feeble. Since Neptune is thirty times as far from the sun as the earth is, the diameter of the sun as seen from Noptune will only be one thirtieth as large as it is, seen from the earth. It will, in fact, be reduced to a more star. It will be about the diameter under which Jupiter appears when he is nearest to us. Of course its brightness will be much greater than that of Jupiter: nearly as much indeed, as the sun is brighter than the moon, both being nearly of the same size: but still, with our full-moonlight reduced to the amount of illumination which we receive from a full Juniter. and our sun-light reduced in nearly the same proportion, we should have but a dark, and also a cold world. In fact, the light and the heat which reach Nepinne, so far as they depend on the disinnee of the sun, will each be about nine hundred times smaller than they are on the earth. Now are we to conceive animals, with their vital powers unfolded, and their vital enjoyments cherished, by this amount of light and heat? Of course, we cannot say, with certainty, that any foobleness of light and heat are inconsistent with the existence of animal life; and if we had good reason to believe that Nontune is inhabited by animals, we

might try to conceive in what manner their vital scheme is accommodated to this scanty supply of heat and light. If it were certain that they were there, we might inquire how they could live there, and what manner of creatures they could be. If there were any general ground for assuming inhabitants, we might consider what modifications of life their particular conditions would require.

- 4 But is there any such general ground? Such a ground we should have, if we could venture to assume that all the bodies of the Solar System are inhabited;—if we could proceed upon such a principle, we might reject or postpone the difficulties of particular cases.
- 5 But is such an assumption true? Is such a principle well founded? The best chance which we have of learning whether it is so, is to endeayour to ascertain the fact, in the body which is nearest to us; and thus, the best placed for our closer scrutiny. This is, of course, the Moon; and with regard to the Moon, we have, again, this advantage in beginning the inquiry with her:that she, at least, is in circumstances, as to light and heat, so far as the Sun's distance affects them, which we know to be quite consistent with animal and veretable life. For her distance from the Sun is not appreciably different from that of the Earth; her revolutions round the earth do not make nearly so great a difference, in her distance from the sun, as does the earth's different distances from the sun in summer and in winter: the fact also being, that the carth is considerably nearer to the sun in the winter of this our northern hemisphere, than in the summer. The moon's distance from the sun then, adapts her for habitation; is she inhabited?
  - U The answer to this question, so far as we

can answer it, may involve something more than those mere astronomical conditions, her distance from the sun, and the nature of her motions. still, if we are compelled to answer it in the negative; -- if it appear, by strong evidence, that the Moon is not inhabited;—then is there an end of the general principle, that all the bodies of the solar system are inhabited, and that we must begin our speculations about each, with this assumption. If the Moon be not inhabited, then, it would seem, the belief that each special body in the system is inhabited, must depend upon reasons specially belonging to that body; and cannot be taken for arunted without such reasons. Of the two bodies of the solar system which alone we can examine closely, so as to know anything about them, the Earth and the Moon, if the one be inhabited, and the other blank of inhabitants, we have then no right to assume at once, that any other body in the solar system belongs to the former of these classes rather than to the latter. If, even under terrestrial conditions of light and heat, we have a total absence of the phenomenon of life, known to us only as a torrestrial phenomenon; we are surely not outified to assume that when these conditions fail, we have still the phonomenon, life, not entitled to assume it; however it may be comeble of boing afterwards proved, in any special case, by special reasons; a question afterwards to be discussed.

7 Is then the Moon inhabited? From the moon's proximity to us, (she is distant only thirly diameters of the earth, less than ten times the earth's circumference; a railroad carriage at its ordinary rate of travelling would reach her in a month,) she can be secutioized by the astronomer

with peculiar advantages. The present powers of the telescope enable him to examine her mountains as distinctly as he could the Alps at a few hundred miles distance, with the naked eye; with the additional advantage, that her mountains are much more brilliantly illuminated by the Sun, and much more favourably placed for examination, than the Alps are. He can map and model the inequalities of her surface, as faithfully and exactly as he can those of the surface of Switzerland. He can trace the streams that seem to have flowed from cruptive crifices over her plains, as he can the streams of lava from the craters of Etna or Heela.

8 Now this minute examination of the Moon's surface being possible, and having been made, by many careful and skilful astronomers, what is the conviction which has been conveyed to their minds, with regard to the fact of her being the seat of vegetable or animal life? Without exception, it would seem, they have all been led to the belief, that the Moon is not inhabited; that she is, so far as life and organization are concerned waste and barren, like the streams of lava or of volcanic ashes on the earth, before any vestige of vegetation has been impressed upon them; or like the sands of Africa, where no blade of grass finds root. It is hold, by such observors, that they can discern and examine portions of the moon's surface as small as a square mile : yet in their examination, they have never perceived any alteration, such as the evole of vegetable changes through

P. W. 18

<sup>\*</sup> More recently, at the meeting of the Reithd Association in Sopt. 1853, Professor Phillips has declared, that astronomers can discorn the shape of a spot on the Moon's surface, which is a few hundred feet in breadth.

the revolutions of seasons would produce. Sir William Horschol did not doubt that if a change had taken place on the visible part of the Moon, as great as the growth or the destruction of a great city, as great, for instance, as the destruction of London by the great fire of 1000, it would have been perceptible to his powers of observation. Yot nothing of the kind has ever been observed. If there were lunar astronomers, as well provided as terrestrial ones are, with artificial helps of vision, they would undoubtedly be able to perceive the differences which the progress of generations brings about on the surface of our globe; the clearing of the forests of Germany or North America; the embankment of Holland; the change of the modes of culture which alter the colour of the ground in Europe; the establishment of great nests of manufactures which shroud portions of the land in smoke, as those which have their centers at Birmingham or at Manchester. Howover obscurely they might discorn the nature of those changes, they would still see that change was going on. And so should we, if the like changes were going on upon the face of the Moon. Yet no such changes have ever been noticed. Nor even have such changes been remarked, as might occur in a more brute mass without life :-- the formation of now stroams of lava, now craters, new crovices, new clevations. The Moon exhibits strong evidences, which strike all telescopic observers, of an action resembling, in many respects, volcanic action, by which its present surface has been formed! But, if it have been produced by

A person visiting the Rifel, a region of extinct volumes, west of the Rbine, can hardly fall to be struck with the resemblance of the erators there to those seen in the moon through a telescope.

such internal fires, the fires seem to be extinguished; the volcances to be burnt out. It is a mere einder; a collection of sheets of rigid slag. and inactive orators. And if the Moon and the Rarth were both, at first, in a condition in which igneous cruptions from their interior produced the ridges and cones which roughen their surfaces; the Earth has had this state succeeded by a series of states of life in innumerable forms, till at last it has become the dwellingplace of man: while the Moon, smaller in dimensions, has at an earlier period completely cooled down, as to its exterior at least, without over being judged fit or worthy by its Creator of being the seat of life; and remains, hung in the sky, as an object on which man may gaze, and perhaps, from which he may learn something of the constitution of the universe: and among other lessons, this :-- that he must not take for granted, that all the other globes of the solar system are tenanted, like that on which he has his appointed place.

It is true, that in coming to this conclusion, the astronomers of whom I speak, have been governed by other reasons, besides these which I have mentioned, the absence of any changes, either rapid or slow, discoverable in the Moon's face. They have seen reason to believe that water and air, elements so essential to terrestrial life, do not exist in the Moon. The dark spaces on her disk, which were called sees by these who first depicted them, have an appearance inconsistent with their being occans of water. They are not level and smooth, as water would be; nor uniform in their colour, but marked with permanent streaks and shades, implying a rigid form. And the absence of an atmosphere of transparent

vapour and air, surrounding the moon, as our almosphere surrounds the earth, is still more clearly proved, by the absence of all the optical effects of such an atmosphere, when stars pass behind the moon's disk, and by the phenomena which are seen in solar colipses, when her solid mass is masked by the Sun\*. This absence of moisture and air in the Moon, of course, entirely confirms our previous conclusion, of the absence of vegetable and animal life; and leaves us, as we have said, to examine the question for the other bodies, on their special grounds, without any previous presumption that such life exists, Undoubtedly the aspect of the case will be difforent in one feature, when we see reason to believe that other bodies have an atmosphere: and if there be in any planet sufficient light and heat, and clouds and winds, and a due adjustment of the power of gravity, and the strength of the materials of which organised frames consist there may be, so far as we can judge, life of some kind or other. But yet, even in those cases, we should be led to judge also, by analogy, that the life which they sustain is more different from the terrestrial life of the present period of the earth, than that is from the terrestrial life of any former geological period; in proportion as the conditions of light and hoat, and attraction and donsity, are more different on any other planet, than they can have been on the earth, at any period of its history.

<sup>\*</sup> Rossol has discussed and refuted (it was hardly necessary) the conjecture of some persons (he describes them as 'the feeling hearts who would find sympathy oven in the Moon's that there may be in the Moon's valles sir enough to support life, though it does not rise above the fills.—Populars Vortesrages, p. 78.

- 10 Let us then consider the state of these clements of being in the other planets. I have mentioned, among them, the force of gravity, and the density of materials; because these are inportant elements in the question. It may seem strange, that we are able, not only to measure the planets, but to weigh them; yet so it is. The wonderful discovery of universal gravitation, so firmly established, as the law which embraces every particle of matter in the solar system, enables us to do this, with the most perfect confidence, The revolutions of the satellites round their primary planets, give us a measure of the force by which the planets rotain them in their orbits; and in this way, a measure of the quantity of matter of which each planet consists. And other effects of the same universal law, enable us to measure, though less easily and less exactly, the masses, even of those planets, which have no satellites. And thus we can, as it were, put the Earth, and Jupiter or Satarn, in the balance against each other; and tell the proportionate number of pounds which they would woigh, if so poised. And again, by another kind of experiment, we can, as we have said, weigh the earth against a known mountain; or even against a small miliore of lead duly adjusted for the purpose. And this has been done; and the results are extremely curious; and very important in our speculations relative to the constitution of the universe.
- 11 And in the first place, we may remark that the Earth is really much less heavy than we should expect, from what we know of the materials of which it consists. For, measuring the density, or specific gravity, of materials, (that is their comparative weight in the same bulk,) by

their proportion to water, which is the usual way, the density of iron is 8, that of lead 11, that of gold 19: the ordinary rocks at the Earth's surface have a density of 3 or 4. Moreover, all the substances with which we are acquainted, contract into a smaller space, and have their density inoreased, by being subjected to pressure. Air does this, in an obvious manner: and hence it is, that the lower parts of our atmosphere are denser than the upper parts; being pressed by a greater superincumbent weight, the weight of the superior parts of the atmosphere itself. Air is thus obviously and eminently clastic. But all substances, though less obviously and eminently, are still, really, and in some degree, clastic. They all contract by compression. Water for instance, if pressed by a column of water 100,000 feet high, would be reduced to a bulk is less than before. In the same manner iron, compressed by a column of iron 90,000 foot high, loses 1 of its bulk, and of course gains so much in donsity. And the like takes place, in different amounts, with all materials whatever. This is the rate at which compression produces its offect of increasing the density, in bodies which are in the condition of those which lie around us. But if this law were to go on at the same rate, when the compression is greatly increased, the density of bodies doop down towards the center of the Earth must be immense. The Earth's radius is above 20 million foot. At a million feet depth we should have matter subjected to the pressure of a column of a million feet of superincumbent matter, heavier than water; and hence we should have a compression of water 10 times as great as we have mentioned; and, therefore, the bulk of the water would be reduced

almost to nothing, its density increased almost indefinitely: and the same would be the case with other materials, as metals and stones. If, therefore, this law of compression were to hold for these great pressures, all materials whatever, contained in the depths of the Earth's mass, must be immensely denser, and immensely specifically heavier, than they are at the surface. And thus, the Earth consisting of these far denser materials towards the center, but, nearer the surface, of lighter materials, such as rook, and motals, in their ordinary state, must, we should expect, be, on the whole, much heavier than if it consisted of the heaviest ordinary materials; heavier than iron, or than lead; hundreds of times perhaps heavier than sione.

This, however, is not found to be so. The 12 expectation of the great density of the Earth, which we might have derived from the known laws of condensation of terrestrial substances, is not confirmed. The mass of the Earth being weighed. by means of such processes as we have already referred to, is found to be only five times heavier than so much water: less heavy than if it were made of iron: loss than twice as heavy as if it were made of ordinary rock. This of course shows us that the condensation of the interior parts of the Karth's mass, is by no means so great as we should have expected it to be, from what we know of the laws of condensation here; and from considering the enormous pressure of superincumbent matorials to which those interior parts are suijected. The laws of condensation, it would seem, do not go on operating, for these enermons pressures, by the same progression as for smaller prossures. If a mass of a material is compressed into n its bulk by the weight of a column of 100,000 feet high, it does not follow that it will be again compressed into is of its condensed bulk, by another column of 100,000 feet high. The compression and condensation reach, or tend to, a limit; and probably, before they have gone very far. It may be possible to compress a plece of iron to the amount of one-thousandth part, even by such forces as we can use; and yet it may not be possible to compress the same piece of iron into one half its bulk, even by the weight of the whole Earth, if made to bear upon it. This appears to be probable: and this will explain, how it is, that the materials of the Earth are not so violently condensed as we should have supposed; and thus, why the Earth is so light.

13 We must avoid drawing inferences too boldly, on a subject where our means of knowledge are so obscure as they are with regard to the interior of the Earth; but yet, perhaps, we may be allowed to say, that the result which we have just stated, that the Earth is so light, suggests to us the belief that the interior consists of the same materials as the exterior, slightly condensed by pressure\*. We find no encouragement to believe that there is a nucleus within, of some material, different from what we have on the outside; some metal, for instance, heavier than lead. If the earth were of granite, or of lava, all the way to the center, it would, so far as we can judge, have

<sup>\*</sup> The doctrino that the interior nucleus of the Earth is fiuld, whether accepted or rejected, does not materially affect this argunous. It appears, that in some cases, at least, the niciting of substances is prevented, by their being subjected to extreme pressure; but the doubty, the element from which we reason, is measured by methods quite independent of such questions.

much the same weight which it now has. Such a central mass, covered with the various layers of stone, which form the upper crust of the Earth, would naturally make this globe of at least the weight which it really has. And therefore, if we were to learn that a planet was much lighter than this, as to its materials,—much less dense, taking the whole mass together,—we should be compelled to infer that it was, throughout, or nearly so, formed of less compact matter than metal and stone; or else, that it had internal cavities, or some other complex structure, which it would be absurd to assume without positive reasons.

14 Now having obtained these views from an examination of the Earth, let us apply them to other planets, as bearing upon the question of their boing inhabited; and in the first place, to Jupitor. We can, as we have said, easily compare the mass of Jupitor and of the Earth; for both of them have Satellites. It is ascertained, by this means, that the mass or weight of Jupiter is about 333 times the weight of the Earth: but as his diameter is also 1! times that of the earth, his bulk is 1331 times that of the earth: (the cube of 11 is 1331); and, therefore, the density of Jupiter is to that of the earth, only as 333 to 1331, or about 1 to 4. Thus the density of Jupiter, taken as a whole, is about a quarter of the earth's density; less than that of any of the stones which form the crust of the earth; and not much greater than the density of water. Indeed, it is tolerably certain, that the density of Jupiter is not greater than it would be, if his entire globe were composed of water; making allowance for the compression which the interior parts would suffer by the pressure of the parts superincumbent upon them. We might, therefore, offer it as a conjecture not quite arbitrary, that Jupiter is a more sphere of water.

15 But is there any thing further in the appearance of Jupiter, which may serve to contradict, or to confirm, this conjecture? There is one circumstance in Jupiter's form, which is, to say the least, perfectly consistent with the supposition that he is a fluid mass; namely, that he is not an exact sphere, but oblate, like an orange. Such a form is produced, in a fluid sphere, by a rotation upon its axis. It is produced, even in a sphere which is, (at present at least,) partly solid and partly fluid; and the oblateness of the earth is accounted for in this way. But Jupiter, who, while he is much larger than the earth, revolves much more rapidly, is much more oblate than the earth. His polar and equatoreal diameters are in the proportion of 13 to 14. Now it is a remarkable circomstance, that this is the amount of oblateness, which, on mechanical principles, would result from his time of revolution, if he were entirely fluid, and of the same density throughout . So far, then, we have some confirmation at least, of his being composed entirely of some finid which in its density agrees with water.

16 But there are other circumstances in the appearances of Jupiter, which still further confirm this conjecture of his watery constitution. Ilis belts,—certain bands of darker and lighter colour, which run parallel to his equator, and which, in some degree, change their form, and breadth, and place, from time to time,—have been conjectured, by almost all astronomers, to arise from lines of

<sup>\*</sup> Horschol, grz. Bersel, however, holds that the oblatences of Jupiter proves that his interior is somewhat denser than his exterior. Pop. Verice. p. 91.

cloud, alternating with tracts comparatively clear, and having their direction determined by currents analogous to our trade-winds, but of a much more steady and decided character, in consequence of the greater rotatory velocity\*. Now vapours, supplying the materials of such masses of cloud, would naturally be raised from such a watery sphere as we have supposed, by the action of the Sun; would form such lines; and would change their form from slight causes of irregularity, as the belts are seen to do. The existence of these lines of cloud does of itself shew that there is much water on Jupiter's surface, and is quite consistent with our conjecture, that his whole mass is water?

17 Perhaps some persons may be disposed to doubt whether, if Jupiter be, as we suppose, merely

\* Horschel, 513.

† A difficulty may be ruled, founded on what we may suppose to be the fact, as to the extreme cold of these regions of the Heler System. It may be supposed that water under such a temperature could orbit in no other form than ico. And that the cold must there be intense, according to our notion, there is strong reason to believe. Even in the outer regions of our atmosphere, the cold is probably very many degrees below freesing, and in the blank and saless void layured, it may be colder will. It has been calculated by physical philosophers, on grounds which seem to be solid, that the cold of the space be-youd our atmosphere is 1000 below zero. Yet the space near to Juntur, if an absolute vacuum in which there is no matter to receive and retein heat emitted from the form, may, purhaps, be no colder than it is nearer the Man. And as to the effect the great cold would produce on Junator's watery material, we may temark, that if there he a free surface, there will be vapour produced by the Sun's heat; and if there he air, there will be clouds. We may said, that so far as we have reason to believe, below the freeding point, no accorden of cold produces any material change in loc. Even in the expeditions of our Arotte navigators, a cold of 40° below more way experienced, and ice was still but less said there were vapours and clessis as in our elimate. It is quite an arbitrary assumption, to suppose that any cold which may exist in Jupiter would proven the state of things which we SUMMO.

or principally a mass of water and of vapour, we are entitled to extend to him the law of universal gravitation, which is the basis of our speculations. But this doubt may be easily dismissed. We know that the waters of the earth are affected by gravitation; not only towards the earth, as shewn by their weight, but towards those distant bodies, the Sun and the Moon; for this gravitation produces the tides of the ocean. And our atmosphere also has weight, as we know; and probably has also solar and lunar tides, though these are masked by many other causes of diurnal change. We have, then, the same reason for supposing that air and water, in other parts of the system, are governed by universal gravitation, and exercise themselves the attractive force of gravitation, which we have for making the like suppositions with regard to the Whatever argument proves most solid bodies. universal gravitation, proves it for all matter alike; and Newton, in the course of his magnificent generalization of the law, took care to demonstrate, by experiment, as well as by reasoning, that it might be so generalized.

18 As bearing upon the question of life in Jupiter, there is another point which requires to be considered; the force of gravity at his surface. Though, equal bulk for equal bulk, he is lighter than the earth, yet his bulk is so great that, as we have seen, he is altogether much heaver than the earth. This, his greater mass, makes bodies, at equal distances from the centers, ponderate proportionally more to him than they would do to the earth. And though his surface is 11 times further from his center than the earth's is, and therefore the gravity at the surface is thereby diminished, yet, even after this deduction, gravity at the sur-

face of Jupiter is nearly two and a half times that on the earth\*. And thus a man transferred to the surface of Jupiter would feel a stone, carried in his hands, and would feel his own limbs also, (for his muscular power would not be altered by the transfor.) become 21 times as heavy, as difficult to raise, as they were before. Under such circumstances animals of large dimensions would be onprossed with their own weight. In the smaller creatures on the earth, as in insects, the muscular power bears a great proportion to the weight, and they might continue to run and to leap, even if gravity were tripled or quadrupled. But an elephant could not trot with two or three elephants placed upon his back. A lion or tiger could not spring, with twice or thrice his own weight hung about his nock. Such an increase of gravity would be inconsistent then, with the present constitution and life of the larger terrestrial animals; and if we are to suppose planets inhabited, in which gravity is much more energetic than it is upon the earth, we must suppose classes of animals which are adapted to such a different mechanical condition.

19 Taking into account then, these circumstances in Jupiter's state; his (probably) bottom-less waters; his light, if any, solid materials; the strong hand with which gravity presses down such materials as there are; the small amount of light and heat which reaches him, at five times the earth's distance from the sun; what kind of inhabitants shall we be led to assign to him? Can they have skeletons, where no substance so dense as bone is found, at least in large masses? It would seem

<sup>\*</sup> Herschel, 508.

not probable\*. And it would seem they must be dwellers in the waters, for against the existence there of solid land, we have much evidence. They must, with so little of light and heat, have a low degree of vitality. They must then, it would seem. be cartilarinous and glutinous masses; peopling the waters with minute forms; perhaps also with larger monsters; for the weight of a bulky croature, floating in the fluid, would be much more easily sustained than on solid ground. If we are resolved to have such a population, and that they shall live by food, we must suppose that the waters contain at least so much solid matter as is requisite for the sustenance of the lowest classes; for the higher classes of animals will probably find their food in consuming the lower. I do not know whother the advocates of peopled worlds will think such a population as this worth contending for: but I think the only doubt can be, between such a population, and none. If Jupiter be a more mass of water, with perhaps a few cinders at the center, and an envelope of clouds around it, it sooms very possible that he may not be the seat of life at all. But if life be there, it does not soom in any way likely, that the living things can be anything higher in the scale of being, then such boneless, watery, pulpy creatures as I have imagined.

20 Perhaps it may occur to some one to ask, if this planet, which presents so glorious an aspect to our eyes, be thus the abode only of such imper-

<sup>\*</sup> It may be thought familial to suppose that because there is little or no solid matter (of any kind known to us) in Jupiter, the animals are not likely to have solid skeletons. The analogy is not very strong; but also, the weight assigned to it in the argument is small. Valent quantum valers tisket.

feet and embryotic lumps of vitality as I have described: to what purpose was all that gorgeons array of satellites appended to him, which would present, to intelligent speciators on his surface, a speciacle for more splendid than any that our skies offer to us; four moons, some as great, and others hardly less, than our moon, performing their regular revolutions in the yault of heaven. To which it will suffice, at present, to reply, that the use of those moons, under such a supposition, would be precisely the same, as the use of our moon, during the myrlads of years which clapsed while the earth was tonanted by corals and madropores, shell-fish and belempites, the cartilaginous fishes of the Old Red Sandstone, or the Saurian monsters of the Lins; and in short, through all the countless ages which clapsed, before the last few thousand years: before man was placed upon the earth 'to eye the blue vanit and bloss the useful light; to recken by it his months and years: to discover by means of it, the structure of the universe, and perhaps, the special care of his Creator for him alone of all his oreatures. The moons of Jupiter, may in this way be of use, as our own moon is. Indeed we know that they have been turned to most important purposes, in astronomy and navigation, knowing this, we may be content not to know how. althor the satellites of Juniter, or the satellite of the Earth, toud to the advantage of the brute inhabitants of the waters.

21 There is another point, connected with this doctrine of the watery nature of Jupiter, which I may notice, though we have little means of knowledge on the subject. Jupiter being thus covered with water, is the water ever converted into ice? The planet is more than five times as far

from the sun as the earth is: the heat which he receives is, on that account, 25 times less than ours. The veil of clouds which covers a large part of his surface, must diminish the heat still further. What effect the absence of land produces. on the freezing of the ocean, it is not easy to say, We cannot, therefore, pronounce with any confidence whether his waters are ever frezen or not. In the next considerable planet, Mars, astronomers conceive that they do trace the effects of frost: but in Mars we have also appearances of land. In Jupiter, we are left to mere conjecture; whether continents and floating islands of ice still further chill the fluids of the slimy tribes whom we have been led to regard as the only possible inhabitants; or whether the watery globe is converted into a globe of ice; retaining on its surface, of course, as much finid as is requisite, under the evaporating power of the sun, to supply the currents of vapour which form the belts. In this case, perlings, we may think it most likely that there are no inhabitants of these shallow pools in a planet of ice; at any rate, it is not worth while to provide any new speculations for such a hypothesis.

22 We may turn our consideration from Jupiter to Saturn; for in many respects the two planets are very similar. But in almost every point, which is of force against the hypothesis of inhabitants, the case is much stronger in Saturn than it is in Jupiter. Light and heat, at his distance, are only one nineticth of those at the Earth. None but a very low degree of vitality can be sustained under such sluggish influences. The density of his mass is hardly greater than that of cork; much less that of water: so that, it does not appear what supposition is left for us, except

that a large portion of the globe, which we see as his, is vapour. That the outer part of the globe is vapour, is proved, in Saturn as in Jupiter, by the existence of several cloudy streaks or belts running round him parallel to his equator. Yet his mass, taken altegether, is considerable, on account of his great size; and gravity would be greater, at his outer surface, than it is at the earth's. For such reasons, then, as were urged in the case of Jupiter, we must either suppose that he has no inhabitants; or that they are aqueous, gelatinous creatures; too singgish, almost, to be deemed alive, floating in their ice-cold waters, shrouded for ever by their humid skies.

23 Whether they have eyes or no, we cannot tell; but probably if they had, they would never see the Sun; and therefore we need not commiscrate their lot in not seeing the host of Saturnian satellites; and the Ring, which to an intelligent Saturnian spectator, would be so splendid a celestial object. The Ring is a glorious object for man's view, and his contemplation; and therefore is not altogether without its use. Still less need we (as some appear to do) regard as a serious misfortune to the inhabitants of certain regions of the planet, a solar celipse of fifteen years' duration, to which they are liable by the interposition of the Ring between them and the Sun\*.

24 The cases of Uranus and Neptune are similar to that of Saturn, but of course stronger, in proportion to their smaller light and heat. For Uranus, this is only 1-360th, for Neptune, as we have already said, 1-900th of the light and heat at the earth. Moreover, these two new planets agree with Jupiter and with Saturn, in being of

<sup>\*</sup> Horsobol, 522.

very large size and of very small density: and also we may remark, one of them, probably both, in revolving with great rapidity, and in nearly the same period, namely, about 10 hours: at least, this has been the opinion of astronomers with regard to Uranus. The arguments against the hypothesis of these two planets being inhabited, are of course of the same kind as in the case of Jupiter and Saturn, but much increased in strength; and the supposition of the probably watery nature and low vitality of their inhabitants must be commended to the consideration of these who contend for inhabitants in these remote regions of the solar system.

25 We may now return towards the Sun, and direct our attention to the planet Mars. Here we have some approximation to the condition of the Earth, in circumstances, as in position. It is true. his light and hoat, so far as distance from the Sun affects them, are less than half those at the Rearth. His density appears to be nearly equal to that of the Earth, but his mass is so much smaller, that gravity at his surface is only one-half of what it is here. Then, as to his physical condition, so far as we can determine it, astronomers discern in his face \* the outlines of continents and The ruddy colour by which he is distinguished, the red and flery aspect which he prosents, arise, they think, from the colour of the land, while the seas appear greenish. often seem to intercept the astronomer's view of the globe, which with its continents and occars thus revolves under his eye; and that there is an atmosphere on which such clouds may float, anpears to be further proved, by brilliant white spots

<sup>\*</sup> Herschel, 510.

at the poles of the planet, which are conjectured to be snow; for they disappear when they have been long exposed to the sun, and are greatest when just emerging from the long night of their polar winter; the snow-line then extending to about six degrees (reckened upon the meridian of the planet) from the pole. Moreover, Mars agrees with the earth, in the period of his rotation; which is about 24 hours; and in having his axis inclined to his orbit, so as to produce a cycle of long and short days and nights, a return of summer and winter, in every revolution of the planet.

26 We have here a number of circumstances which speak far more persuasively for a similarity of condition, in this planet and the Earth, than in any of the cases previously discussed. It is true, Mars is much smaller than the earth, and has not been judged worthy of the attendance of a satellite, although further from the Sun: but still, he may have been judged worthy of inhabitants by his Creator. Perhaps we are not quite certain about the existence of an atmosphere; and without such an appendage, we can hardly accord him tenants. But if he have inhabitants. let us consider of what kind they must be conocived to be, according to any judgment which we can form. The force of his gravity is so small. that we may allow his animals to be large, without foaring that they will break down by their own weight. In a planet so dense, they may very likely have solid akeletons. The ice about his poles will cumber the seas, cold even for the want of solar heat, as it does in our arctic and antarctic occans; and we may easily imagine that these seas are tenanted, like these, by huge aquatic animals of the nature of whales and seals, and by other

croatures which the existence of these requires and implies. Or rather, since, as we have said, we must suppose the population of other planets to be more different from our existing population, than the population of other ages of our own planet, we may suppose the population of the sons and of the land of Mars, (if there be any, and if we are not carrying it too high in the scale of vital activity,) to differ from any terrestrial animals, in something of the same way in which the great land and sea saurians, or the iguanceion and dinotherium, differed from the animals which now live on the earth.

27 That we need not discuss the question, whether there are intelligent beings living on the surface of Mars, till we have some better evidence that there are living things there at all, perhaps the reader will allow, if he calls to mind the immense proportion which, on the earth, far better fitted for the habitation of the only intelligent creature which we know or can conceive, the duration of unintelligent life has borne to that of intelligent. Here, on this Earth, a few thousand years ago, began the life of a creature who can ancoulate about the past and the future, the near and the absent, the Universe and its Maker, duty and immortality. This began a few thousand years ago, after ages and myriads of ages, after immense varioties of lives and generations, of corals and mollusks, saurians, iguanodons, and dinotheriums. No doubt the Creator might place an intelligent creature upon a planet, without all this preparation, all this proliminary life. He has not chosen to do so on the earth, as we know; and that is by much the best evidence attainable by us, of what His nurposes are. It is also possible that

He should, on another planet, have established creatures of the nature of corals and mollusks, saurians and iguanodous, without having yet arrived at the period of intelligent creatures: especially if that other planet have longer years, a colder climate, a smaller mass, and perhaps no atmosphere. It is also possible that He should have put that smaller planet near the Earth, resembling it in some respects, as the Moon does, but without any inhabitants, as she has none; and that Mars may be such a planet. The probability against such a belief can hardly be considered to be strong, if the arguments already offered be regarded as effective against the opinion of inhabitants in the other planets, and in the Moon.

28 The numerous tribe of small bodies, which royolye between Jupiter and Mars, do not admit of much of the kind of reasoning, which we have applied to the larger planets. They have, with perhaps one exception (Vesta), no disk of visible magnitude; they are more dots, and we do not even know that their form is spherical. The near coincidence of their orbits has suggested, to astronomers, the conjecture that they have resulted from the explosion of a larger body, and from its fracture into fragments. Perhaps the general phenomone of the universe suggest rather the notion of a collapse of portions of sidercal matter, than of a sudden disruption and dispersion of any portion of it; and these small bodies may be the results of some imperfectly effected concentration of the elements of our system; which, if it had gone on more completely and regularly, might have produced another planet, like Mars or Yonus. Perhaps they are only the larger masses, among a great number of smaller ones, resulting from

such a process; and it is very conceivable, that the meteoric stones which, from time to time, have fallon upon the earth's surface, are other results of the like process:—bits of planets which have failed in the making, and lost their way, till arrested by the resistance of the earth's atmosphere. A remarkable circumstance in these bodies is, that though thus coming apparently from some remote part of the system, they contain no elements but such as had already been found to exist in the mass of the earth; although some substances, as nickel and ohromo, which are somewhat rare in the earth's materials, are common parts of the composition of meteoric stones. Also they are of crystalline structure, and exhibit some peculiarities in their orystallization. Such as these strange visitors are, they seem to show that the other parts of the solar system contain the same elementary substances, and are subject to the same laws of chamical synthesis and crystalline force, which obtain in the terrestrial region. The smallness of these specimens is a necessary condition of their reaching us; for if they had been more massive, they would have followed out the path of their orbits round the sun, however excentric these might be. The great excentricity of the smaller planets, their great deviation from the zodincal path, which is the highway of the large planets, their great number, probably by no means yet exhausted by the discoveries of astronomers; all fall in with the supposition that there are, in the solar system, a vast multitude of such abnormal planetoidal lumps. As I have said, we do not even know that they are approximately spherical; and if they are of the nature of meteoric stones, they are mere orude and irregularly orystallized masses of metal and earth. It will therefore, probably, be deemed unnecessary to give other reasons why these planetoids are not inhabited. But if it be granted that they are not, we have here, in addition to the moon, a large array of examples, to prove how baseless is the assumption, that all the bodies of the solar system are the seats of life.

20 We have thus performed our journey from the extremest verge of the Universe, so far as we have any knowledge of it, to the orbit of our own planet; and have found, till we came into our own most immediate vicinity, strong reasons for rejecting the assumption of inhabited workle like our own; and indeed, of the habitation of worlds in any sonse. And even if Mars, in his present condition, may be some image of the Earth, in some of its remote geological periods, it is at least equally possible that he may be an image of the Earth, in the still remoter geological period before life bogan. Of peculiar fitnesses which make the earth suited to the sustentation of life, as we know that it is, we shall speak hereafter: and at present, pass on to the other planets, Yenus and Mercury. But of these, there is, in our point of view, very little to say. Venus, which, when nearest to us, fills a larger angle than any other celestial body, execut the Sun and the Moon, might be expected to be the one of which we know most. Yet she is really one of the most difficult to scrutinize with our telescopes. Astronomers cannot discover in her, as in Mars, any traces of continents and seas, mountains and vallies; at least with any certainty.

<sup>\*</sup> According to Remai, Schroder over any one bright point on the dark ground, near the boundary of light in Youns. This was taken as proving a mountain, entimated at 60,000 feet high. Pop. Varies. p. 86.

Her Illuminated part shines with an intense lustre which dazzles the sight +: yet she is of herself perfootly dark; and it was the discovery that she presented the phases of the Moon, made by the telescope of Galileo, which gave the first impulse to planetary research. She is almost as large as the earth; almost as heavy. The light and heat which she receives from the 8m must be about double those which come to the earth. We discern no traces of a gaseous or watery atmosphere surrounding hor. Porhaps if we could see her better. we might find that she had a surface like the moon: or parhaps, in the nearer neighbourhood of the sun, she may have cooled more slowly and quietly, like glass which is annealed in the fire; and honce, may have a smooth surface, instead of the farrowed and pimpled visage which the Moon presents to us. With this ignorance of her conditions, it is hard to say what kind of animals we could place in her, if we were disposed to neonle her surface; except perhaps the microscopic creatures, with silicoous coverings, which, as modern explorers assert, are almost indestructible by heat. To bollove that she has a surface like the carth. and tribes of animals, like terrestrial animals, and like man, is an exercise of imagination, which not only is quite gratuitous, but contrary to all the information which the telescope gives us; and with this romark, we may dismiss the hypothesis.

30 Of Moroury we know still less. He receives seven times as much light and heat as the Barth; is much smaller than the earth, but perlaps more dense; and has not, so far as we can tell, any of the conditions which make animal existence conceivable. If it is so difficult to find

<sup>\*</sup> Herschel, 509.

suitable inhabitants for Venus, the difficulty for Mercury is immensely greater.

31 So far then, we have traversed the Solar System, and have found even here, the strongest grounds that there can be no animal existence. like that which alone we can conceive as animal existence, except in the planet next beyond the earth, Mars; and there, not without great modifications. But we may make some further remarks on the condition of the several planets, with regard to what appears to us to be the necessary elements of animal life.

## CHAPTER X.

## Theory of the Solar System.

1 WE have given our views respecting the various planets which constitute the Solar System:-views established, it would seem, by all that we know, of the laws of heat and moisture. density and attraction, organization and life. We have examined and reasoned upon the cases of the different planets separately. But it may serve to confirm our argument and to establish it in the reader's mind, if we give a description of the system which shall combine and connect the views which we have presented, of the constitution and populiarities, as to physical circumstances, of each of the planets. It will help us in our speculations, if we can regard the planets not only as a collection, but as a scheme ;-if we can give, not an onumeration only, but a theory. Now such a scheme, such a theory, appears to offer itself to us.

2 The planets exterior to Mars, Jupiter and Saturn especially, as the best known of them, appear, by the best judgment which we can form, to be spheres of water, and of aqueous vapour, combined, it may be, with atmospheric air, in which their cloudy belts float over their deep occurs. Mars seems to have some portion at least of aqueous atmosphere; the Earth, we know, has a considerable atmosphere of air, and of vapour; but the Moon, so near to her mistress, has none. On Venus and Mercury, we see nothing of a gaseous or aqueous atmosphere; and they, and Mars, do

not differ much in their density from the Earth. Now does not this look as if the water and the vapour, which belong to the solar system, were driven off into the outer regions of its vast circuit: while the solid masses which are nearest to the focus of heat, are all approximately of the same nature? And if this be so, what is the poculiar physical condition which we are led to ascribe to the Earth? Plainly this: that she is situated just in that region of the system, where the existence of matter, both in a solid, a fluid, and a guscous condition, is possible. Outside the Earth's orbit, or at least outside Mars and the small Planetolds, there is, in the planets, apparently, no solid matter; or rather, if there be, there is a vast prependerance of watery and vanorous matter. Inside the Larth's orbit, we see, in the planets, no traces of water or vapour, or gas; but solid matter, about the density of terrestrial matter. The Earth, alone. is placed at the border where the conditions of life are combined;—ground to stand upon; air to breathe; water to nourish vegetables, and thus, animals; and solid matter to supply the materials for their more solid parts: and with this, a due supply of light and hoat, a due energy of the force of weight. All those conditions are, in our conception, requisite for life: that all these conditions most alsowhere than in the neighbourhood of the Earth's orbit, we see strong reasons to disbelieve. The Earth, then, it would seem, is the abode of life, not because all the globes which revolve round the Sun may be assumed to be the abodes of life; but because the Earth is fitted to be so, by a curious and complex combination of properties and relations, which do not at all apply to the others, That the Earth is inhabited, is not a reason for

believing that the other Planets are so, but for believing that they are not so.

- 3 Can we see any physical reason, for the fact which appears to us so probable, that all the water and vapour of the system is gathered in its oniward parts? It would seem that we can Water and aqueous vapour are driven from the Sun to the outer parts of the solar system, or are allowed to be permanent there only, as they are driven off and retained at a distance by any other source of heat:-to use a homely illustration, as they are driven from wet objects placed near the kitchen-fire; as they are driven from the hot sands of Egypt into the upper air; as they are driven from the tropics to the poles. In this latter case, and generally, in all cases, in which vapour is thus driven from a hotter region, when it comes into a colder, it may again be condensed in water, and fall in rain. So the cold of the air in the temperate sone condenses the aqueous vapours which flow from the tropics; and thus, we have our clouds and our showers. And as there is this rainy region, indistinctly defined, between the torrid and the frigid sones on the earth: so is there a region of clouds and rain, of air and water, much more precisely defined, in the solar system, between the central torrid zone and the external frigid zone which surrounds the Sun at a greater distance.
  - 4 The Earth's Orbit is the Temperate Zone of the Solar System. In that Zone only is the play of Hot and Cold, of Moist and Dry, possible. The Torrid Zone of the Earth is not free from moisture; it has its rains, for it has its upper colder atmosphere. But how much hotter are Venus and Mercury than the Torrid Zone? There, no vapours can linger; they are expelled by the fleroe solar

onergy; and there is no cool stratum to catch them and return them. If any aqueous vapours were there, they must fly to the outer regions: to the cold abodes of Jupiter and Saturn, if on their way, the Earth did not with cold and airy finger outstrotolicd afar, catch a fow drops of their treasures. for the use of plant, and beast, and man, solid stone only, and the metallic ore which can be fused and solidified with little loss of substance. can bear the continual force of the near solar fire. and be the material of permanent solid planets in that region. But the lave povement of the Inner Planets bears no superstructure of life; for all life would be secrebed away along with water, its first element. On the Earth first, can this superstructure be raised; and there, through we know not what gradation of forms, the waters were made to bring forth abundantly things that had life; plants, and animals nourished by plants, and conspiring with them, to feed on their respective appointed elements, in the air which surrounded them. And so, nourished by the influences of air and water, plants and animals lived and died, and were entombed in the scourings of the land, which the descending streams carried to the bottom of the waters. And then, these beds of dead generations were raised into mountain-ranges; perhaps by the yet unextinguished forces of subterraneous fires, And then a new creation of plants and animals succooled; still living under the festering influence of the united pair, Air and Water, which never coased to brood over the World of Life, their Nursoling: and then, perhaps, a new change of the limits of land and water, and a new ereation ogain; till at last, Man was placed upon the Earth; with far higher powers, and far different purposes, from any of the preceding tribes of oreatures: and with this, for one of his offices;—that there might be an intelligent being to learn how wonderfully the scheme of creation had been carried on, and to admire, and to worship the Creator.

But we have a few more remarks to make on the structure of the Solar System, in this point of view. When we say that the water and vapour of the System were driven to the outer parts, or retained there, by the central heat of the Sun, perhaps it might be supposed to be most simple and natural, that the aqueous vapour, and the water, should assume its place in a distinct circle. or rather a spherical shell, of which the Sun was the center; thus making an elemental sphere about the center, such as the angients imagined in their schemes of the Universe. Nor will we venture to say that such an arrangement of elements might not be though perhaps it night be shown that no stable equilibrium of the system would be, in this way, mochanically possible. But this at least we may say; that a rotatory motion of all the parts of the universe appears to be a universal law provalent in it, so far as our observation can reach; and that, by such rotation of the separate masses, the whole is put in a condition which is everywhere one of stable equilibrium, It was, then, agreeable to the general scheme, that the excess of water and vapour, which must necessarily be carried away, or stored up, in the outer rogions of the System, should be put into shapes in which it should have a permanent place and And thus, it is suitable to the general economy of creation, that this water and vapour should be packed into rotating masses, such as are Jupiter and Saturn, Uranus and Newtone,

When once collected in such rotating masses, the attraction of its parts would gather it into soheroldal forms; oblate by the affect of rotation, as Jupiter, or perhaps into annular forms, like the Ring of Saturn\*; for such also is a mechanically possible form of equilibrium, for a fluid mass. And those spheroids once formed, the water would form a central nucleus, over which would hang a cover of vapour, raised by the evaporating power of the Sun, and forming clouds, where the rarity of the upper strata of vapour allowed the cold of the external space to act; and those clouds, spun into bolts by the rotation of the sphere. And thus, the vapour, which would otherwise have wandered loose about the atmosphere, was neatly wound into balls; which, again, were kept in their due place, by being made to revolve in nearly circular orbits about the Sun.

And thus, according to our view, water and gases, clouds and vapours, form mainly the planets in the outer part of the solar system; while masses such as result from the fusion of the most solid materials, lie nearer the sun, and are found principally within the orbit of Juniter+. To conceive planetary systems as formed by the gradual contraction of a nobular mass, and by the solidification of some of its parts, is a favourite notion of several speculators. If we adopt this notion, we shall, I think, find additional proofs in favour of our view of the system. For, in the first place, we have the sodincal light, a nobulous appendage to the Sun,

<sup>\*</sup> Other speculatous also have regarded Saturn's Ring as a

ring of cloud or water. Hen Cames, 111, 527 and 553.

† Humboldt has already remarked (Comes, 1. 95, and 111, 427), that the inner planets as for as Mars, and the outer come beginning with Jupiter, form two groups having different proporties. Also Knoko. (See Humbold's Mote.)

as Horsehol conceives, extending beyond the orbits of Moroury and Venus. These planets, then, have not yet fully emerged from the atmosphere in which they had their origin: - the mother-light and motherfire, in which they began to crystallize, as crystals do in their mother-water. Though they are already opaque, they are still immersed in luminous vapour: and bearing such traces of their chaotic state being not yet ended, we need not wonder, if we find in them no evidence of their having inhabitants, and some evidence to the contrary. They are within a nebular region, which may easily be conceived to be uninhabitable. And where this nobular region, marked by the sodiacal light, terminates, the world of life begins, namely at the Carth.

But further, outside this region of the 7 Earth, what do we find in the solar system? Of solid matter, if our views are right, we find nothing but an immense number of small bodies; namely first, Mars, who, as we have said, is only about one eighth the earth in mass: the twenty-nine small Planatolds, (or whatever number may have been discovered when these pages meet the reader's eye\*,) between Mars and Jupiter; the four satellites of Jupiter: the eight satellites of Saturn; the six (if that be the true number,) satellites of Uranus; and the one satellite of Noptune, already detected. It is vory remarkable, that all this array of small bodies bogins to be found just outside the Earth's orbit. Supposing, as we have found so much reason to suppose, that Jupiter, and the other exterior planets, are not solid bodies. but masses of water and of vapour: the existence of areat solid planetary masses, such as exist in

<sup>\*</sup> Printed June 23, 1854.

the region of the Earth's orbit, is succeeded externally by the existence of a vast number of smaller bodies. The real quantity of matter in those smaller bodies we cannot in general determine. Porhaus the largest of them, (after Mars,) may be Juniter's third satellite; which \* is reckoned. by Laplace, to have a mass loss than 1-10,000th of that of Junitar himself; and thus, since Juniter. as we have seen, has a mass 338 times that of the Earth, the satellite would be above 1-30th of the Rarth's masst. That none but masses of this size. and many far bolow this, are found outside of Mars, appears to indicate, that the planet-making nowers which were efficacious to this distance from the sun, and which produced the great globe of the Earth, were, beyond this point, feebler; so that they could only give birth to smaller masses; to planetolds, to satellites, and to metoorle stones. Perhaps we may describe this want of energy in the planet-making power, by saying, that at so great a distance from the control fire, there was not heat enough to melt together these smaller fragments into a larger globot; or rather, when they existed in a nebular, perhaps in a gaseous state, that there was not heat enough to keen thom in that state, till the attraction of the parts of all of them had drawn them into one mass, which might afterwards solidify into a single globe. The tendency of nebular matter to separate into

\* Herschel, 640.
† It is probable, from the small density of Jupiter's satellites, that they also consist in a great measure of water and vapour. Only one of them is denser than Jupiter binself.—Concer.

It has, in our own day, even in the present your, been regarded as a great achievement of man to threat the flory influences which he can command, so as to cast a colonal statue in a single piece, instead of casting it in several portions.

distinct portions, which may afterwards be more and more detached from each other, so as to break the nebulous light into patches and specks. appears to be seen in the structure of the resolvable nebules as we have already had occasion to notice. And according to the view we are now taking, we may conceive such patches, by further cooling and concentration, to remain luminous as comets, and perhaps shooting stars; or to bocome opaque as planets, planetoids, satellites, or meteorie stones. And here we may call to mind what we linve already said, that the meteoric stones consist of the same elements as those of the earth, combined by the same laws; and thus appear to bring us a message from the other solid planets, that they plso have the same elements and the same chemical forces as the earth has.

8 It has already been supposed, by many astronomers, that shooting stars, and meteoric stones, are bodies of connected nature and origin; and that they are cosmical, not terrestrial bodies :parts of the solar system, not merely appendages to the earth. It has been conceived, that the luminous masses, which appear as shooting stors. when they are without the sphere of torrestrial influences, may, when they reach our atmosphere, collapse into such solid lumps as have from time to time fallen upon the earth's surface: many of them, with such sudden manifestations of light and heat, as implied some rapid change taking place in their chemical constitution and consis-If shooting stars are of this nature, then, in those cases in which a great number of them appear in close succession, we have ovidence that there is a region in which there is a large collection of matter of a nebulous kind, collected already into small clouds, and ready, by any additional touch of the powers that hover round the earth. to be further consolidated into planetary matter. That the carth's orbit carries her through such ragions, in her annual course, we have evidence. in the ourlous fact, now so repeatedly observed. of showers of shooting stars, seen at particular scasons of every year; ospecially about the 18th of November, and the 10th of August. phenomenon has been held, most reasonably, to imply that at those periods of the year, the earth passes through a growd of such meteor-planets. which form a ring round the sun; and rovolving round him, like the other planets, retain their place in the system from year to year . It may be that the orbits of these meteor-planets are very elliptical. That they are to a cortain extent olliptical, appears to be shown, by our falling in with them only once a year, not every half year, as we should do, if their orbit, being nearly circular, mot the carth's orbit in two opposite points. That the shooting stars, thus seen in great numbers when the earth is at certain points of her orbit, are really planetoidal bodies, appears to be further proved by this;—that they all seem to move nearly in the same direction t. each of them, visible for a short time only, (indeed commonly only for a few seconds,) while they are nearest the earth; much in the same way in which a compt is visible only for a small portion of its path; and this portion is described in a short time, because they move near the earth, They are so small that a little change of distance removes them beyond our vision.

O Perhaps these revolving speeks of nebulae

<sup>\*</sup> Humshel, 900---005.

<sup>†</sup> Ilid. gor.

are the outriders of the modiacal light; portions of it, which, being external to the permanently nebulous central mass, have broken into patches, and are seen as stars for the moment that we are near to them. And if this be true, we have to correct, in a certain way, what we have previously said of the mediacal light;—that no one had thought of resolving it into stars: for it would thus appear, that in its outer region, it resolves itself into stars, visible, though but for a moment, to the naked eye.

10 And thus, all those phenomena concur in making it appear probable, that the Rarth is placed in that region of the solar system in which the planet-forming powers are most vigorous and potent;-between the region of permanent nebalous vapour within its orbit, and the region of mere shreds and speeks of planetary matter, such as are the satellites and the planetoidal group in the outer region. And from these views, finally, it follows, that the Earth is really the largest planetary body in the Solar System. The yest globes of Juniter and Saturn. Uranus and Neptune. which roll far above her, are still only huge masses of cloud and vapour, water and air; which, from their enormous size, are ponderous enough to retain round them a body of small satellites, per-haps, in some degree at least, solid; and which have perhaps a small similar lump, or a few similar lumps, of planetary matter at the center of their watery globe. The Earth is really the domestic hearth of this Solar System; adjusted between the hot and flery haze on one side, the cold and watery vapour on the other. This region only is fit to be a domestic hearth, a seat of habitation; and in this region is placed the largest solid globe of our system; and on this globe, by a series of creative operations, cuttrely different from any of those which separated the solid from the vaporous, the cold from the hot, the moist from the dry, have been established, in succession, plants, and animals, and man. So that the habitation has been occupied; the domestic hearth has been surrounded by its family; the fitnesses so wonderfully combined have been employed; and the Earth alone, of all the parts of the frame which revolves round the Sun, has become a World.

11 Perhaps it may tend still further to illustrate, and to fix in the reader's mind, the view of the constitution of the solar system here given, if we remark an analogy which exists, in this respect, between the Earth in particular, and the Solar System in general. The earth, like the central parts of the system, is warmed by the sun; and hence, drives off watery vapours into the circumambient space, where they are condensed by the cold. The upper regions of the atmosphere, like the outer regions of the solar system, form the vapours, thus raised, into clouds, which are really only water in minute drops; while in the solar system, the cold of the outer regions, and the rotation of the masses themselves, mulutain the water, and the vapour, in immense apheres. Jupiter and Saturn may be regarded as, in many respects, immense clouds; the continuous water being collected at their centure, while the more alry and looser parts circulate above. They are the permanent recopiacies of the superfluous water and air of the system. What is not wanted on the Earth, is stored up there, and hange above us. far removed from our atmosphere: but yet, like the clouds in our atmosphere, an example,

what glorious objects accumulations of vapour and water, illuminated by the rays of the sun, may become in our eyes.

12 Those views are so different from these hitherto generally entertained, and considered as having a sort of religious dignity belonging to thom, that we may fear, at first at least, they will appear to many, rash and fanciful, and almost. as we have said, irreverent. On the question of reverence, we may hereafter say a few words; but as to the rashness of these views, we would bog the reader, calmly and dispassionately, to consider the very extraordinary number of points in the solar system, hitherto unexplained, which they account for, or, at least reduce into consistency and connexion, in a manner which seems wonderful. The Theory, as we may perhaps venture to call it, brings together all those known phenomena:the great size and small density of the exterior planots :- their bolts and streaks :- Saturn's ring :-Jupiter's oblateness -the great number of satellites of the exterior planets :- the numerous group of planetoid bodies between Jupiter and Mars:the appearance of definite shapes of land and water on Mars :- the showers of shooting stars which appear at certain periods of the year:-the Zodiacal Light; the appearance of Venus as difforent from Mars :- and finally, the material composition of metooric stones.

13 Perhaps there are other phenomena which more readily find an explanation in this theory, than in any other: for instance, the recent discovery of a dim half-transparent ring, as an appendage to the luminous ring of Saturn, which has hitherto alone been observed. Perhaps this is the ring of vapour which may naturally be expected to

accompany the ring of water. It is the annular atmosphere of the aqueous annulus. But the discovery of this faint ring being so new, and hitherto not fully unfolded, we shall not further press the argument, which, hereafter, perhaps, may be more confidently derived from its existence.

14 There are some other facts in the Solar System, which, we can hardly doubt, must have a bearing upon the views which we have urged; though we cannot yot undertake to explain that bearing fully. Not only do all the planetary bodies of the solar system, as well as the Sun himself. revolve upon their axes: but there is a very ourious fact relative to these revolutions, which appears to point out a further connexion among them. So far as has yet been ascertained, all those which we, in our theory, regard as solid bodies. Moreury. Venus, the Earth, and Mars, revolve in very nearly the same time; namely, in about twenty-four hours. All those larger musses, on the other hand, which we, in our theory, hold to be watery planets, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, rovolvo, not in a longer time, as would perhaps have been expected, from their greater size, but in a shorter time; in less than half the time; in about ten hours. The near agreement of the times of revolution in each of these two groups, is an extremely emious fact; and cannot fail to lead our thoughts to the probability of some common original cause of those motions. But no such common cause has been suggested, by any speculator on those subjects. If, in this blank, even of hypotheses, one might be admitted, as at least a mode of connecting the facts, we might say, that the compound collection of solid materials, water, and air, of which the solar system consists, and of which our earth alone

perhaps, retains the combination, being, by whatever means, set a spinning round an axis, at the rate of one revolution in 24 hours, the solid masses which were detached from it, not being liable to much contraction, retained their rate of revolution; while the vaporous masses which were detached from the fluid and airy part, contracting much, when they came into a colder region, inground their rate of revolution on account of their contraction. That such an accoluration of the rate of revolution would be the result of contraction, is known from mechanical principles; and indeed, is evident: for the contraction of a circular ring of such matter into a narrower compass, would not diminish the linear velocity of its cloments, while it would give them a smaller path to describe in their revolutions. Such an hypothesis would account, therefore, both for the nearly equal times of revolution of all the solid planets. and for the smaller period of rotation, which the larger planets show.

15 In what manner, however, portions are to be detached from such a rotating mass, so as to form solid planets on the one side, and watery planets on the other, and how those planets, so detached, are to be made to revolve round the Sun, in orbits nearly circular, we have no hypothesis ready to explain. And perhaps we may say, that no satisfactory, or even plausible, hypothesis to explain these facts, has been proposed; for the Nebular Hypothesis, the only one which is likely to be considered as worthy any notice on this subject, is too imperfectly worked out, as yet, to enable us to know, what it will or will not account for. According to that hypothesis, the nebular matter of a system, having originally a rotatory

motion, gradually contracts; and separating, at various distances from the center, forms rings; which again, breaking at some point of their circumference, are, by the mutual attraction of their parts, gathered up into one mass; which mass, when cooled down, so as to be opaque, becomes a planet; still revolving round the luminous mass which remains at the center. That such a process, if we suppose the consistency, and other properties, of the nebulous matter to be such as to render it possible, would produce planetary masses revolving round a sun in nearly circular orbits, and rotating about their own axes, seems most likely; though it does not appear that it has been very clearly shown. But no successful

Thesides the curious relation of the times of retation of the planets, just noticed, there is another curious relation, of their distance from the Sun, which any one, wishing to frame an hypothesis on the origin of our Solar System, eaght by all means to try to account for.

The distance from the Sun, of the planets, Moreury, Venus, Earth, Mars, the Planetokia, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranes, are nearly

as the munbers,

4, 7, to, 16, 48, 52, 100, 196 now the executes of each of these numbers along the first are,

3, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96.

a scales in which each turn (after the first,) is double of the preceding one. Hence, the distances of the planets conform to a series following this law, (Toole's law, as it is termed.) And though the law is by no means exact, yet it was so far considered a probable expression of a general fact, that the deviation from this law, in the interval between Alans and Jupiter, was the principal cause which led first to the suspident of a planet interposed in the scenningly vacant space; and thus led to the discovery of the planetakin, which really occupy that region. It is term, that the law is found not to hold, in the case of the nowly-discovered planet Northme; for his distance from the Fun, which according to this haw, should be 388, is really only 500, 50 times the Earth's distance, instead of 50 times. Still, blode's law has a comprehensive approximate reality in the Sohr System, sufficient to make it a strong recommendation of any hypothesis of the origin of the system, that it shall account for this law. This, however, the nobular hypothesis does not.

attempt has been made to deduce any laws of the distances from the center, times of rotation, or other properties of such planets; and therefore, we cannot say that the nebular hypothesis is yet

in any degree confirmed.

16 The Theory which we have ventured to propose, of the Solar System, agrees with the Nebular Hypothesis, so far as that hypothesis applies to the Solar System\*: if we suppose that there is. at the center of the exterior planets, Jupitor, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptuno, a solid nuclous, probably small, of the same nature as the other planets. Such an addition to our theory is, perhaps, on all accounts. probable: for that circumstance would seem to determine, to particular points, the accumulation of water and vapours, to which we hold that those planets owe the greater part of their bulk. These planets then, Jupiter, Saturn, and the others, are roally small solid planets, with onormous occans and atmospheres. The Nebular Hypothesis, in that case, contains that part of our Hypothesia, which relates to the condensation of luminous nebular matter: while we consider, further, the enuses which, scorching the inner planets, and driving the vapours to the outer orbs, would make the region of the earth the only habitable part of the system,

17 The bolief that other planets, as well as

<sup>\*</sup> If we allow correlves to speculate at all, on physical grounds, respecting the origin of the Earth; the hypothesis that it has peased through a field and a gaseous condition, does not appear to be more extravegant than any other cosmogonical hypothesis; not area if we suppose that the other boiles of the Holes System have shared in the like changes. But that all the stars and the Nobelto have gone or are going through a sories of changes such as those by which the Holes Hystem has been formed when the Nobelto have gone or are going through a sories of changes such as those by which the Holes Hystem has been formed which Robular Hypothesis as it applies to the Universe in general, —is precisely the deciring which There reject, giving my reasons.

our own, are the seats of habitation of living things, has been entertained, in general, not in consequence of physical reasons, but in spite of physical reasons; and because there were conactived to be other reasons, of another kind, theological or philosophical, for such a belief. It was hold that Venus, or that Saturn, was inhabited, not because any one could devise, with any degree of probability, any organized structure which would be sultable to animal existence on the surfaces of those planets; but because it was concolved that the greatness or goodness of the Creator, or His wisdom, or some other of His attributes, would be manifestly imperfect, if these planets were not tenanted by living creatures. The evidences of design, of which we can trace so many and such striking examples, in our own aphere, the sphere of life, must, it was assumed, exist, in the like form, in every other part of the universe. The disposition to regard the Universe in this point of view, is yory general; the disinclination to accopt any change in our belief which seems for a time, to interfere with this view, is very strong; and the attempt to establish the necessity of new views discrepant from these has, in many eyes, an appearance as if it were unfriendly to the best established dectrines of Natural Theology. All these apprehensions will, we trust, be shown, in the nequel, to be utterly unfounded; and in order that any such repugnance to the decirines here urged, may not linger in the reader's mind, we shall next proceed to centemplate the phenomena of the universe in their bearing upon such speculations.

## CHAPTER XL

## The Argument from Design.

1 THERE is no more worthy or suitable employment of the human mind, than to trace the ovidences of Design and Purpose in the Creator, which are visible in many parts of the Creation. The conviction thus obtained, that man was formed by the wisdom, and is governed by the providence, of an intelligent and benevolent Being, is the basis of Natural Religion, and thus, of all Religion. We trust that some now lights will be thrown upon the traces of Design which the Universe offers, even in the work now before the reader; and as our views, regarding the plan of such Design, are different, in some respects, and especially as relates to the Planets and Stars, from those which have of late been generally entertained, it will be proper to make some general remarks, mainly tending to show, that the argument romains undisturbed, though the physical theory is changed.

2 It cannot surprise any one who has attended to the history of science, to find that the views, even of the most philosophical minds, with regard to the plan of the universe, alter, as man advances from falsehood to truth; or rather, from very imperfect truth to truth less imperfect. But yet such a one will not be disposed to look, with any other feeling than profound respect, upon the reasonings by which the wisest men of former times ascended from their erroneous views of nature to the truth of Natural Religion. It cannot seem strange to us

that man, at any point, and perhaps at every point. of his intellectual progress, should have an imperfeet insight into the plan of the Universe; but, in the most imperfect condition of such knowledge. he has light enough from it, to see vestiges of the Wisdom and Boncyolonco of the Creating Delty: and at the highest point of his scientific progress. he can probably discover little more, by the light which physical science supplies. We can hardly hope, therefore, that any new truths with regard to the material universe, which may now be attainable, will add very much to the evidence of creative design; but we may be confident, also, that they will not, when rightly understood, shake or weaken such evidence. It has indeed happened, in the history of mankind, that now views of the constitution of the universe, brought to light by scientific researches, and established beyond doubt, in the conviction of impartial persons, have disturbed the thoughts of religious men; because they did not full in with the opinion then entertained, of the mode in which God offocia his purposes in the universe. But in these cases, it soon came to be seen, after a season of controversy, represent, and alarm, that the old argument for design was capable of being translated into the language of the new theory, with no less of force; and the minds of men were gradually tranquillized and moified. It may be honed that the world is now so much wiser than it was two or three conturies ago, that if any modiffication of the current arguments for the Divine Attributes, drawn from the aspect of the universe, become necessary, in consequence of the restilention of received errors, it will take place without producing pain, fear, or anger. To promote this purpose, we proceed to make a few remarks.

3 The proof of design, as shown in the works of Creation, is seen most clearly, not in mere physical arrangements, but in the structure of organized things;-in the constitution of plants and animals. In those parts of nature, the evidences of intelligent purpose, of wise adaptation, of skilful selection of means to ends, of provident contrivance, are, in many instances, of the most striking kind. Such, for example, are the structure of the human eye, so curiously adapted for its office of scoing: the muscles, cords, and pullies by which the limbs of animals are moved, exceeding far the mechanical ingenuity shown in human inventions: the provisions which exist, before the birth of offspring, for its sustenance and woll-being when it shall have been born :- these are lucid and convincing proofs of an intelligent Creator, to which no ordinary mind can refuse its conviction. Nor is the evidence, which we here recognise, deprived of its force, when we see that many parts of the structure of animals, though adapted for particular purposes, are yet framed as a portion of a system which does not seem, in its general form, to have any bearing on such purposes. The beautiful contrivances which exist in the skeleton of man, and the contrivances, possessing the same kind of beauty, in the skeleton of a sparrow, do not appear to any reasonable person less beautiful, because the skeleton of a man, and of a sparrow, have an agreement, bone for bone, for which we see no reason, and which appears to us to answer no pur-

<sup>\*</sup> The greatest anatomists, and especially Mr Owen, have recently expressed their conviction, that researches on the structure of animals must be guided by the principle of unity of conposition as well as the principle of final causes. See Owen On the Nature of Links.

pose. The way in which the human hand and arm are made capable of their infinite variety of use, by the play of the radius and ulun, the bones of the wrist and the fingers, is not the less admirable, because we can trace the representatives and radiments of each of these bones, in cases where they answer no such ends:-in the foreleg of the pig, the ox, the horse, or the seal, provision for feeding the young creature, which is made, with such bountoons liberality, and such opportuno punotuality, by the breasts of the mother, has not any doubt thrown upon its reality, by the teats of male animals and the pape of man. which answer no such purpose. That in these cases there is manifested a wider plan, which does not show any reference to the needs of particular cascs; as well as populiar contrivances for the particular cases, does not disturb our impression of dosign in each case. Why should so large a portion of the animal kingdom, intended, as it seems, for such different fields of life and modes of living; -beasts, birds, fishes ;-still have a skeleton of the same plan, and even of the same parts, bone for bone; though many of the parts, in special cases, appear to be altogether useless (namely, the vertobrate plan)? We cannot tell, Our naturalists and comparative anatomists, it would seem, cannot point out any definite end, which is answered by making so many classes of animals on this one vertebrate plan. And since they cannot do this, and since we cannot tell why animals are so made, we must be content to say that we do not know; and therefore, to leave this feature in the structure of animals out of our argument for design, Honco we do not say that the making of beasts, birds. and fishes, on the same vertebrate plan, proves design in the Creator, in any way in which we can understand design. That plan is not of itself a proof of design; it is something in addition to the proofs of design; a general law of the animal croation, established, it may be, for some other reason. But this common plan being given, we can discern and admire, in every kind of animal, the manner in which the common plan is adapted to the particular purposes which the animal's kind of life involves\*. The general law is not all; there is also, in every instance, a special care for the species. The general law may seem, in many cases, to remove further from us the proof of providential oaro; by showing that the elements of the benevolent contrivance are not provided in the cases alone where they are needed, but in others also. But yet this seeming, this obscuration of the cvidence of design, by interposing the form of general law, cannot last long. If the general law supplies the elements, still a special adaptation is needed to make the elements answer such a purpose; and what is this adaptation, but design? The radius and ulns, the carpal and metacarpal bones, are all in the general type of the vertebrate skeleton, But does this fact make it the loss wonderful, that man's arm and hand and fingers should be constructed so that he can make and use the spade. the plough, the loom, the pen, the pencil, the chisel, the lute, the telescope, the microscope, and all other instruments? Is it not, rather, very wonderful that the bones which are to be found rudimentally, in the leg-bone of a horse, or the hoof of an ox, should be capable of such a ourious and fortile developement and modification? And is not

<sup>\*</sup> This has been tormed by physiologists The Law of the Development from the General to the Special,

such developement and modification a work, and a proof, of design and intention in the Creator? And so in other cases. The teats of male animals, the nipples of man, may arise from this, that the general plan of the animal frame includes pape, as portions of it; and that the frame is so far moulded in the embryo, before the sex of the offspring is determined. Be it so. Yet still this provision of paps in the animal form in general, has reference to offspring; and the developement of that part of the frame, when the sex is determined, is evidence of design, as clear as it is possible to conceive in the works of nature. The general law is moulded to the special purpose, at the proper stage; and this play of general laws, and special contrivances. into each other's provinces, though it may make the phenomena a little more complex, and modify our notion as to the mode of the Creator's working, will not, in philosophical minds, disturb the conviction that there is design in the special adaptations: besides which, some other feature of the oneration of the Creative Mind may be suggested by the prevalence of general laws in the Creation.

4 There is, however, one caution suggested by this view. Since, besides, and mixed with the examples of Design which the creation offers, there are also results of General Laws, in which we cannot trace the purpose and object of the law; we may fall into error, if we fasten upon something which is a result of such more general laws, and imagine that we can discern its object and purpose. Thus, for instance, we might possibly persuade ourselves that we had discovered the use and purpose of the teats of male animals; or of the trace of separation into parts which the leg-bone of a horse offers; or of the false toos of a pig; all

which are, as we have seen, the rudingents of a plan more general than is developed in the partioular caso. And if, whon we had made such a fanoled discovery, it were found that the truck and purposes which we had imagined to belong to these parts or features, were not really served by them; at first, perhaps, we might be somewhat disturbed, as having lost one of the evidences of the design of the Creator, all which are precious to a reverent mind. But it is not likely that any disturbance of a reverent mind on such grounds as this, would continue long, or go far. We should soon come to recollect, how light and procarious perhaps how arbitrary and ill-supported by our real knowledge, were the grounds on which we lind andened such uses to such parts. We should turn back from them to the more solid and certain ovidenoces, not shaken, nor likely to be shaken, by any change in prevalent zoological or anatomical clostrines, which those who love to contemplate such subjects habitually dwell upon; and, holding ourselves ready to entertain any speculations by which the bearing of those general Laws upon Natural Religion could be shown, in such a way as to convince our reason, we should rest in the confident and tranquil persuasion that no ancress or failure in such speculations could vitally affect our belief in a wise and benevolent Deity :-- that though additional illustrations of his attributes might be interesting and welcome, no change of our scientific point of view could make his being or action doubtful.

5 This is, it would seem, the manner in which a reasonable and reverent man would regard the proof of a Supreme Creator and Governor, which is derived from Design, as seen in the organic

creation; and the mode in which such proof would be affected by changes in the knowledge which we may acquire of the general laws by which the organic creation is constituted and governed. And hence, if it should be found to be established by the researches of the most comprehensive and exact philosophy, that there are, in any province of the universe, resemblances, gradations, general laws, indications of the mode in which one form approaches to another, and scome to pass into and gunarate another, which tend to obliterate distinctions that at first appeared broad and couspicuous: still the argument, from the design which appears in the parts of which we most clearly see the purpose, would not less its force. If, for instance, it should be made apparent, by geological investigations of the extinct fossil creation, that the animal forms which have inhabited the earth, have gradually approached to that type in which the human form is included; masting from the rudest and most imperfect animal organizations, mollusks, or even organic monads, to vertebrate animals, to warm-blooded animals, to monkeys, and to men; still, the evidences of design in the anatomy of man are not less striking than they were, when no such gradation was thought of. And what is more to the purpose of our argument, the cyldenoes of the populiar unture and destination of man, as shown in other characters than his anatomy.—his moral and intellectual nature, his history and capacities, -stand where they stood before; nor is the vast chasen which separates man, as a being with such characters as these latter, from all other animals, at all filled up or bridged over.

U The evidence of design in the inorganic

world,-in the relation of earth, air, water, heat and light,-is, to most persons, loss striking and impressive, than it is in the organic oreation. But even among these mere physical elements of the world, when we consider them with reference to living things, we find many arrangements which, on a reflective view, excite our admiration, by their boneficial effect, and seemingly beneficent purpose. Our condition is furnished with the solid earth, on which we stand, and in which we find the materials of man's handiworks, stone and metal, clay and sand:-with the atmosphere which we breathe. and which is the vehicle of oral intercourse between man and man :--with revolutions of the sun. by which are brought round the successions of day and night, through all their varying lengths. and of summer and winter : - with the clouds above us, which pour upon the earth their fortilizing showers. All this furniture of the earth. so marvollously adapting it for the abode of living creatures, and especially of man, may well be regarded as a collection of provisions for his benefit:—as intended to do him the good, which they do. Nor would this impression he removed. nor even weakened, if we were to discover that some of these arrangements, instead of being produced by a machinery confined to that single purpose, were only partial results of a more general plan. For instance; we learn that the varying lengths of days and nights through the year, and the varying declination of the sun, are produced, not, as was at first supposed, by the sun moving round the earth, in a complex diurnal and annual path, but by the earth revolving in an annual orbit round the sun; while at the same time she has a diurnal rotation about her own axis, which axis, by

the laws of mechanics, remains always parallel to When we learn that this is so, we see that the effect is produced by a mechanical arrangement far more simple than any which the imagination of man had devised; but in this case, the effect is plainly rather an increased admiration at the simplicity of the mechanism, than a wavering belief in the reality of the purpose. In like manner when, instead of supposing water to exist in a continuous reservoir in a firmament above the earth, and to fall in the earlier and in the latter rain, by some special agency for that purnoso: mon learnt to see that the water in the upper regions of the air must exist in clouds and in vapours only, and must fall in showers by the condensing influence of cold currents of air; they needed not to cease to admire the kindness of the Creator, in providing the rain to water the earth, and the wind to dry it; although the mechanism by which the effect was produced was of a larger kind than they had before imagined. And even if this mechanism extend through the solar system : if the arrangement by which the Earth's atmosphere is the special region in which there are winds hot and cold, clouds compact or dissolving. be an arrangement which extends its influence to other planets, as well as to ours :-- if this mixed atmosphere be placed, not only at the meeting point of clear actucous vapour above, and warmer airs below, but also at the meeting point of a hot central region surrounding the Sun, and a cold exterior zone in which water and vapour can exist in immense collected masses, such as are Juniter and Saturn :- still it would not appear, to a roasonable view, that this larger expansion of the machinery by which the effect is produced, makes

the machinery less remarkable; or can at all tend to diminish the belief that it was intended to produce the effect which it does produce. Het and cold, moist and dry, are constantly mixed together for the support of vegetable and animal life; and not the less so, if we believe that, though elements of this kind pervade the whole solar system, it is only at the Earth that they are combined so as to foster and neurish living things.

7 But it will perhaps be said, that to suppose the whole Solar System to be a machine merely operating for the benefit of the Earth and its population, is to give to the Earth and its population an importance in the scheme of creation which is quite extravagant and improbable:-it is to make the greater orbs, Jupiter and Saturn, minister to the less: instead of having their own purpose, and their own population, which their size naturally leads us to expect. To this we reply, that, in the first place, we have shown good reason for bolieving that the Earth is really the largest dense solid globe which exists in the solar system, and that the size of Jupiter and Saturn arises from their being composed mainly of water and vapour. And with regard to the difficulty of the greater ministering to the less;—if by greater, mere size and extent be understood, it appears to be the universal law of oreation, that the greater, in that sonso, should minister to the less, when the less includes living things. Even if the planets be all inhabited, the sun, which is greater far than all of them together, ministers light and host to all of them. Even on this supposition, the vast spaces by which the planets are separated have no use, that we can discern, except to place them at suitable distances from the sun. Evon on this

supposition, their solid globes within, their atmospheres without, are all merely subservient to the benefit of a thin and scattered stratum of population on the surface. The space occupied by man and animals on the carth's surface, even taking into account the highest buildings and the deenest seas, is only a few hundreds, or a thousand feet. The benefit of this minute shell, interrupted in many places for yest distances, everywhere locacly and sparely filled, is ministered to by the solidity and attraction of a mass below it 20 millions of feet deep; by the influence of an atmosphere above it 200 thousand feet high at least, and it may be, much more, And this being so, if we increase the depth of the center 20 thousand times; if we carry the extreme verge of air and vapour to thirty times the radius of the carth's orbit from us, how does the construction of the machine become more improbable, or the disproportion of its size to its purpose more incongruous? Is more elso,—extent of brute matter or blank space, so majestic a thing? Is not infinite space large enough to admit of machines of any size without grudging? But if we thus move the center of the Earth's peopled surface 20 thousand times further off, we reach the Sun. If we carry the limit of air and vapour to the distanco of 30 times the radius of the earth's orbit. we arrive at Neptune. Are these new numbers monstrous, while the old ones were accepted without scruple? Is number such an alarming feature in the description of the Universe? Does not the description of every part and every aspect of it, present as with numbers so large, that wonder and repugnance, on that ground, are long ago exhausted? Surely this is so; and if the evidence really tend to prove to us that all the solar system ministers to the earth's population; the more sixe of the system, compared with the space occupied by the population, will not long stand in the way of the reception of such a doctrine.

8 But the objection will perhaps be urged in another form. It will be said that the other Planets have so many points of resemblance with the Earth, that we must suppose their nature and purpose the same. They, like the Earth, revolve in circles round the sun, rotate on their own axes, have, several of them, satellites, are opaque bodies, deriving light and probably heat from the sun. To an external spectator of the Solar System. they would not be distinguishable from the Barth. Such a spectator would never be tempted to guess that the Earth alone, of all these, neither the greatest nor the least, neither the one with the most satellites, nor the fewest, neither the innermost nor the outermost of the planets, is the only one inhabited; or at any rate the only one inhabited by an intelligent population. And to this we reply; that the largest of the other planets, if we judge rightly, are not like the Earth in one most essential respect, their density; and none of them, in having a surface consisting of land and water; except perhaps Mars: that if the sunposed external spectator could see that this was so, he might see that the earth was different from the rest; and he might be able to see the vaporous nature of the outer planets, so that he would no more dream of peopling them, then we do, of poopling the grand Alpine ridges and vallies which we see in the clouds of a summer-sky.

9 But even if the supposed spectator attended only to the obvious and superficial resemblances

between one of the planets and another, he might still, if he were acquainted with the general economy of the Universe, have great hesitation in inferring that, if one of them were inhabited, the others also must be inhabited. For, as we have said, in the plan of creation, we have a profusion of examples, where similar visible structures do not answor a similar purpose; where, so far as we can see, the structure answers no nurpose in many onses, but exists, as we may say, for the sake of similarity: the similarity being a general Law, the result, it would seem, of a creative energy, which is wider in its operation than the particular pur-Such examples are, as we have said, the finger-bones which are packed into the hoofs of a horse, or the pape and pippies of a male animal. Now the spectator, recollecting such cases, might any: I know that the Earth is inhabited; no doubt Mars and Jupiter are a good deal like the Earth: but are they inhabited? They look like the terrestrial breast of Nature: but are they really nursing breasts? Do they, like that, give food to living offspring? Or are they mere images of such breasts? male teats, dry of all nutritive power? sports, or rather everworks of nature; marks of a wider law than the needs of Mother Rarth require? many sketches of a design, of which only one was to be executed? many specimens of the preparatory process of making a Planet, of which only one was to be carried out into the making Such questions might naturally of a World? occur to a person acquainted with the course of orention in general; oven before he remarked the features which tend to show that Juniter and Saturn, that Venus and Moreury, have not been developed into peopled worlds, like our Earth.

- 10 Perhaps it may be said, that to hold this, is to make Nature work in vain; to waste her powers; to suppose her to produce the framework, and not to build; to make the skeleton, and not to clothe it with living flesh; to delude us with appearances of analogy and promises of fertility, which are fallacious. What can we reply to this?
- 11 We roply, that to work in vain, in the sense of producing means of life which are not used. embryos which are never vivified, germs which are not developed, is so far from being contrary to the usual proceedings of nature, that it is an operation which is constantly going on, in every part of nature. Of the vegetable seeds which are produced, what an infinitely small proportion over grow into plants! Of animal ova, how exceedingly few become animals, in proportion to those that do not: and that are wasted, if this be waste! It is an old calculation, which used to be repeated as a wonderful thing, that a single famale fish contains in its body 200 millions of ovaand thus, might, of itself alone, replenish the seas. if all these ova were festored into life. But in truth, this, though it may excite wonder, cannot excite wonder as anything uncommon. It is only one example of what occurs everywhere. Every tree, every plant, produces innumerable flowers, the flowers innumerable seeds, which drop to the carth, or are carried abroad by the winds, and perish, without having their powers unfolded. When we see a field of thistles shed its downy seeds upon the wind, so that they roll away like a cloud, what a vast host of possible thistles are there! Yet very probably none of them become actual thistles. Few are able to take hold of the ground at all;

xL]

and those that do, die for lack of congonial nutriment, or are orushed by external causes before they are grown. The like is the case with every tribe of plants. The like with every tribe of animals. The possible fertility of some kinds of inscots is as portentous as anything of this kind can be. If allowed to proceed unchecked, if the possible life were not perpetually extinguished, the multiplying energies perpetually frustrated, they would gain dominion over the largest animals, and occupy the carth. And the same is the case, in different degrees, in the larger animals. The female is stocked with innumerable ovules, capable of becoming living things; of which incomparably the greatest number end as they began, mere ovnice: - marks of more possibility, of vitality frustrated. The universe is so full of such rudiments of things, that they far outnumber the things which outgrow their rudiments. The marks of possibility are much more numerous than the tale of actuality. The vitality which is flustrated is far more copious than the vitality which is consummated. So far, then, as this analogy goes, if the earth alone, of all the planetary harvest, has been a fertile seed of creation :- if the terrestrial embryo have alone been evolved into life, while all the other masses have remained barron and

<sup>\*</sup> From reader of physiological works knows how easy it would be to multiply examples of this kind to any extent. Thus wount no to manipay examinate on the same to any extens. There it is held by physiologists, that the sportles of fungi are universally diffused through the atmosphere, rendy to vegotate whenever an opportunity presents itself: and that a simple individual produces not less than ten millions of genera. It is held also that immunerable seeks of plants still capable of vegotation, lie in strain for below the centh's surface, include the occasion to a secondary only by the report and must expectate and comments. vegetate only by the rerest and most exceptional commonosa.-Chirpenter, Manual of Physiology, 1881, Art. 44.

dead :-we have, in this, nothing which we need regard as an unprecedented waste, an improbable prodigality, an unusual failure in the operations of nature; but on the contrary, such a single case of success, among many of failure, is exactly the order of nature in the production of life. It is unite agreeable to analogy, that the Solar System, of which the flowers are not many, should have borne but one fertile flower. One in eight, or in twice eight, regred into such wondrous fortility as belongs to the Earth, is an abundant produce, compared with the result in the most fortile provinces of Nature. And even if any number of the Pixed Sters were also found to be barren flowers of the sky; objects, however beautiful, yet not sources of life or development, we need not think the powers of creation wasted or frustrated, thrown away or perverted. One such fortile result as the Earth, with all its hosts of plants and animals, and concolally with Man, an intelligent being, to stand at the head of those hosts, is a worthy and sufficient produce, so far as we can judge of the Creator's wave by analogy, of all the Universal Sahama.

12 But when we follow this analogy, so far as to speak of the mere material mass of a planet as an embryo world;—a barren flower;—a soed which has never been developed into a plant;—we are in danger of allowing the analogy to mislead us. For a planet, as to its brute mass, has really nothing in common with a seed or an embryo. It has no organization, or tendency to organization; no principle of life, however obscure. So far as we can judge, no progress of time, or operation of mere natural influences, would olothe a brute mass with vegetables, or stock it with animals. No

species of living thing would have its place upon the surface, by the more order of unintelligent nature. So much is this so, according to all that our best knowledge teaches, that these geologists who must most have desired, for the sake of giving completeness and consistency to their systems, to make the production of vegetable and unimal species from bruto matter, a part of the order of nature, (inasmuch as they have explained everything else by the order of nature,) have not ventured to do so. They allow, governly at least, onch separate species to require a special act of creative power, to bring it into being. They make the peopling of the earth, with its successive races of inhabitants, a sories of events altogether difforent from the operation of physical laws in the sustentation of existing species. The creation of life is, they allow, something out of the range of the ordinary laws of nature. And therefore, when we speak of uninhabited planets, as cases in which vital tendoncies have been defeated; in which their apparent destiny, as worlds of life, has been frustrated; we really do injustice to our argument. The planets had no vital tendencies; they could have had such given, only by an additional act, or a series of additional nots, of Creative Power. As more inert globes, they lind no settled destiny to be seats of life: they could have such a destiny, only by the appointment of Ilim who creates living things, and puts them in the places which he chooses for them. If, when a planetary mass had come into being, (in virtue of the same general physical law, suppose, which produced the carth,) the Creator placed a host of living things upon the earth, and none upon the other planet; there was still no violation of analogy, no seeming change

of purpose, no unfinished plan. In the solar system, we can see what seem to be good reasons why he did this; but if we could not see such reasons, still we should be yet further from being able to see reasons why he necessarily must place inha-

bitants upon the other planet.

13 It is sometimes said, that it is agreeable to the goodness of God, that all parts of the eroation should swarm with life; that life is enjoyment; and that the benevolence of the Supreme Boing is shown in the diffusion of such enjoyment into every quarter of the universe. To leave a planot without inhabitants, would, it is thought, be to throw away an opportunity of producing happiness. Now we shall not here dwell upon the consideration, that the enjoyment thus spoken of, is, in a great degree, the enjoyment which the mere life of the lower tribes of animals implies:the enjoyment of madrepores and oysters, outilefish and sharks, tortoises and serponts: but we reply more broadly, that it is not the rule followed by the Crostor, to fill all places with living things. To say nothing of the vast intervals between planet and planet, which, it is presumed, no one supposes to be occupied by living things; how large a portion of the surface of the earth is uninhabited, or inhabited only in the scanticet manner. Vast descrit tracts exist in Africa and in Asia, where the barron sand nourishes neither animal nor vegetable life. The highest regions of mountain-ranges, clothed with perpetual snow, and with far-reaching shocts of glacier lee, are untenanted, except by the chamols at their skirts. There are many uninhabited islands; and were formerly many more. The ocean, covering nearly three-fourths of the globe, is no scat of habitation for land spimals or for man; and though it has a large population of the fishy tribes, is probably peopled in smaller numbers than if it were land, as well as by inferior orders. We see, in the Earth then, which is the only seat of life of which we really know anything, nothing to support the belief that every field in the material universe is tenanted by living inhabitants.

14 That vegetables and animals, being once placed upon the earth, have multiplied or are mul. . tiplying, so as to occupy every part of the land and water which is suited for their habitation, we can see much reason to believe. Philosophical natural-historians have been generally led to the conviction that each species has had an original center of dispersion, where it was first native, and that from this center it has been diffused in all directions, as far as the circumstances of climate and soil were favourable to its production. But we can see also much reason to believe that this general diffusion of vegetable and animal life from centers, is a part of the order of nature which may often be made to give way to other and higher purposes:-- for instance, to the diffusion, over the whole surface of the earth, of a race of intelligent, moral agents. This process may often interfere with the general law of diffusion; as for instance, when man exterminates noxious animals. And whatever may be the laws which tend to replenish the earth, on which such centers of the diffusion of life exist for animals and plants; according to all analogy, those laws can have no force on any other planet. till such origins and centers of life are established on their surfaces. And even if any of the species which have over tonanted the carth were so established on any other planet, we have the strongest reason to believe that they could not survive to a second generation.

- 15 Perhaps it may be said that we unjustifiably limit the power and skill of the Supreme Creator, if we deny that he could frame creatures fitted to live on any of the other planets, as well as in the Earth:—that the wonderful variety, and unexpected resource, of the ways in which animals are adapted for all kinds of elimates, habitations, and conditions, upon the earth, may give us confidence that, under conditions still more extended, in habitations still further removed, in climates going beyond the terrestrial extremes, still the same wisdom and skill may well be supposed to have devised possible modes of animal life.
- To this we reply, that we are so far from saving that the Creator could not place inhabitants in the other planets, that we have attempted to show what kind of inhabitants would be most likely to be placed there, by considering the way in which animals are accommodated to encoinl conditions in their habitation. In judging of such modes of accommodating animals to an abode on other planets, as well as the earth, we have reasoned from what we know, of the mode in which animals are accommodated to their different habitations on the earth. We believe this to be the only safe and philosophical way of treating the question. If we are to reason at all about the possibility of animal life, we must suppose that licat and light, gravity and buoyancy, materials and affinities, air and moisture, produce the same effect, require the same adaptations, in Jupiter or in Venus, as they do on the Earth. If we do not suppose this, we run into the error which so long prevented many from accepting the Newtonian system :- the error of thinking that matter in the heavens is governed by quite different laws from

matter on the earth. We must adopt that belief, if we hold that animals may live under relations of heat and moisture, materials and affinities, in Jupiter or Venus, under which they could not live on our planet. And that belief, as we have said, appears to us contrary to all the teaching which the history of science offers us.

17 And not only is it contrary to the teaching of the history of science, to suppose the laws. which connect elementary and organic nature, to be different in the other planets from what they are on ours; but moreover the supposition would not at all answer the purpose, of making it probable that the planets are inhabited. For if we bogin to imagine new and unknown laws of nature for those abodes, what is there to limit or determine our assumptions in any degree? What extravagant mixtures of the attributes and proporties of mind and matter may we not then accept as probable truths? We know how difficult the poets have found it to describe, with any degree of consistency, the actions and events of a world of angels, or of ovil spirits, souls or sludes, embodied in forms so as to admit of description, and yet not subject to the laws of human bodies. Virgil. Tasso, Milion, Klopstock, and many others, have struggled with this difficulty :- no one of them, it will be probably agreed, with any great success; at least regarding his representation as a hypothesis of a possible form of life, different from all the forms which we know. Yet if we are to reject the laws which govern the known forms of life, in order that we may be able to maintain the possibility of some unknown form in a different planet. we must accept some of these hypotheses, or find a bottor. We must suppose that weight and cohosion, wounds and mutilations, wings and plumage. would have, either the effect which the pools represent them as having, or some different effect; and in either case, it will be impossible to give any sufficient reason why we should confine the population to the surface of a planet. If gravity have not, upon any set of boings, the effect which it has upon us such beings may live upon the surface of Saturn, though it be more vapour: but then, on that supposition, they may equally well live in the vast space between Saturn and Juniter. without needing any planet for their mansion. If we are ready to suppose that there are, in the solar system, conscious beings, not subject to the ordinary laws of life, we may go on to imagine creatures constituted of vaporous elements, floating in the flery haze of a nebula, or close to the body of a sun; and cloudy forms which soar as vapours in the regions of vapour. But such imaginations. besides being rather fitted for the employment of poets than of philosophors, will not, as we have soid, find a population for the planets; since such forms may just as easily be conceived swimming round the sun in empty space, or darting from star to star, as confining themselves to the neighbourhood of any of the solid globes which revolve about the central sun.

18 We should not, then, add anything to the probability of inhabitants on the other planets of our system, even if we were arbitrarily to assume unlimited changes in the laws of nature, when we pass from our region to theirs. But probably, all readers will be of opinion that such a sumptions are contrary to the whole scheme and spirit of such speculations as we are here presuming :—that if we speculate on such subjects at all, it must be

done by supposing that the same laws of nature operate, in the same manner, in planetary, as in torrestrial spaces; -and that as we suppose, and prove, gravity and attraction, inertia and momentum, to follow the same rules, and produce the same offects, on brute matter there, which they do here; so, both these forces, and others, as light and heat, moisture and air, if, in the planeis, they go beyond the extremes which limit them hore, yet must imply, in any organized beings which exist in the planets, changes, though greater in amount of the same kind as those which occur in approaching the torrestrial extremes of these clonientary agents. And what kind of a population that would lead us to suppose, in Jupiter or Saturn, Mars or Venus, the render has already seen our attempt to determine; and may thence judge whether, when we go so far beyond the terrestrial extremes of heat and cold, light and dimness, vapour and water, air and airlessmess, any population at all is probable.

19 Perhaps some persons, even if they cannot resist the force of these reasons, may still yield to them with regret; and may feel as if, having hitherto believed that the planets were inhabited, and having now to give up that belief, their view of the solar system, as one of the provinces of field's creation, were made narrower and poorer than it was before. And this feeling may be still further increased, if they are led to believe also that many of the fixed stars are not the centers of inhabited systems; or that very few, or none are. It may soem to them, as if by such a change of belief, the field of God's greatness, benevolence, and government, were narrowed and impoverished, to an extent painful and shocking;—as if instead

of being the Maker and Governor of immunerable worlds of the most varied constitution, we were called upon to regard him as merely the Master of the single world in which we live:—as if, instead of being the object of reverence and adoration to the intelligent population of these thousand spheres, he was recognized and worshipped on one only, and on that, how scantily and imperfectly!

- 20 It is not to be denied that there may be such a regret and disturbance naturally felt, at having to give up our belief that the planets and the stars probably contain servants and worshippers of God. It must always be a matter of pain and trouble, to be urged with tenderness, and to be performed in time, to untwine our reverential religious sentiments from erroneous views of the constitution of the universe with which they have been involved. But the change once made, it is found that religion is uninjured, and reverence undiminished. And therefore we trust that the reader will receive with candour and patience the argument which we have to offer with reference to this ylew, or rather this sentiment.
- 21 We remark, in the first place, that however repugnant it may be to us to believe a state of any part of the universe in which there are not creatures who can know, obey and worship God; we are compelled, by geological evidence, to admit that such a state of things has existed upon the earth, during a far longer period than the whole duration of man's race. If we suppose that the human race, if not by their actual knowledge, obedience, and worship of God, yet at least by their faculties for knowing, obeying, and worshipping, are a sufficient reason why there should be such a province in God's empire; still in fact, this

race has existed only for a few thousand years. out of the perhaps, millions of years of the earth's existence; and during all the provious period, the earth, if tenanted, was tenanted by brute orentures, fishes and lizards, beasts and birds, of which none had any faculty, intellectual, moral, or religious. By the same analogy, therefore, on which we have already insisted, we may argue that there is reason to believe, that if other planets, and other stars. are the sents of habitation, it is rather of such habitation as has prevailed upon the earth during the millions, than during the six thousand years; and that if we have, in consequence of physical reasons, to give up the belief of a population in the other planets, or in the stars; we are giving up, not anything on which we might dwell with religious pleasure-hosts of follow-servents and follow-worshippers of the Divine Author of all;-but the more brute tribes of the land and of the water. things that creep and orawl, prowl and spring ;-none that can lift its visage to the sky, with a fuoling that it is looking for its Maker and Master. There have not existed upon the Earth, during the immonso ages of its prechunan existence, beings who could recognize and think of the Creator of the world; and if astronomy introduces us, as goology has done, to a new order of material structures, thus barron of an intelligent and religious population, we must learn to accept the prospect in the one case, as in the other. Nor need we fear that on a further contemplation of the universe, we shall find every part of it ministering, though perhaps not in the way our first thoughts had guessed, to sentiments of reverence and aderation towards the Maker of the universe.

22 The truth is, as the slightest recollection

of the course of opinion about the stars may satisfy us, that men have had repeatedly to give up the notions which they had adopted, of the manner in which the material heavens, the stars and the skies, are to minister to man's feeling of reverence for the Creator. It was long ago said, that the heavens declare the glory of God, and the firmamont showoth his handiwork; that day and night, sun and moon, clouds and stars, unite in impressing upon us this sentiment. And this language still finds a sympathetic coho, in the breasts of all religious persons. Nor will it ever cease to do so, however our opinions of the structure and nature of the heavenly bodies may alter. When the new aspects of things become familiar, they will show us the handiwork of God, and declare his glory, as plainly as the old ones. But in the progress of opinions, man has often had to resign what seemed to him, at the time, visions so beautiful, sublime and glorious, that they could not be dismissed without regret. The Universal Lord was at one time conceived as directing the motions of all the spheres by means of Ruling Angels, appointed to preside over each. The provalence of proportion and number, in the dimensions of these spheres, was assumed to point to the existence of harmonious sounds, accompanying their movements, though unheard by man; as proportion and number had been found to be the accompaniments and conditions of harmony upon earth. The time came, when these opinions were no longer consistent with man's knowledge of the heavenly motions, and of the wide-spreading causes by which they are produced. Then 'Ruling Angola from their spheres were hurled, as a matter of belief; though still the poets loved to refer to imagery in which so many lofty and reverent thoughts had so long been elethed. The aspect of the stars was most naturally turned to a lesson of cheerful and thoughtful piety, by the adoption of such a view of their nature and office; and thus, the midnight contemplator of an Italian sky teaches his companion concerning the starry host;

> tit, Jesslew; look how the floor of heav'n Is thick inkid with patterns of hight gold. There's not the measuret orb, widch thou behold'st, But in his motion like an angel sings, Kull quiring to the young-eyed cherubins; Kuch hannony is in immortal souls.

Meaning, apparently, the harmony between the immortal spirits that govern each star, and the cherubims that sing before the throne of God. But however beautiful and sublime may be this representation, the philosopher has had to abandon it in its literal sense. He may have adopted, instead, the opinion that each of the stars is the scat, or the center of a group of scats, of choirs of worshippers: but this again, is still to suppose the nature of these orbs to be entirely different from that of this earth; though in many respects, we know that they are governed by the same laws. And if he will be content to know no more than he has the means of knowing, or even to know only according to his best means of knowing, he must be prepared, if the force of proof so requires, to give up this belief also; at least for the present.

23 Indeed these who have not been content with this, and have sought to combine with the visible splendour of the skies, some scheme, founded upon astronomical views, which shall people them with intelligent beings and worshippers, have drawn upon their funcy quite as much as

Lorenzo in his lesson to Jessica: or rather, they have done what he and those from whom his lore was derived, had done before. They have taken the truths which astronomers have discovered and taught, and made the objects and regions so revealed, the scenes and occasions of such sentiments of piety as they themselves have, or feel that they ought to have. Even in Shakespeare, the stars are already orbs, each orb has his motion, and in his motion produces the music of the spheres. More recent preachers, following sounder views of the nature of these orbs and motions, have been equally poetical when they come to their religious reflexions. When the poet of the Night Thoughts says,

"Each of these stars is a religious house;
I may their altars smoke, their incense rise,
And heard hosennes ring through every sphere."

he is no less imaginative than the poet of that Midsummer Night's Dream, which we have in the Merchant of Venice. And we are compolled, by all the evidence which we can discorn, to any the same of the preacher who speaks, from the pulpit, of these orbs or worlds, and tells us of the stars which 'give animation to other systems';' when he says worlds roll in those distant regions: and these worlds must be the centers of life and intelligence; when he speaks of the earth! as 'the humblest of the provinces of God's empire.' But then we must recollect that these thoughts still prove the religious nature of man; they show how he is impelled to endeavour to elevate his mind to God by every part of the universe; and it is not too much to say, that through the faculties of man,

<sup>\*</sup> Chalmers, p. 35. + Ibkl. p. 41. 1 Ibkl. p. 119.

thus regarding the starry heavens, every star does really testify to the greatness of God, and minister to His worship.

24 We may trust that this mere material magnifleence does not require inhabitants, to make it lift man's heart towards the Universal Creator. and to make him accort it as a sublime evidence of His greatness. The grandest objects in nature are blank and void of life;-the mountain-peaks that stand, ridge beyond ridge, serene in the region of perpetual snow :- the summer-clouds, images of such mountain-tracts, even upon a grander scale, and tinted with more gorgeous colours; -the thunder-cloud with its dazzling bolt :-- the stormy ocean with its mountainous waves;-the Aurora Borcalis, with its mysterious pillars of fire; -- all these are sublime: all these elevate the soul, and make it acknowledge a mighty Worker in the elements, in spite of any teaching of a material philosophy. And if we have to regard the planets as merely parts of the same great spectacle of nature, we shall not the less royard them with an admiration which ministers to plous awe. Even morely as a spectacle, Saturn, made visible in his real shape, only by a vast exertion of human skill, yet shining like a star, in form so curiously complex, symmotrical and seemingly artificial, will never cease to be an object of the ardent and contemplative gazo of all who eatch a sight of him. And however much the philosopher may teach that he is morely a mass of water and vapour, ice and snow, he must be far more interesting to the eye than the Alps, or the clouds that crown them, or the ocean with its loobergs; where the same elements occur in forms comparatively shapeless and lawless, irregular and chaotic.

But perhaps there is in the minds of many persons, a sentiment connected with this regular and symmetrical form of the heavenly bodies; that being thus beautifully formed and fluished, they must have been the objects of especial care to the Creator. These regular globes, these nearly ciroular orbits, these families of satellites, they too so regular in their movements; this ring of Saturna all the adjustments by which the planetary motions are secured from going wrong, as the profoundest researches into the mechanics of the universe show :-all these things seem to indicate a neculiar attention bestowed by the Maker on each part of the machine. So much of law and order, of symmotry and beauty in every part, implies, it may be thought, that every part has been framed with a view to some use; that its symmetry and its beauty are the marks of some neble purpose.

26 To reply to this argument, so fur as it is requisite for us to do so, we must recur to what we have already said; that though we see, in many parts of the universe, inorganic as well as organic, marks which we cannot mistake, of design and purpose; yot that this design and purpose are often offected by laws which are of a much wider sweep than the design, so far as we can trace its boaring. These laws, besides answering the purposo, produce many other offects, in which we can see no purpose. We have now to observe further, that these laws, thus ranging widely through the universe, and working everywhere, as if the Creater delighted in the generality of the law, independently of its special application, do often produce innumerable results of beauty and symmetry, as if the Creator delighted in beauty and symmetry, independently of the purpose answered.

27 Thus, to exemplify this reflexion: the powers of aggregation and cohesion, which hold together the parts of solid bodies, as metals and stones, salts and ice, -which solidify matter, in short,—we can easily see, to be necessary, in order to the formation and preservation of solid terrestrial bodies. They are requisite, in order that man may have the firm earth to stand upon, and firm materials to use. But let us observe, what a wonderful and beautiful variety of phenomena grows out of this law, with no apparent bearing upon that which seems to us its main purpose. The power of aggregation of solid bodies is, in fact, the force of crystallization. It binds together the particles of bodies by molecular forces, which not only hold the particles together, but are exerted in special directions, which form triangles, squares, hoxagens, and the like. And hence we have all the variety of crystalline forms which sparkle in gems, cros, carths, pyrites, blendes; and which, when examined by the crystallographors, are found to be an inexhaustible field of the play of symmetrical complexity. The diamond, the emerald, the topas, have got each its populiar kind of symmetry. Gold and other metals have, for the basis of their forms, the cube, but run from this into a vastly greater variety of regular solids than over geometer dreamt of. Some slugle succies of minerals, as cale-spar, present hundreds of forms, all rigorously regular, and have been alone the subject of volumes. Ico orystallizes by the same laws as other solid bodies; and our Arotio voyagors have sometimes relieved the weariness of their sejourn in polar regions, by collecting some of the innumerable forms, resombling an endless collection of hexagonal flowers, sporting into different shapes, which are assumed by flakes of snow . In these and many other ways, the power of crystallization produces an inexhaustible supply of examples of symmetrical beauty. And what are we to conceive to be the object and purpose of this? As we have said, that part of the purpose which is intelligible to us is, that we have here a force holding together the particles of bodies, so as to make them solid. But all these pretty shapes add nothing to this intelligible use. Why then are they there? They are there, it would seem, for their own sake :- because they are protty; symmetry and beauty are there on their own account; or because they are universal adjuncts of the general laws by which the Creator works. Or rather, we may say, combining different branches of our knowledge, that orystallization is the mark and accompaniment of chemical composition; and that as chemical composition takes place according to definite numbers, so crystalline aggregation takes place according to definite forms. The symmetrical relations of space in orystals correspond to the simple relations of number in chomical synthosis; and thus, because there is rule, there is regularity, and regularity assumes the form of beauty.

28 This principle, which thus shows itself throughout the mineral kingdom, or, speaking more widely and truly, throughout the whole range of chemical composition, is still more manifest in the vegetable domain. All the vast array of flowers, so infinitely various, and so beautiful in their variety, are the results of a few general laws; and exhibit, in the degree of their symmetry, the alternate operation

<sup>\*</sup> Dr Scoresby, in his Account of the Arctic regions (1820) Vol. II., has given figures of 96 such forms, selected for their emment regularity from many more.

of one law and another. The rose, the lily, the cowslip, the violet, differ in something of the same way, in which the crystalline forms of the several gome differ. Their parts are arranged in fives or in threes, in pentagons or in hexagons, and in these regular forms, one part or another is expanded or contracted, rendered conspicuous by colour or by shape; so as to produce all the multiplicity of beauty which the florist admires. Or rather, in the eye of the philosophical botanist, the whole of the structure of plants, with all their array of stems and leaves, blossoms and fruits, is but the manifestation of one Law; and all those members of the vegetable form, are, in their natures, the same, developed more or less in this way or in that. The daisy consists of a close cluster of flowers of which each has, in its form, the rudiments of the valerian. The peoblesson is a rose, with some of its petals expanded into butterfly-like wings. Even without changing the species, this general law leads to endless changes. The garden-rose is the common hedge-rose with innumerable filaments changed into glowing petals. By the addition of whorl to whorl, of vegetable coronet over coronet, green and coloured, broad and narrow, filmy and rigid, every plant is generated, and the glory of the field and of the garden, of the jungle and of the forest, is brought forth in all its magnificence. Here, then, we have an immeasurable wealth of beauty and regularity, brought to ylow by the operation of a single Law. And to what use? What purpose do these beauties answer? What is the object for which the lilies of the field are clothed so gaily and gorgeously? Some plants, indeed, are subservient to the use of unimals and of man: but how small is the number in which we can trace this, as an intelligible purpose of their existence! And does it not, in fact, better express the impression which the survey of this province of nature suggests to us, to say, that they grow because the Creater willed that they should grow? Their vegetable life was an object of His care and contrivance, as well as animal and human life. And they are beautiful, also because He willed that they should be so:—because He delights in producing beauty:—and, as we have further tried to make it appear, because He acts by general law, and law produces beauty. Is not such a tendency here apparent, as a part of the general scheme of Creation?

20 We have airoady attempted to show, that in the structure of animals, especially that large class best known to us, vertebrate animals, there is also a general plan which, so far as we can see, goes beyond the circuit of the special adaptation of each animal to its mode of living; and is a rule of creative action, in addition to the rule that the parts shall be subservient to an intelligible purpose of animal life. We have noticed several phenomena in the animal kingdom, where parts and features appear, rudimentary and inert, discharging no efficient their economy, and speaking to us, not of purpose, but of law i—consistent with an end which is visible, but seemingly the results of a rule whose end is in itself.

30 And do we not, in innumerable cases, see beauties of colour and form, texture and lustre, which suggest to us irresistibly the bolief that beauty and regular form are rules of the Creative agency, even when they seem to us, looking at the creation for uses only, idle and wanton expenditure of beauty and regularity. To what purpose are

the host of splendid circles which decorate the tail of the peacock, more beautiful, each of them, than Saturn with his rings? To what purpose the exquisite textures of microscopic objects, more curiously regular than anything which the telescope discloses? To what purpose the gorgeous colours of tropical birds and insects, that live and die where human eye never approaches to admire thom? To what purpose the thousands of species of butterflies with the gay and varied embroidery of their microscopic plumage, of which one in millions, if soon at all, only draws the admiration of the wandering schoolboy? To what purpose the delicate and brilliant markings of shells, which live, generation after generation, in the sunless and sightless depths of the ocoan? Do not all these examples, to which we might add countless others, (for the world, so far as human eye has scanned it, is full of them,) prove that beauty and regularity are universal features of the work of Creation, in all its parts, small and great: and that we judge in a way contrary to a vast range of analogy, which runs through the whole of the Universe, when we infor that, because the objects which are presented to our contemplation are beautiful in aspect and regular in form, they must, in each case, be means for some special end, of those which we commonly fix upon, as the main ends of the Creation; the support and advantage of animals or of man?

31 If this be so, then the beautiful and regular objects which the telescope reveals to us; Jupiter and his Moons, Saturn and his Rings, the most regular of the Double Stars, Clusters and Nebulæ; cannot reasonably be inferred, because they are beautiful and regular, to be also fields of life, or seens of thought. They may be, as to the poet's

eye they often appear, the gems of the robe of Night, the flowers of the celestial fields. gems and like flowers, they are beautiful and regular, because they are brought into being by vast and general laws. These laws, although, in the mind of the Creator, they have their sufficient ronson, as far as they extend, may have, in no other rogion than that which we inhabit, the reason which we seek to discover everywhere, the sustentation of a life like ours. That we should conneet with the existence of such laws, the existence of Mind like our own mind, is most natural; and, as we might easily show, is justifiable, reasonable, even necessary. But that we should suppose the results of such laws are so connected with Mind. that wherever the laws gather matter into globes, and whirl it round the central body, there is also a local seat of minds like ours; is an assumption altogether unwarranted; and is, without strong evidence, of which we have as yet no particle, quite visionary.

32 But finally, it may be said that by this our view of the universe, we diminish the greatness of the work of creation, and the majesty of the Creator. Such a view appears to represent the other planets as more fragments, which have flown off in the fabrication of this our earth, and of the mechanism by which it answers its purpose. Instead of a vest array of completed worlds, we have one world, surrounded by abortive worlds and inert masses. Instead of perfection everywhere, we have imperfection everywhere, except at one spot; if even there the workmanship be perfect.

33 To this, the reply is contained in what we have already said: but we may add, that it cannot be wise or right, to prop up our notions of God's

greatness, by physical doctrines which will not bear discussion. God's greatness has no need of man's inventions for its support. The general conviotion that the Creation must be such as to confirm our belief in the greatness of God, shows that a belief in God's greatness is more deeply scated than any special views of the structure of the universe, and will triumphantly survive the removal of error in such views. We may add, that till within a few thousand years, this earth, compared with what it now is, having upon it no intelligent beings, might be regarded as an abortive world; that all the marts of the solar system which we can best serutinize, the moon, and meteoric stones, are inert masses: and further, that there is everywhere the perfection which results from the operation of law, and that that seems to be the perfection with which the Creator is contented.

34 And purhaps, when the view of the universe which we here present has become familiar. men may be led to think that the aspect which it gives to the mode of working of the Creator, is sufficiently grand and majestic. Instead of manufacturing a multitude of worlds on patterns more or less similar, Ho has been employed in one great work, which we cannot call imperfect, since it includes and suggests all that we can conceive of perfection. It may be that all the other bodies, which we can discover in the universe, show the greatness of this work, and are rolled into forms of symmetry and order, into masses of light and aplendour, by the vast whirl which the original creative energy imported to the luminous element out of which they were formed. The planets and the stars are the lumps which have flown from the potter's wheel of the Great Worker:-the

ahred-coils which, in the working, sprang from His mighty lathe:—the sparks which darted from His awful anvil when the solar system lay incandescent thereon;—the curls of vapour which rose from the great cauldron of creation when its elements were separated. If even these superfluous portions of the material are marked with universal traces of regularity and order, this shows that universal rules are his implements, and that Order is the first and universal Law of the heavenly work.

And, that we may see the full dignity of this work, we must always recollect that Man is a part of it, and the crowning part. The workmanship which is employed on more matter is, at best, of small account, in the eyes of intellectual and moral creatures, when compared with the creation and government of intellectual and moral creatures. The majesty of God does not reside in planets and stars, in orbs and systems; which are, after all, only stone and vapour, materials and means. If, as we believe, God has not only made the material world, but has made and governs man, we need not regret to have to depress any portion of the material world below the place which we had previously assigned to it; for, when all is done, the material world must be put in an inferior place, compared with the world of mind. If there be a World of Mind, that, according to all that we can conceive, must have been better worth creating, must be more worthy to exist, as an object of care in the eyes of the Creator, than thousands and millions of stars and planets, even if they were occupied by a myriad times as many species of brute animals as lave lived upon the earth since its vivification. In saying this, we are only echoing the common voice of mankind, uttored, as so often it is, by the tongues of poets. One such speaks thus of stellar systems:

Behold this midright splendour, worlds on works; Ten thousant add, and twice ten thousand more, Then weigh the whole; one well outways them all, And calls the securing vest magnificence Of unintelligent creation, poor,

And as this is true of intelligence, with the suggestion, which that faculty so naturally offers, of the inextinguishable nature of mind, so is it true of the moral nature of man. No accumulation of material grandeur, even if it fill the universe, has any dignity in our eyes, compared with moral grandeur; as poetry has also expressed:

Look then abroad through nature, to the range Of planets, suns, and adamenthe spheres, Whouling unshaken through the void immone, And speak, O man! Can this capacious some With half that kindling majory can't Thy strong conception, as when first near Refulgent from the stroke of Gran's fits. And the band of patricts; and his arm Aloft extending, like stornal Jove Whon guilt calls down the thunder, call'd aloud On Tully's name, and shock his crimson steel, And hade the Father of his Country, Hall! For led the tyrnat prestrate in the dust, And Rome again is free:

the act referred to being taken, as it is here meant to be conceived, as one of the highest examples of moral greatness. And however we may judge of that action, we must allow that the characters which are implied in this praise of it,—the loftiest kinds of moral excellence,—are more suitable to the highest idea of the object and purpose of a Delty creating worlds, than would be any mere material structure of planets and suns, whether kept in their places by adamantine spheres, wheeling unshaken through the void immense, or

themselves wheeling unshaken by the power of a universal law. The thoughts of Rights and Obligations, Duty and Virtue, of Law and Liberty, of Country and Constitution, of the Glory of our Ancestors, the Elevation of our Fellow-Citizens, the Freedom and Happiness and Dignity of Posterity,—are thoughts which bolong to a world, a race, a body of beings, of which any one individual, with the capacities which such thoughts imply, is more worthy of account, than millions of millions of mollusks and belomnites, lisards and fishes, sloths and pachyderms, diffused through myriads of worlds.

36 We might illustrate this argument further, by taking actions of the moral character of which there will be less doubt. If we look at the great acts which render Greece illustrious and interesting in our eyes,—such as the death of Bocrates, for instance, the triumph of a reverence for Law and a love of country;—can we think it any real diminution of the glery of the universe, if we are reduced to the necessity of rejecting the belief in a multitude of worlds, which though, it may be, peopled with lower animals, contain none endowed with any higher principle than hunger and thirst?

37 That the human race possesses a worth in the eyes of Reason beyond that which any material structure, or any brute population can possess, might be maintained on still higher and stronger grounds; namely, on religious grounds; but we do not intend here to dwell on that part of the subject. If man be, not merely (and he alone of all animals) capable of Virtue and Duty, of Universal Love and Self-Devotion, but be also immortal; if his being be of infinite duration, his soul created never to die; then, indeed, we may

well say that one soul outweighs the whole unintelligent greation. And if the Earth have been the scene of an action of Love and Self-Dovotion for the incalculable banefit of the whole human race, in comparison with which the death of Socrates fades into a more act of cheerful resignation to the common lot of humanity; and if this action, and its consequences to the whole race of man, in his temporal and eternal destiny, and in his history on earth before and after it, were the main object for which man was oreated.-the cardinal point round which the capacities and the fortunes of the race were to turn ;—then indeed we see that the Earth has a pre-eminence in the scheme of creation, which may well reconcile us to regard all the material splendour which surrounds it, and all the array of more visible luminaries and masses which accompany it, as no unfitting appendages to such a drama. The elevation of millions of intellectual, moral, religious, spiritual creatures, to a destiny so prepared, consummated, and developed, is no unworthy occupation of all the enpadtics of space, time, and matter. And, so far as any one has yet shown, to regard this great schome as other than the central point of the divine plan; to consider it as one part among other parts, similar, co-ordinate, or superior; involves those who so speculate, in difficulties, even with regard to the plan itself, which they strive in vain to recondile; while the assumption of the subjects of such a plan, existing in other regions of the universe, is at variance with all which we looking at the analogies of space and time of earth and stars, of life in brates and in man, have found reason to doom in any degree probable.

38 And thus that conjecture of the Plurality

of Worlds, to which a wide and caroful examination of the physical constitution of the Universe supplied no confirmation, derives also little support from a contemplation of the Design which the Creator may be supposed to have had in the work of the Creation, when such Design is regarded in a comprehensive manner, and in all its boarings. Such a survey seems to speak rather in favour of the Unity of the World, than of a Plurality of Worlds. A further consideration of the intellectual, moral, and religious nature of man may still further illustrate this view; and with that

object, we shall make a few additional remarks.

## CHAPTER XII.

## The Unity of the World.

Weigh against each other are the Piurality of Worlds, and the Unity of the World. In so saying, we include as in our present view, a necesmary part of the conception of a World, a collection of intelligent creatures: for even if the suppositions to which we have been led, respecting the kind of unintelligent living things which may inhabit other parts of the Universe, be conceived to be probable; anoli a belief will have little interest for most persons, compared with the belief of other worlds. where reside intelligence, perception of truth, recognition of moral Law, and reverence for a Divine Croator and Governor. In looking ontwards at the Universe, there are certain aspects which suggest to man, at first sight, a conjecture that there may be other bodies like the Earth, tenanted by other creatures like man. This conjecture, however, receives no confirmation from a closer enquiry, with increased means of observation. Let us now look inwards, at the constitution of man; and consider some characters of his nature, which seem to remove or lessen the difficulties which we may at first fool, in regarding the Earth as, in a unique and special manner, the field of God's Providence and Government.

2 In the first place, the Earth, as the abode of man, the intellectual creature, contains a being,

whose mind is, in some measure, of the same nature as the Divine Mind of the Creator. The Laws which man discovers in the Creation must be Laws known to God. The truths,—for instance, the truths of geometry,—which man sees to be true, God also must see to be true. That there were, from the beginning, in the Creative Mind, Creative Thoughts, is a doctrine involved in every intelligent view of Creation.

This doctrine was presented by the ancients in various forms; and the most recent scientific discoveries have supplied new illustrations of it. The mode in which Plate expressed the dectrine which we are here urging was, that there were in the Divine Mind, before or during the work of creation, certain archetypal Ideas, certain exemplars or patterns of the world and its parts, according to which the work was performed: so that these Ideas or Exemplars exist in the objects around us, being in many cases discernible by man, and being the proper objects of human reason. a more metaphysician were to attempt to revive this mode of expressing the doctrine, probably his speculations would be disregarded, or treated as a pedantic resuscitation of obsolute Platonic dreams. But the adoption of such language must needs be received in a very different manner, when it proceeds from a great discoverer in the field of natural knowledge; when it is, as it were, forced upon kim, as the obvious and appropriate expression of the result of the most profound and comprehensive researches into the frame of the whole animal creation. The recent works of Mr Owen, and especially one work, On the Nuture of Limbs, are full of the most energetic and striking passages, inculcating the doctrine which we have been endeavouring to maintain. We may take the liberty of enriching our pages with one passage bearing

upon the present part of the subject.

The Democritic Philosophers, who denied a Divine Creative mind, hold that 'if the world were made by any antocedent Mind or Understanding, that is by a Deity, then there must needs be an Idea and Exemplar of the whole world before it was made, and consequently actual knowledge, both in the order of Time and Nature, before Things. But conceiving of knowledge as it was got by their own finite minds, and ignorant of any evidence of an ideal Archetype for the world or any part of it. they affirmed that there was none, and concluded that there could be no knowledge or mind before the world was, as its cause, Plate's assertion of Archetypal Ideas, was a protest against this doctrine. but was rather a guess, suggested by the nature of mathematical demonstration, than a doctrine derived from a contemplation of the external world.

'Now however,' Mr Owen continues, 'the recognition of an ideal exemplar for the vertebrated
animals proves that the knowledge of such a being
as Man must have existed before Man appeared.
For the Divine Mind which planned the Archetype
also foreknew all its modifications. The Archetype
Idea was manifested in the flesh under divers modifications upon this planet, long prior to the existence of these animal species which actually exemplify it. To what natural or secondary causes
the orderly succession and progression of such
organic phenomena may have been committed, we
are as yet ignorant. But if without derogation to
the Divine Power, we may conceive such ministers
and personify them by the term Nature, we learn
from the past history of our globe that she has

advanced with slow and stately steps, guided by the archetypal light smidst the wreck of worlds, from the first embodiment of the vortebrate idea, under its old ichthyle vestment, until it became arrayed in the glorious garb of the human form.'

A Law implies a Lawgiver, even when we do not see the object of the Law; even as Design implies a Designer, when we do not see the object of the Design. The Laws of Nature are the indications of the operation of the Divine Mind; and are revealed to us, as such, by the operations of our minds, by which we come to discover them. They are the utterances of the Creator, delivered in language which we can understand; and being thus Language, they are the utterances of an Intelligent Spirit.

5 It may seem to some persons too bold a view, to identify, so far as we thus do, certain truths as seen by man, and as seen by God1:to make the Divine Mind thus cognizant of the truths of geometry, for instance. If any one has such a scruple, we may remark that truth, when of so luminous and stable a kind as are the truths of geometry, must be alike Truth for all minds, even for the highest. The mode of arriving at the knowledge of such truths, may be very different, even for different human minds :-deduction for some; intuition for others. But the intuitive apprehension of necessary truth is an act so purely intolloctual, that even in the Supreme Intellect, we may suppose that it has its place. Can we conceive otherwise, then that God does contemplate

<sup>\*</sup> Among the most recent expesitors of this doctrino we may place M. Honri Martin, whose Philosophic Spiritualists de la Nature is full of siriking views of the universe in its relation to God. (Paris, 1840.)

the universe as existing in space, since it really does so;—and subject to the relations of space, since these are as real as space itself? We are well aware that the Supreme Being must contemplate the world under many other aspects than this;—even man does so. But that does not prevent the truths, which belong to the aspect of the world, dontemplated as existing in space, from being truths, regarded as such, even by the Divine Mind.

O If these reflexions are well founded, as we trust they will, on consideration, be seen to be, we may adopt many of the expressions by which philosophers heretofore have attempted to convey similar views; for in fact, this view, in its general bearing at least, is by no means new. The Mind of Man is a partaker of the thoughts of the Divine Mind. The Intellect of Man is a spark of the Light by which the world was created. The Ideas necording to which man builds up his knowledge, are emanations of the archetypal Ideas according to which the work of creation was planned and executed. These, and many the like expressions, have been often used; and we now see, we may trust, that there is a great philosophical truth, which they all tend to convoy; and this truth shows at the same time, how man may have some knowledge respecting the Laws of Nature, and how this knowledge may, in some cases, seem to be a knowledge of necessary relations, as in the onso of space\*.

<sup>\*</sup> Most readers who have given any attention to speculations of this kind will reculled Newton's remarkable expressions concerning the Delty: 'Alternus out of infinites, omnipotees et emissions; id est, durat ab atomo in microum, of adest ab infinite in infinitum....Non out externites et infinites, sed stornus et infinitus; non est duratio et spatium, sed durat et alusis.

7 Now the views to which we have been led. bear very strongly upon that argument. For if man, when he attains to a knowledge of such Laws, is really admitted, in some degree, to the view with which the Creator himself beholds his creation;-if we can gather, from the conditions of such knowledge, that his Intellect partakes of the Nature of the Supreme Intellect; -if his Mind. in its clearest and largest contemplations, harmonizes with the Divine Mind :-- we have, in this, a reason which may well seem to us very nowerful, why, even if the Earth alone be the habitation of intelligent beings, still, the great work of Creation is not wasted. If God have placed upon the carth a creature who can so far sympathize with Him, if we may venture upon the expression:-who can raise his intellect into some accordance with the Creative Intellect; and that, not once only, nor by fow steps, but through an indefinite gradation of discoveries, more and more comprehensive, more and more profound; each, an advance, how-. ever slight, towards a Divino Insight :- thon, so far as intellect alone (and we are here speaking of

Durat semper et adest ubique, et oxistendo semper et ubique

dmationom of spatium constituit.

To say that God by existing always and everywhere constition discrete and space, appears to be a form of expression better avoided. Besides that it approaches too near to the opinion, which the writer rejects, that He is duration and space, it assumes a knowledge of the nature of the Divino existence, buyond our means of knowing, and therefore resulty. It appears to be safer, and more in conformity with what we really know, to say, not that the existence of God constitutes time and space; but that God has constituted seas, so that he can apprehend the works of creation, only as existing in time and space. That God has constituted time and space as conditions of man's knowledge of the creation, is coriain; that God has constituted time and space as results of His own existence in any other way, we cannot know, intellect alone) can make Man a worthy object of all the vast magnificence of Creative Power, we can hardly shrink from believing that he is so.

8 We may remark further, that this view of God, as the Anthor of the Laws of the Universe. loads to a view of all the phenomena and objects of the world, as the work of God; not a work made, and laid out of hand, but a field of his present activity and energy. And such a view cannot fall to give an aspect of dignity to all that is great in creation, and of beauty to all that is symmetrical, which otherwise they could not have. Accordingly, it is by calling to their thoughts the presence of God as suggested by seenes of grandour or splendour, that poets often reach the sympathics of their readers. And this dignity and sublimity appear especially to bolong to the larger objects, which are destitute of conscious life; as the mountain, the glacier, the pine-forest, the ocean; since in these, we are, as it were, alone with God, and the only present witnesses of His mysterious working,

O Now if this reflexion be true, the vast bodies which hang in the sky, at such immense distances from us, and roll on their courses, and spin round their axies with such exceeding rapidity; Jupiter and his array of Moons, Saturn with his still larger host of Satollites, and with his wonderful Ring, and the other large and distant Planets, will lose nothing of their majesty, in our eyes, by being uninhabited; any more than the summerclouds, which perhaps are formed of the same materials, lose their dignity from the same cause;—any more than our Moon, one of the tribe of satellites, loses her soft and tender beauty, when we have ascertained that she is more barren of

inhabitants than the top of Mont Blanc. Flowever destitute the planets and moons and rings may be of inhabitants, they are at least vast scenes of God's presence, and of the activity with which he carries into effect, everywhere, the laws of nature. The light which comes to us from them is transmitted according to laws which He has established, by an energy which He maintains, The remotest planet is not devoid of life, for God lives there. At each stage which we make from planet to planet, from star to star, into the regions of infinity, we may say, with the patriarch, 'Surely God is here, and I know it not. And when these who question the habitability of the remote planets and stars are represented as presenting a view of the universe, which takes something from the magnificence hitherto ascribed to it, as the scene of God's glory, shown in the things which He has created; they may reply, that they do not at all disturb that glory of the creation which arises from its being, not only the product, but the constant field of God's activity and thought, wisdom and power; and they may perhaps ask, in return, whether the dignity of the Moon would be greatly augmented if her surface were ascertained to be abundantly peopled with ligards; or whether Mont Blane would be more sublime, if millions of frogs were known to live in the crevesses of its glaciors.

10 Again: the Earth is a scene of Moral Trini. Man is subject to a Moral Law: and this Moral Law is a Law of which God is the Legislator. It is a Law which man has the power of discovering, by the use of the faculties which God has given him. By considering the nature and consequences of actions, man is able to discern, in

a great measure, what is right and what is wrong; what he ought and what he ought not to do:what is duty and virtue, what is crime and vice. Man has a Law on such subjects, written on his heart, as the Apostle Paul says. He has a conscience which accuses or excuses him; and thus, recognizes his acts as worthy of condemnation or approval. And thus, man is, and knows himself to · bo, the subject of Divine Law, commanding and prohibiting; and is here in a state of probation, as to how far he will obey or disobey this Law. He has impulses, springs of action, which urge him to the violation of this Law. Appetite, Desire, Anger, Lust, Greediness, Envy, Malice, impel him to courses which are victors. But these impulses he is capable of resisting and controlling:of avoiding the vices and practising the opposite virtues; and of rising from one stage of Virtue to another, by a gradual and successive nurification and elevation of the desires, affections and habits, in a degree, so far as we know, without limit.

11 Now in considering the bearing of this view upon our original subject, we have, in the first place, to make this remark: that the existence of a body of creatures, capable of such a Law, of such a Trial, and of such an Elevation as this, is, according to all that we can conceive, an object infinitely more worthy of the exertion of the Divine Power and Wisdom, in the creation of the universe, than any number of planets occupied by creatures having no such lot, no such law, no such capacities, and no such responsibilities. However imperfectly the moral law may be obeyed; however ill the greater part of mankind may respond to the appointment which places them here in a state of

moral probation; however few those may be who use the capacities and means of their moral perification and elevation :- still, that there is such a plan in the creation, and that any respond to its appointments,-is really a view of the Universe which we can conceive to be suitable to the nature of God, because we can approve it, in virtue of the moral nature which He has given us. school of moral discipling, one theatre of moral action, one aroun of moral contests for the highest prizes, is a sufficient center for innumerable hosts of stars and planets, globes of fire and earth, water and air, whether or not tonanted by corals and madrepores, fishes and creeping things. and majestic are those names of Right and Good. Duty and Virtue, that all more material or animal existence is worthless in the comparison.

12 But further: lot us consider what is this moral progress of which we have spoken;-this purification and cloyation of man's inner boing. Man's intellectual progress, his advance in the knowledge of the general laws of the Universe, we found reason to believe that we were not describing unfitly, when we spoke of it as bringing us nearer to God;—as making our thoughts, in some degree, resemble His thoughts;—as enabling us to see things as He sees them. And on that account, we hold that the placing man, with his intellectual powers, in a condition in which he was impelled. and enabled, to seek such knowledge, was of itself a great thing, and tended much to give to the Creation a worthy end. Now the moral elevation of man's boing is the elevation of his sentiments and affections towards a standard or idea, which God, by his Law, has indicated as that point towards which man ought to tond. We do not

ascribe Virtue to God, adapting to Him our notions taken from man's attributes, as we do when we ascribe Knowledge to God: for Virtue implies the control and direction of human springs of action :-implies human efforts and human habits. But we ascribe to God infinite Goodness, Justice, and Trnth, as well as infinite Wisdom and Power; and Goodness, Justice, Truth, form elements of the character at which man also is, by the Moral Law. directed to aim. So far, therefore, man's moral progress is a progress towards a likeness with God: and such a progress, even more than a progress towards an intellectual likeness with God, may be conceived as making the soul of man fit to endure for ever with God; and therefore, as making this carth a proparatory stage of human souls, to fit them for eternity -a nursery of plants which are to be fully unfolded in a colortial garden.

18 And to this, we must add that, on other accounts also, as well as on account of the capacity of the human soul for moral and intellectual progress, thoughtful men have always been disposed, on grounds supplied by the light of nature, to believe in the existence of human souls after this present earthly life is past. Such a belief has been cherished in all ages and nations, as the mode in which we naturally conceive that which is apparently imperfect and deficient in the moral government of the world, to be completed and perfected. And if this mortal life be thus really only the commencement of an infinite Divine Plan, beginning upon earth and destined to endure for endless ages after our earthly life; we need no array of other worlds in the universe to give sufficient dignity and majesty to the scheme of the Crontion.

24

14 We may make another remark which may have an important bearing upon our estimate of the value of the moral scheme of the world which occupies the earth. If, by any act of the Divine Government, the number of those men should be much increased, who raise themselves towards the moral standard which God has appointed, and thus, towards a likeness to God, and a prospect of a fliture eternal union with him :- such an act of Divine Government would do far more towards making the Universe a scene in which God's goodness and groutness were largely displayed, than could be done by any amount of peopling of planets with orestures who were incapable of moral areney: or with creatures whose capacity for the developement of their moral faculties was small, and would continue to be small till such an act of Divino Government were performed. The Interposition of God, in the history of man, to remedy man's feebleness in moral and spiritual tasks, and to enable those who profit by the Interposition, to ascend towards a union with God, is an event entirely out of the range of those natural courses of events which belong to our subject; and to such an Interposition, therefore, we must refer with great reserve: using great caution, that we do not mix up speculations and conjectures of our own, with what has been revealed to man concorning such an Interposition. But this, it would seem, we may say: that such a Divine Interposition for the moral and spiritual elevation of the human race. and for the encouragement and aid of those who seek the purification and elevation of their nature, and an eternal union with God, is far more suitable to the Idea of a God of Infinite Goodness, Parity, and Greatness, than any supposed multiplication

of a population, (on our planet or on any other,) not provided with such means of meral and spiritual progress.

- 15 And if we were, instead of such a supposition, to imagine to ourselves, in other regions of the Universe, a moral population purified and elevated without the aid or need of any such Divine Interposition; the supposed possibility of such a moral race would make the sin and miscry, which deform and sadden the aspect of our earth, appear more dark and dismal still. We should therefore, it would seem, find no theological congruity, and no religious consolation, in the assumption of a Plurality of Worlds of Moral Beings: while, to place the seats of such worlds in the Stars and the Planets, would be, as we have already shown, a step discountenanced by physical reasons; and discountenanced the more, the more the light of science is thrown upon it.
  - 16 Perhaps it may be said, that all which we have urged to show that other animals, in comparison with man, are less worthy objects of creative design, may be used as an argument to prove that other planets are tenanted by men, or by moral and intellectual creatures like men; since, if the creation of one world of such creatures exalts so highly our views of the dignity and importance of the plan of creation, the belief in stany such worlds must clevate still more our sentiments of admiration and reverence of the greatness and goodness of the Creator; and must be a belief, on that account, to be accepted and cherished by pious minds.
    - 17 To this we roply, that we cannot think ourselves authorized to assert cosmological doctrinos, selected arbitrarily by ourselves, on the

ground of their exalting our sentiments of admiration and reverence for the Dolty, when the weight of all the evidence which we can obtain respecting the constitution of the universe is analyst them. It anpears to us, that to discorn one great scheme of moral and religious government, which is the spiritual center of the universe, may well suffice for the religious sentiments of mon in the present age: as in former ages such a view of creation was sufficient to overwhelm mon with feelings of awe. and gratitude, and love; and to make them confees, in the most emphatic language, that all such feelings were an inadequate response to the view of the scheme of Providence which was revealed to them. The thousands of millions of inhabitants of the Earth to whom the offcots of the Divine Plan extend, will not seem, to the greater part of religious persons, to need the addition of more, in order to fill our minds with sufficiently vast and affecting contemplations, so far as we are capable of pursuing such contemplations. The possible extension of God's spiritual kingdom upon the earth will probably appear to them a far more interesting field of devout meditation, than the possible addition to it of the inhabitants of distant stars, connected in some inscrutable manner with the Divine Plan.

18 To justify our saying that the weight of the evidence is against such cosmological destrines, we must recall to the reader's recollection the whole course of the argument which we have been pursuing.

It is a possible conjecture, at first, that there may be other Worlds, having, as this has, their moral and intellectual attributes, and their relations to the Creator. It is also a possible conjecture

that this World, having such attributes, and such relations, may, on that account, be necessarily unique and incapable of repetition, peculiar, and spiritually central. These two opposite nossibilities may be placed, at first, front to front, as bolancing each other. We must then weigh such ovidence and such analogies as we can find on the one side or on the other. We see much in the intellectual and moral nature of man, and in his history, to confirm the opinion that the human race is thus unique, poculiar and contral. In the views which Religion processes, we find much more, tending the same way, and involving the opposite supposition in great difficulties. We find, in our knowledge of what we ourselves are, reasons to believe that if there be, in any other planet, intellectual and moral beings, they must not 'only be Kke mon, but must be men, in all the attributes which we can conceive as belonging to such beings. And yot to suppose other groups of the human species, in other parts of the universe, must be allowed to be a very bold hypothesis, which can be justified only by some positive evidence in its favour. When from those views, drawn from the attributes and relations of man, we turn to the evidence drawn from physical conditions, we find very strong reason to believe that, so far as the Solar System is concerned, the Earth is, with regard to the conditions of life, in a poculiar and central position: so that the conditions of any life approaching at all to human life, exist on the Earth alone. As to other systems which may circle other suns, the possibility of their being inhabited by men, re-mains, as at first, a mere conjecture, without any trace of confirmatory evidence. It was suggested at first by the supposed analogy of other stars to

our sun; but this analogy has not been verified in any instance; and has been, we conceive, shown in many cases, to vanish altogether. And that there may be such a plan of creation,-one in which the moral and intelligent race of man is the climax and central point to which innumorable races of more unintelligent species tend,—we have the most striking evidence, in the history of our own earth, as disclosed by geology. We are left therefore with nothing to oling to, on one side, but the bare possibility that some of the stars are the centers of systems like the Solar System; -an opinion founded upon the single fact, shown to be highly ambiguous, of those stars being self-luminous; and to this possibility, we oppose all the considerations, flowing from moral, historical, and religious views, which represent the human race as unique and peculiar. The force of these considerations will. of course, be different in different minds, according to the importance which each person attaches to such moral, historical, and roligious views: but whatever the weight of them may be deemed, it is to be recollected that we have on the other side a bare possibility, a mere conjecture; which, though suggested at first by astronomical discoveries, all more recent astronomical researches have failed to confirm in the smallest degree. In this state of our knowledge, and with such grounds of bollef, to dwell upon the Piurality of Worlds of intellectual and moral creatures, as a highly probable destrine, must, we think, be held to be eminently rash and Leoldgeophical.

19 On such a subject, where the evidences are so imperfect, and our power of estimating analogies so small, far be it from us to speak positively and dogmatically. And if any one holds the

opinion, on whatever evidence, that there are other spheres of the Divine Government than this earth. -other regions in which God has subjects and servants,—other beings who do his will, and who. it may be, are connected with the moral and religious interests of man; we do not breathe a syllable against such a belief; but, on the contrary, regard it with a ready and respectful sympathy. It is a belief which finds an echo in pious and reverent hourts\*; and it is, of itself, an evidence of that religious and spiritual character in man, which is one of the points of our argument. But the discussion of such a bolief does not belong to the present occasion, any further than to observe, that it would be very rath and unadvised,—a proceeding unwarranted, we think, by Religion, and certainly at variance with all that Science teaches,-to place those other, extra-human spheres of Divine (icvernment, in the Planets and in the Stars. With regard to the planets and the stars, if we reason at all, we must reason on physical grounds; we must suppose, as to a great extent we can prove, that the laws and proporties of terrestrial matter and motion apply to them also. On such grounds, it is as improbable that visitants from Jupiter or from Sirins can come to the Earth, as that men can pass to those stars; as unlikely that inhabitants of those stars know and take an interest in human affairs, as that we can learn what they are doing. A belief in the Divine Government of other races of spiritual creatures besides the human race.

<sup>&</sup>quot;For doubt not that in other worlds above There must be other offices of love, That other tasks and industries there are, filted it is promised that The servants, there, that serve Tim still." Transcr.

and in Divine Ministrations committed to such beings, cannot be connected with our physical and astronomical views of the nature of the stars and the planets, without making a mixture altogether incongruous and incoherent; a mixture of what is material and what is spiritual, adverse alike to

sound rollgion and to sound philosophy.

Perhaps again, it may be said, that in speaking of the shortness of the time during which man has occupied the earth, in comparison with the previous ages of irrational life, and of blank matter, we are taking man at his present period of existence on the earth :-- that we do not know that the race may not be destined to continue upon the earth for as many ages as preceded the creation of man. And to this we reply, that in reasoning, as we must do, at the present period, we can only proceed upon that which has happened up to the present period. If we do not know how long man will continue to inhabit the earth, we cannot reason as if we did know that he will inhabit it longer than any other species has done. We may not dwell upon a more possibility, which, it is assumed, may at some indefinitely future period, alter the aspect of the facts now before us. For it would be as easy to assume nessibilities which may come hereafter to alter the aspect of the facts, in favour of the one side, as of the other+. What the future destinies of our race, and of the earth, may be, is a subject which is, for us, shrouded in deep darkness. It would be very rash to assume that they

<sup>\*</sup> For instance, we may assume that in two or three hundred years, by the improvement of telescopes, or by other means, it will be ascertained that the other planets of the Heler Hysten are not inhebited, and that the other Stars are not the centers of regular systems.

Lux

will be such as to alter the impression derived from what we now know, and to alter it in a certain preconceived manner. But yet it is natural to form conjectures on this subject; and perhaps we may be allowed to consider for a moment what kind of conjectures the existing state of our knowlodge suggests, when we allow ourselves the licence

of conjecturing. The next Chapter contains some

romarks bearing upon such conjectures.

## CHAPTER XIIL

## The Future.

1 WE proceed then to a few reflexions to which we cannot but feel ourselves invited by the views which we have already presented in these pages. What will be the future history of the human race, and what the future destination of each individual, most persons will, and most wisely, judge on far other grounds than the analogies which physical science can supply. Analogies derived from such a quarter can throw little light on those grave and lofty questions. Yet perhaps a fow thoughts on this subject, even if they serve only to show how little the light thus attainable really is, may not be an unfit conclusion to what has been said; and the more so, if these analogies of science, so far as they have any specific tendency, tend to confirm some of the convictions. with regard to those weighty and solomn points.the destiny of Man, and of Mankind,—which we derive from other and higher sources of knowledge.

2 Man is capable of looking back upon the past history of himself, his Race, the Barth, and the Universe. So far as he has the means of doing so, and so far as his reflective powers are unfolded, he cannot refrain from such a retrospect. As we have seen, man has occupied his thoughts with such contemplations, and has been led to convictions thereupon, of the most remarkable and striking kind. Man is also capable of looking

forwards to the future probable or possible history of himself, his race, the earth, and the universe. He is irresistibly tempted to do this, and to enden-vour to shape his conjectures on the Future, by what he knows of the Past. He attempts to discorn what future change and progress may be imagined or expected, by the analogy of past dhange and progress, which have been ascertained. Such analogies may be necessarily very vague and loose; but they are the peculiar ground of speculation with which we have here to deal. Perhaps man cannot discover with certainty any fixed and permanent laws which have regulated those past changes which have modified the surface and population of the earth; still loss, any laws which have produced a visible progression in the constitution of the rest of the universe. He cannot, therefore, avail himself of any close analogies, to help him to confecture the future course of events, on the carth or in the universe; still less can he apply any known laws, which may quable him to predict the future configurations of the elements of the world, as he can predict the future configurations of the planets for indefinite periods. He can fore-see the astronomical revolutions of the heavens, so long as the known laws subsist. He cannot foresco the future geological revolutions of the earth, even if they are to be produced by the same causes which have produced the past revolutions, of which he has learnt the series and order. Still less can be forced the future revolutions which may take place in the condition of man, of society, of philosophy, of roligion; still loss, again, tho course which the Divine Government of the world will take, or the state of things to which, even as now conducted, it will lead.

3 All these subjects are covered with a veil of mystory, which science and philosophy can do little in raising. Yet these are subjects to which the mind turns, with a far more cagor curiosity, than that which it feels with regard to mere geological or astronomical revolutions. Man is naturally, and reasonably, the greatest object of interest to man. What shall happen to the human race, after thousands of years, is a far dearer concorn to him, than what shall happen to Jupiter or Sirlus: and oven, then what shall happon to the continents and . oceans of the globe on which he lives, except so for as the changes of his demicile affect himself. If our knowledge of the earth and of the heavens. of animals and of man, of the past condition and present laws of the world, is quite barron of all suggestion of what may or may not hereafter be the lot of man, such knowledge will lose the charm which would have made it most precious and attractive in the eyes of mankind in general. And if, on such subjects, any conjectures, however dubious, -any analogies, however loose, -can be collected from what we know, they will probably be received as acceptable, in spite of their inscourity; and will be deemed a fit offering from the scientific faculty, to those hopes and expectations,-to that curiosity and desire of all knowledge, -which gladly receive their nutriment and gratification from every province of man's being.

4 Now if we ask, what is likely to be the future condition of the population of the carth as compared with the present; we are naturally led to recollect, what has been the past condition of that population as compared with the present. And here, our thoughts are at once struck by that great fact, to which we have so often referred; which we

conceive to be established by irrefragable geological evidence, and of which the importance cannot be overrated :-- namely, the fact that the existence of man upon the earth has been for only a few thousand years :- that for thousands, and myriads. and it may be for millions of years, previous to that period, the earth was tenanted, entirely and sololy, by brute oreatures, destitute of reason, incapable of progress, and guided merely by animal instincts, in the preservation and continuation of their races. After this period of mere brute existence, in innumerable forms, had endured for a vast series of oyeles, there appeared upon the earth a greature, even in his organization, superior far to all: but still more superior, in his possession of peculiar endowments; - reason, language, the power of indefinite progress, and of raising his thoughts towards his Creator and Governor; in short, to use terms already employed, an intellectual, moral, religious, and spiritual creature. After the ages of intellectual darkness, there took place this creation of intellectual light. After the long continued play of mere apposite and sensual life, there came the operation of thought, reflexion, invention, art, selence, moral sentiments, religious belief and hope; and thus, life and being, in a far higher sense than had ever existed, even in the alightost dogree, in the long ages of the earth's previous existence.

8 Now this great and empital fact cannot fail to excite in us many reflexions, which, however vaguely and dimly, carry us to the prospect of the future. The present being so related to the past, how may we suppose that the future will be related to the present?

In the first place, this is a natural reflexion.

The terrestrial world having made this advance from brute to human life, can we think it at all likely, that the present condition of the cartly's inhabitants is a final condition? Has the vast stop from animal to human life, exhausted the progressive powers of nature? or to speak more reverently and fustly, has it completed the progressive plan of the Creator? After the great revolution by which man became what he is, can and will nothing be done, to bring into being something better than man now is; however that future erenture may be related to man? We leave out of consideration any supposed progression, which may have taken place in the animal creation provious to man's exist. once; any progression by which the unimal organisation was made to approximate, gradually or by sudden stops, to the human organization; partly, bocause such successive approximation is questioned by some geologists, and is, at any rate, obscure and perplexed: but much more, because it is not really to our purpose. Similarity of organization is not the point in question. The ondowments and capacities of man, by which he is Man, are the great distinction, which places all other animals at an immeasurable distance below him. The closest approximation of form or organs, does nothing to obliterate this distinction. It does not bring the monkey nearer to man, that his tongue has the same muscular apparatus as man's, so long as ho cannot talk; and so long as he has not the thought and ideas which language implies, and which are unfolded indefinitely in the use of language. stop, then, by which the earth became a Auman habitation, was an immeasurable advance on all that existed before; and therefore there is a question which we are, it seems, irresistibly prompted to ask, Is this the last such step? Is there nothing beyond it? Man is the head of creation, in his present condition; but is that condition the final result and ultimate goal of the progress of creation in the plan of the Creator? As there was found and produced something so far beyond animals as man is, may there not also, in some course of the revolutions of the world, be produced something far beyond what man is? The question is put, as implying a difficulty in believing that it should not be so; and this difficulty must be very generally felt. Considering how vast the resources of Creative Power have been shown to be, it is difficult to suppose that they are exliansted. Considering how great things have been done, in the progress of the work of creation, we naturally think that even greater things than these still remain to be done.

O But then, on the other hand, there is an immense difficulty in supposing, even in imagining. any further change, at all commensurate in kind and degree, with the step which carried the world from a mere brute population, to a human population. In a proportion in which the two first torms are brute and man, what can be the third term? In the progress from more Instinct to Rosson, we have a progress from blindness to sight: and what can we do more than see? When pure Intellect is evolved in man, he approaches to the nature of the Supreme Mind: how can a creature riso higher? When mere impulse, appetite, and passion are placed under the central and direction of duty and virtue, man is put under a Divine Government: what greater lot can any created boing have?

7 And the difficulty of conceiving any ulterior

step at all analogous to the last and most wonderful of the revolutions which have taken place in the condition of the earth's inhabitants, will be found to grow upon us, as it is more closely examined. it may truly be said, the change which occurred when man was placed on the carth, was not one which could have been imagined and constructed beforehand, by a speculator merely looking at the endowments and capacities of the creatures which were previously living. Even in the way of organization, could any intelligent spectator, contemplating anything which then existed in the animal world, have guessed the wonderful new and powerful purposes to which it was to be made subservient in man? Could such a speciator, from socius the rudiments of a Hand, in the horse or the cow, or even from seeing the hand of a quadrumanous animal, have conjectured, that the Hand was, in man, to be made an instrument by which influite numbers of new instruments were to be constructed, subduing the elements to man's uses, giving him a command over nature which might seem super. natural, taming or conquering all other animals, enabling him to scratinise the farthest regions of the universe, and the subtlest combinations of material things?

8 Or again; could such a spectator, by dissecting the tongues of animals, have divined that the Tongue, in man, was to be the means of communicating the finest movements of thought and feeling; of giving one man, weak and feeble, an unbounded ascendancy over robust and angry multitudes; and, assisted by the (writing) hand, of influencing the intimate thoughts, laws, and habits of the most remote posterity?

9 And again, could such a speciator, seeing

animals ontiroly occupied by their appetites and desires, and the objects subservient to their individual gratification, have ever dreamt that there should appear on earth a creature who should desire to know, and should know, the distances and motions of the stars, future as well as present; the causes of their motions, the history of the earth, and his own history; and even should know truths by which all possible objects and events not only are, but must be regulated?

10 And yet again, could such a speciator, seeing that animals oboyed their appetites with no restraint but external fear, and knew of no difference of good and bad except the sensual difference, ever have imagined that there should be a creature acknowledging a difference of right and wrong, as a distinction supreme over what was good or bad to the sense; and recognising a rule of duty which might forbid and prevent gratification by an internal prohibition?

11 And finally, could such a spectator, socing nothing but animals with all their faculties thus entirely immersed in the elements of their bodily being, have supposed that a creature should come, who should raise his thoughts to his Creator, acknowledge flim as his hiaster and Governor, look to His Judgment, and aspire to live eternally in His presence?

13 If it would have been impossible for a spectator of the preduman creation, however intelligent, imaginative, bold and inventive, to have conjectured beforehand the endowments of such a creature as Man, taking only those which we have thus indicated; it may well be thought, that if there is to be a creature which is to succeed man, as man has succeeded the animals, it must be

equally impossible for us to conjecture beforehand, what kind of creature that must be, and what will

be his endowments and privileges.

- Thus a speciator who should thus have studied the preduman creation, and who should have had nothing else to help him in his conjectures and conceptions, (of course, by the supposition of a produmen period, not any knowledge of the operation of intelligence, though a most active intelligence would be necessary for such speculations.) would not have been able to divine the future appearance of a creature, so excellent as Man: or to guess at his endowments and privileges, or his relation to the previous animal croation; and just as little able may we be, even if there is to exist at some time, a creature more excellent and glorious than man, to divine what kind of creature he will be, and how related to man. And here, therefore, it would perhaps be best, that we should quit the subject; and not offer conjectures which we thus acknowledge to have no value. Porhaps, however, the few brief remarks which we have still to make, put forwards, as they are, merely as suggestions to be weighed by others, cannot reasonably give offence, or trouble even the most reverent thinker.
- 14 To suppose a higher development of endowments which already exist in man, is a natural mode of rising to the imagination of a being nobler than man is: but we shall find that such hypotheses do not lead us to any satisfactory result. Looking at the first of those features of the superiority of man over brutes, which we have just pointed out, the Human Hand, we can imagine this superiority carried further. Indeed, in the course of human progress, and especially in recent times, and in

our own country, man employs, instead of, or in addition to the hand, innumerable instruments to make nature serve his needs and do his will. He works by Tools and Machinery, derivative hands, which increase a hundred-fold the power of the natural hand, Shall we try to ascend to a New Period, to imagine a New Creature, by supposing this nower increased hundreds and thousands of times more, so that nature should obey man, and minister to his needs, in an incomparably greater degree than she now does? We may imagine this corried so far, that all need for manual labour shall be superseded; and thus, abundant time shall be left to the creature thus gifted, for developing the intellectual and moral powers which must be the higher part of its nature. But still, that higher nature of the creature itself, and not its command over external material nature, must be the quarter in which we are to find anything which shall elevate the greature above man, as man is elevated above brutes.

15 Or, looking at the second of the features of human superiority, shall we suppose that the means of communication of their thoughts to each other, which exist for the human race, are to be immensely increased, and that this is to be the leading feature of a New Period? Already, in addition to the use of the tongue, other means of communication have vastly multiplied man's original means of carrying on the intercourse of thought:—writing, employed in epistles, books, newspapers; reads, horses and posting establishments; ships; railways; and, as the last and most notable step, made in our time, electric telegraphs, extending across continents and even oceans. We can imagine this facility and activity of communi-

cution, in which man so immeasurably exceeds all animals, still further increased, and more widely extended. But yet so long as what is thus communicated is nothing greater or better than what is now communicated among men;—such news, such thoughts, such questions and answers, as now dart along our roads;—we could hardly think that the creature, whatever wenderful means of intercourse with its fellow-creatures it might possess, was clevated above man, so as to be of a higher nature than man is.

Thus, such improved endowments as we have now spoken of, increased power over materials, and increased means of motion and communication, urising from improved mechanism, do little, and, we may say, nothing, to satisfy our idea of a more excellent condition than that of man. For such extensions of man's present powers are consistent with the absence of all intellectual and moral improvement. Mon might be able to dart from place to place, and even from planet to planci, and from star to star, on wings, such as we ascribe to angels in our imagination; they might be able to make the elements obey them at a book; and yet they might not be better, nor oven wiser, than they are. It is not found generally, that the improvement of machinery, and of means of locomotion, among men, produces an improvement in morality, nor even an improvement in intelligence, except as to particular points. must therefore look somewhat further, in order to find possible characters, which may enable us to imagine a creature more excellent than man.

17 Among the distinctions which elevate man above brutes, there is one which we have not mentioned, but which is really one of the most

Comment. We mean, his faculty and habit of forming himself into Societies, united by laws and language for some common object, the furtherance of which requires such union. The most general and primary kind of such societies, is that Civil Society which is bound together by Law and Government, and which secures to men the Rights of property, person, family, external peace, and That this kind of society may be conthe like. colved, as taking a more excellent character than it now possesses, we can easily see: for not only closs it often very imperfectly attain its direct oblect, the preservation of Rights, but it becomes the means and source of wrong. Not only does it often fail to scoure peace with strangers, but it acts as if its main object were to enable men to make wars with strangers. If we were to conceive a Universal and Perpetual Peace to be established among the principal nations of the earth; (for instance by some general agreement for that purpose;) and if we were to suppose, further, that those nations should employ all their powers and means in fully unfolding the intellectual and moral capacities of their members, by early education, constant teaching, and ready help in all ways; we might then, perhaps, look forwards to a state of the earth in which it should be inhabited, not indeed by a being exalted above Man, but by Man exalted above himsolf as he now is.

18 That by such combinations of communities of men, even with their present powers, results may be obtained, which at present appear impossible, or inconceivable, we may find good reason to believe; looking at what has already been done, or planned as attainable by such means, in the pro-

motion of knowledge, and the extension of man's intellectual empire. The greatest discovery over made, the discovery, by Newton, of the laws which regulate the motions of the cosmical system, has been carried to its present state of completeness. only by the united efforts of all the most intellectual nations upon earth; in addition to vast labours of individuals, and of smaller societies, voluntarily associated for the purpose. Astronomical observatories have been established in every land; scientific voyages and expeditions for the purpose of observation, wherever they could throw light upon the theory, have been sent forth; costly instruments have been constructed, achievements of discovery have been rewarded; and all nations have shown a ready sympathy with every attempt to forward this part of knowledge. Yet the largest and wisest plans for the extension of human knowledge in other provinces of science by the like means, have remained hitherto almost entirely unexecuted, and have been treated as more droams. The exhertstions of Francis Bacon to men, to seek, by such means, an elevation of their intellectual condition. have been assented to in words; but his plans of a methodical and organized combination of society for this purpose, it has never been even attempted to realize. If the nations of the earth were to employ, for the promotion of human knowledge, a small fraction only of the means. the wealth, the ingenuity, the energy, the combination, which they have employed in every age. for the destruction of human life and of human means of enjoyment; we might soon find that what we hitherto knew, is little compared with what man has the power of knowing.

10 But there is another kind of Society, or another object of Society among men, which in a still more important manner sims at the elevation of their nature. Man sympathizes with man, not only in his intellectual aspirations, but in his moral sontiments, in his religious beliefs and hopes, in his efforts after spiritual life. Society, even Civil Society, has generally recognized this sympathy, in a greater or less degree; and has included Morality and Religion, among the objects which it endeavoured to uphold and promote. But any one who has any deep and comprehensive percontion of man's capacities and aspirations, on such subjects, must feel that what has commonly, or indeed ever, been done by nations for such a purpose, has been far below that which the full developement of man's moral, religious, and spiritual nature requires. Can we not conceive a Society among men, which should have for its purpose, to promote this developement, for more than any human society has yet done?- a Body selected from all nations, or rather, including all nations, the purpose of which should be to bind men together by a universal feeling of kindness and mutual regard;-to associate them in the acknowledgement of a common Divine Lawgiver, Governor, and Father; - to combine them in their offorts to divost themselves of the evil of their human nature, and to bring themselves nearer and nearer to a conformity with the Divine Idea;and finally, a Society which should unite them in the hope of such a union with God that the parts of their nature which seem to claim immortality. the Mind, the Soul, and the Spirit, should endure for ever in a state of happiness arising from their exalted and perfected condition? And if we can suppose such a Society, fully established and fully operative, would not this be a condition, as far clevated above the ordinary earthly condition of man, as that of man is elevated above the boasts that perish?

20 Yet one more question; though we hesitate to mix such suggestions from analogy, with trains of thought and belief, which have their proper nutriment from other quarters. We know, even from the evidence of natural science, that God hus interposed in the history of this Earth, in order to place Man upon it. In that case, there was a clear, and, in the strongest sense of the term, a supernatural interposition of the Divine Creative Power. God interposed to place upon the earth, Man, the social and rational being. God thus directly instituted Human Society; gave man his privileges and his prospects in such society; placed him far above the previously existing oreation; and, ondowed him with the means of an elevation of nature entirely unlike any thing which had previously appeared. Would it then be a violation of analogy, if God were to interpose again, to institute a Divino Society, such as we have attempted to describe; to give its members their privileges; to assure to them their prospects; to supply to them his aid in pursuing the objects of such a union with each other; and thus, to draw them, as they aspire to be drawn, to a spiritual union with Him?

It would seem that those who believe, as the records of the earth's history seem to show, that the establishment of Man, and of Human Society, or of the germ of human society, upon the earth,

was an interposition of Creative Power beyond the ordinary course of nature; may also readily believe that another supernatural interposition of Divine Power might take place, in order to plant upon the earth the Germ of a more Divine Society; and to introduce a period in which the earth should be tenanted by a more excellent creature than at present.

21 But though we may thus prepare ourselves to assent to the possibility, or even probability, of such a Divine Interposition, exercised for the purpose of catablishing upon earth a Divine Society: it would be a rash and unauthorised step. - ospecially taking into account the vast differences between material and spiritual things,-to assume that such an Interposition would have any resemblance to the commencement of a New Period in the carth's history, analogous to the Periods by which that history has already been marked. What the manner and the operation of such a Divine Interposition would be, Philosophy would attempt in vain to conjecture. It is conceivable that such an event should produce its effect, not at once, by a general and simultaneous change in the aspect of terrestrial things, but gradually, by an almost inperceptible progression. It is possible also that there may be such an Interposition, which is only one stop in the Divine Plan; -a preparation for some other subsequent Interposition, by which the change in the Barth's inhabitants is to be consummated. Or it is possible that such a Divine Interposition in the history of man, as we have hinted at, may be a proparation, not for a new form of terrestrial life, but for a new form of human life :- not for a new peopling of the Earth,

but for a new existence of Man. These possibilities are so vague and doubtful, so far as my scientific analogies lead, that it would be most unwise to attempt to claim for them any value, as points in which Science supplies support to Religion. Those persons who most deeply feel the value of Religion, and are most strongly convinced of its trutis, will be the most willing to declare, that religious belief is, and ought to be, independent of any such support, and must be, and may be, firmly established on its own proper lasts.

We find no encouragement, then, for any 22 attempt to obtain, from Science, by the light of the minlogy of the past, my deliate view of a future condition of the Creation. And that this is so, we cannot, for reasons which have been given. fool any surprise. Yet the reasonings which we have, in various parts of this Passay, pursued, will not have been without profit, even in their influence upon our religious thoughts, if they have left upon our minds those convictions :... That if the analogy of selence proves my thing, it proves that the Creator of man oan make a Creature as far superior to Man, as Man, when most intellectual, moral, religious, and spiritual, is superior to the brutes:and again, That Man's Intellect is of a divine, and therefore of an immerial nature, Those persons. who can, on any basis of bolief, combine these two convictions, so as to feel that they have a personal interest in both of them :-those who have such grounds as Religion, happily appealed to, can furnish, for hoping that their imperishable element may, hereafter, be elethed with a new and more glorious appared by the hand of its Almighty Maker ; -may be well content to neknowledge that Science

and Philosophy could not give them this combined conviction, in any manner in which it could minister that consolation, and that trust in the Divine Power and Goodness, which human nature, in its present condition, requires.

THE HAD.

## STANDARD BOOKS

## FUBLISHED BY

## JOHN W. PARKER AND SON, LONDON.

History of Normandy and of England. By Sir

Discourse on the Studies of the University of Cambridge. By ADAM SEDOWICK, M. A., Woodwardien Professor. Fifth Edition, enlarged. (770 pages.) 12s.

On the Methods of Observation and Rensoning in Politics. By G. Commwall Linus, M.P. Two Volumes. Octavo.

On the Influence of Authority in Matters of Opinion. By the muse. Octave. 10s. 6d.

Elements of Logic. By R. WHATBLY, D.1)... Archbishop of Dubiu. Small Courte, 4a Cd. Library Edition, 10s. Ut.

Elements of Rhetoric. By the same Author. Small Octavo, 4c.0d. Library Edition, 10c.0d.

Introductory Lecture on Political Economy. By the same Author. 8s.

History of the Inductive Sciences. By W. Williams, D.D., F.R.S., Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. Second Edition, revised. Three Volumes. £2, 2s.

Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences. By the same Author. Second Edition. Two Volumes. Octave, 80s.

Indications of the Creator.—Theological Extracts from History and Philosophy of Inductive Sciences. By the same. St. Od.

Elements of Morality. By the same. Chenper Edition. Two Valuess. 15s.

English Synonyms. Edited by R. Whatele, ... D.D., Arshbishop of Dublin. Boomd Edition, embryged, Sr. Od.

On the Study of Words; Five Lectures by R. C. Tameou, B.D., Humining Chaplain to the Bishop of Oxford. 34,64.

Leaves from the Note-Book of a Naturalist. By W. J. Begenrie, F.E.S. 10s. 6s.

History of the Royal Society, compiled from Original Authorito Doomnents. By O. R. White, Assistant-Secretary of the Royal Society. Two Volumes. Octave, 50s.

Lectures on the Principles and Practice of Physic. By T. Warner, M.D., Third Edition. Two Volumes. Octave, 3de.

Cycle of Celestial Objects. By Captain W. H. SMTH, B.N., F.R.S., Foreign Secretary of the Royal Society. Two Yols. Octave, with Illustrations, £2, 2s.

Manual of Chemistry. By W. T. BRANDE, F.R.S., Professor of Chemistry in the Royal Institution, Sixth Edition, much salarged, and embodying all Recent Discoveries. Two large Volumes, \$2,50,

Dictionary of Materia Medica and Pharmacy.

By the same Author. 156.

Principles of Mechanism. By R. WILLIB, M.A., F.B.B., Professor of Natural Philosophy, Cambridge. 15c.

Mochanics applied to the Arts. By H. Moss-Lev, M.A., F.R.S., one of Her Majesty's Impectors of Schools. Ge.6d.

Loctures on Astronomy. By the same Author. Third Edition. 5c. 5d.

Elements of Meteorology. By the late Professor Darings. With Plates. Two Volumes. Octave, 82s.

On the Nature of Thunder Storms, and on the means of Protecting Churches and other Buildings, and Shipping, against the Effects of Lightning. By Sir W. Snow Harris, F.R.S. 10s. 5d.

Physiological Anatomy and Physiology of Man. By Dr Tono and W. Bowkan, F.R.S. Part III., 7s. Vol. I., 15s.

Of a Liberal Education, with especial reference to the Studies of the University of Cambridge. By W. Williams, D.D., Master of Trinity College. Part III., The Revised Statutes, 1851—1859, 2s.

Part I. Principles and Recent History. Second Edition, 4s.0d.

Part II. Discussions and Changes, 1840—50. Se. 6d.

Parts I. and II. together, m cloth, 7s. 6d.

Connexion of Natural and Divine Truth. By Baden Fowner, M.A., F.R.S., Professor of Geometry, Oxford. Oc.

Undulatory Theory as applied to the Dispersion of Light. By the same Author. Octavo. With coloured Chart, Oc.

Mathematical Tracts. By G. Brongell Ather-

The Philosophy of Living. By HERRERT NIA YO, M.D. Chesper Edition, with Additions, &c.

Management of the Organs of Digestion in Health and in Discuss. By the same Author. Record Edition, Os. Gr.

German Mineral Waters: and their rational employment for the Cure of certain Chronic Diseases. By S SUTION H.D., Physician of the German Hospital. 7s. Od.

Gout, Chronic Rhenmatism, and Inflammations of the Joints. By R. B. Tone, M.D., F.R.S., Physician of IC11125. College Hospital. 7s. 6d.

Minerals and their uses. By J. R. JACKERON. F.R.S., with Frontispiece. 7s. Od.

Lectures on Dental Physiology and Surgery. By J. Toma, F.B.S., Surgeon-Dentist to the Middleney Hought cal. Octavo. With 100 linearitions, 12s.

Instructions in the Use and Management of Artificial Tooth. By the same Anthor, With Illustrations. Op. Gel.

Practical Geology and Mineralogy. By Joseph U.A. Tenome, P.G.S. Outro, with Two Hundred Illustrations. 12s.

Practical Chemistry for Farmors and Laracl-owners. By the same Author. Se.

LONDON: J. W. PARKER & SON.